

# STOP CORONAVIRUS From Spreading Mac500S

MAC50

6 🕙 6

DOCUMENTED SIGNIFICANT REDUCTION OF AIRBORNE VIRUS

JIMCO.DK

## MAC500s REDUCES VIRUS In the Air Significantly



Authorities shut down factories to avoid that people gather in big crowds and people are afraid of traveling with airplanes and cruise liners. The Coronavirus is paralyzing many businesses and especially the travel industry.

JIMCO A/S is specialized in some of the worlds most unique and environmentally friendly air purification technologies and has a broad portfolio to combat the spreading of the coronavirus or any virus for that matter.

The JIMCO technology is based on UV-C and ozone, which is a natural way to reduce and eliminate unwanted viruses and bacteria.

The MAC500s air purifier works partly by burning harmful particles such as viruses with the help of UV-C rays, and partly by letting out a small amount of ozone, which can destroy bacteria and viruses.

The amount of ozone is equal to the amount occurring in nature, and the method can be compared to the process taking place in a swimming pool when chlorine is added to reduce bacteria in the water. But the MAC500s is environmentally friendly and does not use chemicals.

Several studies have shown that SARS-CoV2 (the virus that causes the disease COVID-19) can infect us through the air and Governments are starting to wake up and realize that the battleground has changed.



### The environmentally friendly MAC500s reduces viruses in the air quickly and significantly.

- Effective reduction on SARS-CoV2 in an aerosol state (microdroplets in the air)
- Reduction of 90 % in 1 hour and 99 % after 2 hours
   (MS2 which is 7-10 times more resistant than coronavirus\*)
- $\checkmark$  No use of any chemicals or filters
- ✓ <u>Safe to use 24/7 in occupied spaces</u>
- Easy to use and only needs a power socket to operate
- ✓ Significantly improves indoor air quality



WE ARE COMBATTING The pandemic

### REDUCTION OF VIRUS IN THE AIR







### MAC500s

reduces the amount of bacteria, viral disease, mould and fungi within the room and does not produce any NOx.



reduces indoor air pollution and eliminates the sources of headaches, respiratory problems, fatigue, COPD and asthma.

### MAC500s

is designed for use 24/7 and to effectively decrease the spreading of any disease in rooms and areas where people are present.

### MAC500s REDUCES AIRBORNE VIRUS EFFECTIVELY



Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 can occur under special circumstances.

#### CDC

- \*\* The balance of attention must be shifted to protecting against airborne transmission. \*\*
- <sup>66</sup> There is overwhelming evidence that inhalation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) represents a major transmission route for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19).

American Association for the Advancement of Science

#### THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN DROPLET AND AIRBORNE TRANSMISSION

#### **Droplet transmission**

#### Airborne transmission

Coughs and sneezes can spread droplets of saliva and mucus

Tiny particles, possibly produced by talking, are suspended in the air for longer and travel further.



<sup>66</sup> Viruses in aerosols (smaller than 100 μm) can remain suspended in air for many seconds to hours, like smoke, and be inhaled. They are highly concentrated near an infected person, so they can infect people most easily in close proximity.

But aerosols containing infectious virus can also travel more than 2 m and accumulate in poorly ventilated indoor air, leading to superspreading events. <sup>99</sup>





#### HOW LONG THE CORONAVIRUS CAN LIVE IN THE AIR AND ON SURFACES











Cardboard: Up to 24 hrs.

Plastic: Up to 72 hrs.



Stainless steel: Up to 72 hrs.





### DOCUMENTED EFFECT

A study from a technological institute in Denmark states that the Danish-developed air purifier MAC500s effectively reduces viruses from the air. In rooms where the air purifier is in use, the virus is reduced by 89 % already during the first hour.

The study documents that the air purifier MAC500s reduces viruses in the air by 89 % in one hour. After two hours, the virus is reduced by 99 percent, and after three hours, t he reduction is 99.9 %.

The test was performed on a bacterium infected with the MS2 virus. Coronavirus is 7-10 times more susceptible to UV light than MS2 bacteriophages. This means that the virus on which the test was performed on is more difficult or as difficult to degrade as SARS-CoV2 (the virus that causes COVID-19). \*

The effect has been compared to pathogens that are 3x and 5x more susceptible than the virus MS2. The reduction will then very quickly reach 100% as shown in table 3 and figure 4. on page 9 in the full report.

| March M25<br>More M20     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relations     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relation Relations     Constrained     Constrained       Decision Relation Relat  | State   | mice Apply high birds         Birds apply high birds         Birds apply high birds   | mon Arg   | mon Arg<br>Mon Arg<br>Arg Contractions<br>Arg Contractions   
   
  | mon Add<br>pairbords       Control       Contro       Contr       Control       Contro  | mon Arg<br>bit boots         Sector  
  | mod AS<br>(bit Mod C)<br>(bit Mod C)     Control Control<br>(bit Mod C)     Control Control<br>(bit Mod C)       Control   | mon Arg<br>bit boots         Sector   
  | mod AS<br>(bit Mod C)<br>(bit Mod C)     Control Control<br>(bit Mod C)     Control Control<br>(bit Mod C)       Control   | mon Arg<br>bit boots         Sector   
  | mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)       constraints     constraints     constraints       constraints     constraints     constraints <th>mod N5<br/>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br/>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br/>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br/>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br/>(bb/m5)       constraints     constraints     constraints       constraints     constraints     constraints   <th>mon Arg</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th><th>mon Arg</th><th>mon sphere         The sp</th><th>mon sphere         The sp</th><th>mon Arg</th><th>man Ang<br/>palayong any ang<br/>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a</th><th>mon ANS<br/>painting     Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints     Constraints</th><th>mon Xh3<br/>jblyvd 7,<br/>Xh300 Ruthabing     Barbard 2012<br/>Control Control Control</th><th>man
ASS<br/>はないます。<br/>あるののにはないます。<br/>こののののであるののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるののである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののでののである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの</th><th>man ASS<br/>はないます。<br/>あるののにはないます。<br/>こののののであるののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるののである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののでののである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの</th><th>mon A/S<br/>jub web jub web jub</th><th>max MS<br/>gbbref]     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained)     Constrained)     <t< th=""><th>max A/3       jbly ver       jbly       jbly ver       jbly ver       j</th><th>max A/3             jby Peri 7             jby Pe</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and
almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The
almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>jabred 3<br/>Scott Bucklashing<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/yeV 7<br/>Xesson Ruleabing<br/>2 Odober 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with test was the definence of<br/>the with test institution of the exceedure the handbord over a 2 m² sealer with
the<br/>match and the device of the device of the test was the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the with test was the sealer with the<br/>institute test central shows a reduction of the concentration of actions gram test<br/>with test central shows are deviced on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer wi</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>to A/S<br/>Bryel 3<br/>Spon Undukting<br/>2 October 2020<br/>elaration of test and assessment<br/>askihl Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>activation vitus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>are of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>no A/S<br/>abbyeg 7<br/>2000 Rutuabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Colderation of test and assessment<br/>Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>accession of the above and the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>between the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the two of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of th</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as
profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Systems and the set of the set of</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries
adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9<br/>X2500 Ruliabiling<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Splichweigh<br/>Specification of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the address of the airco<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of the airco MACSOB or t</th><th>mice APS<br/>highly effect     and approximately a</th><th>mon AVS<br/>jubiced     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon A/S<br/>jub web jub web jub</th><th>mon NS<br/>higherd     Source and Source and</th><th>mon Arg<br/>bishord     Source and Source an</th><th>mon Xh3<br/>jblyv3 (X)     Constraints     Constraints       X Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Z Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints&lt;</th><th>man Ang<br/>palayong any ang<br/>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th></t<></th></th> | mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)     mod N5<br>(bb/m5)       constraints     constraints     constraints       constraints     constraints     constraints <th>mon Arg</th> <th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th> <th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th> <th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th> <th>mon Arg</th> <th>mon sphere         The sp</th> <th>mon sphere         The sp</th> <th>mon Arg</th> <th>man Ang<br/>palayong any ang<br/>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a</th> <th>mon ANS<br/>painting     Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints     Constraints</th> <th>mon Xh3<br/>jblyvd 7,<br/>Xh300 Ruthabing     Barbard 2012<br/>Control Control Control</th> <th>man ASS<br/>はないます。<br/>あるののにはないます。<br/>こののののであるののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるののである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののでののである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの</th> <th>man
ASS<br/>はないます。<br/>あるののにはないます。<br/>こののののであるののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるののである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののであるのである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためのののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>ためののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののである。<br/>たのののでののである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br/>たのののでのである。<br/>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの</th> <th>mon A/S<br/>jub web jub web jub</th> <th>max MS<br/>gbbref]     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained<br/>(Constrained)       Constrained<br/>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained)     Constrained)     <t< th=""><th>max A/3       jbly ver       jbly       jbly ver       jbly ver       j</th><th>max A/3             jby Peri 7             jby Pe</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints   
   2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints
and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>jabred 3<br/>Scott Bucklashing<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/yeV 7<br/>Xesson Ruleabing<br/>2 Odober 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with test was the definence of<br/>the with test institution of the exceedure the handbord over a 2 m² sealer with the<br/>match and the device of the device of the test was the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the with test was the sealer with the<br/>institute test central shows a reduction of the concentration of actions gram test<br/>with test central shows are deviced on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer wi</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>to A/S<br/>Bryel 3<br/>Spon Undukting<br/>2 October 2020<br/>elaration of test and assessment<br/>askihl Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>activation vitus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>are of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the
interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>no A/S<br/>abbyeg 7<br/>2000 Rutuabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Colderation of test and assessment<br/>Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>accession of the above and the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>between the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the two of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of th</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the
concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Systems and the set of the set of</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9<br/>X2500 Ruliabiling<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Splichweigh<br/>Specification of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the
efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the address of the airco<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of the airco MACSOB or t</th><th>mice APS<br/>highly effect     and approximately a</th><th>mon AVS<br/>jubiced     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon A/S<br/>jub web jub web jub</th><th>mon NS<br/>higherd     Source and Source and</th><th>mon Arg<br/>bishord     Source and Source an</th><th>mon Xh3<br/>jblyv3 (X)     Constraints     Constraints       X Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Z Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints&lt;</th><th>man Ang<br/>palayong any ang<br/>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th></t<></th> | mon Arg   
  | mon Angle Balance and State  | mon Angle Balance and State   
  | mon Angle Balance and State  
   | mon Arg  | mon sphere         The sp  | mon sphere         The sp  | mon Arg  | man Ang<br>palayong any ang<br>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a  | mon ANS<br>painting     Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints     Constraints  
   | mon Xh3<br>jblyvd 7,<br>Xh300 Ruthabing     Barbard 2012<br>Control Control  | man ASS<br>はないます。<br>あるののにはないます。<br>こののののであるののであるのである。<br>ためのののであるののである。<br>ためのののであるのである。<br>ためのののであるのである。<br>ためのののである。<br>ためのののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののでののである。<br>たのののでのである。<br>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br>たのののでのである。<br>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの  | man ASS<br>はないます。<br>あるののにはないます。<br>こののののであるののであるのである。<br>ためのののであるののである。<br>ためのののであるのである。<br>ためのののであるのである。<br>ためのののである。<br>ためのののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>ためののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののである。<br>たのののでののである。<br>たのののでのである。<br>たのののでのでののでののでのでののでのでのである。<br>たのののでのである。<br>たのののでののでののでのでのでののでのでののでのの  | mon A/S<br>jub web jub   | max MS<br>gbbref]     Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained<br>(Constrained)       Constrained<br>(Constrained)     Constrained)     Constrained)       Constrained)     Constrained) <t< th=""><th>max A/3       jbly ver       jbly       jbly ver       jbly ver       j</th><th>max A/3             jby Peri 7             jby Pe</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints.
The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and
almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>mon AVS<br/>piblyed 7<br/>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br/>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br/>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br/>straints. The almost
any straints and any straints and<br/>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br/>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br/>almostraints and straints and almost and<br/>almost and straints</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/3<br/>pB/veg 7<br/>2 code/action of test and assessment<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br/>Decidaratio</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>jabred 3<br/>Scott Bucklashing<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/yeV 7<br/>Xesson Ruleabing<br/>2 Odober 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br/>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br/>The test was character with the with test was the definence of<br/>the with test institution of the exceedure the handbord over a 2 m² sealer with the<br/>match and the device of the device of the test was the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the with test was the sealer with the<br/>institute test central shows a reduction of the concentration of actions gram test<br/>with test central shows are deviced on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br/>sealer with the sealer wi</th><th>International State of the second sec</th><th>to A/S<br/>Bryel 3<br/>Spon Undukting<br/>2 October 2020<br/>elaration of test and assessment<br/>askihl Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>activation vitus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>are of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br/>test visus of test visus of</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>to A/S<br/>shyre(7)<br/>Soon Audabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Reclaration of test and assessment<br/>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br/>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br/>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br/>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br/>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br/>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br/>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br/>sponder test activity hows a reductin</th><th>no A/S<br/>abbyeg 7<br/>2000 Rutuabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Colderation of test and assessment<br/>Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>accession of the above and the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>between the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br/>of the two of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br/>abbyeg of the abbyeg of th</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency
for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br/>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br/>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br/>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br/>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br/>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br/>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br/>the wide by the as profile:<br/>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t</th><th>Inter A/5<br/>jB/vef 3<br/>2 Outburg 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br/>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br/>Sector Sector Secto</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Systems and the set of the set of</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>International State of the Stat</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Initial MS<br/>MyBhyler G<br/>Decomposition of the account of the end of t</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inten AFS<br/>Space Databases<br/>Space Databases<br/>Cost Databases<br/>C</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>Inter A/S<br/>Speech and Speech and</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9 20<br/>20 Coburt 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution for test and assessment<br/>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br/>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br/>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br/>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br/>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of
actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br/>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br/>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jB/vy8 7<br/>X-S00 Ruliabing<br/>2 October 2020<br/>Declaration of test and assessment<br/>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br/>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br/>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br/>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br/>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br/>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br/>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br/>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br/>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br/>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa</th><th>mon X/S<br/>jBillyR9<br/>X2500 Ruliabiling<br/>2 October 2020</th><th>Inition MS<br/>Splichweigh<br/>Specification of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of text and assessment<br/>December 2012<br/>Contraction of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see organized with the with text and the address of the airco<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br/>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of text and the<br/>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of the airco MACSOB or t</th><th>mice APS<br/>highly effect     and approximately a</th><th>mon AVS<br/>jubiced     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon ASS<br/>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o</th><th>mon A/S<br/>jub web jub web jub</th><th>mon NS<br/>higherd     Source and Source and</th><th>mon Arg<br/>bishord     Source and Source an</th><th>mon Xh3<br/>jblyv3 (X)     Constraints     Constraints       X Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Z Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints&lt;</th><th>man Ang<br/>palayong any ang<br/>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a</th><th>mon Angle Balance and State State</th></t<> | max A/3       jbly ver       jbly       jbly ver       jbly ver       j  | max A/3             jby Peri 7             jby Pe   | International State of the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inter A/3<br>pB/veg 7<br>2 code/action of test and assessment<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaratio  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inter A/3<br>pB/veg 7<br>2 code/action of test and assessment<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaratio   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inter A/3<br>pB/veg 7<br>2 code/action of test and assessment<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaratio  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inter A/3<br>pB/veg 7<br>2 code/action of test and assessment<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaratio   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | max A/3             jby Perf             jby Per             jby Perf             jby Perf  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints
and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints  | mon AVS<br>piblyed 7<br>x000 radiuabing     Constraints     Constraints       2 October 2020       October 2020       October 2020       Declaration of test and assessment<br>Inscritection virus of the almost and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost and straints and any straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and straints<br>and any straints and almost and straints and any<br>straints. The almost any straints and any straints and<br>any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost any straints. The almost any straints and straints and<br>any straints and almost and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and almost and straints and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and almost and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints and straints and almost and<br>almostraints and straints and almost and<br>almost and straints   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | International State of the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inter A/3<br>pB/veg 7<br>2 code/action of test and assessment<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaration of the Amore MC200 are public<br>Decidaratio  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | International State of the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | International State of the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inter A/S<br>jabred 3<br>Scott Bucklashing<br>2 October 2020   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jB/yeV 7<br>Xesson Ruleabing<br>2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br>device the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram. The<br>device of the limits (MACSO) as putfield.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br>The test was character with the with institute in a 20 m² sealed gram.<br>The test was character with the with test was the definence of<br>the with test institution of the exceedure the handbord over a 2 m² sealer with the<br>match and the device of the device of the test was the<br>sealer with the sealer with the with test was the sealer with the<br>institute test central shows a reduction of the concentration of actions gram test<br>with test central shows are deviced on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the concentration of actions and the<br>sealer with the sealer with the institute on the sealer with the<br>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br>sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the sealer with the<br>sealer with the sealer wi  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | International State of the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | to A/S<br>Bryel 3<br>Spon Undukting<br>2 October 2020<br>elaration of test and assessment<br>askihl Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>activation vitus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>are of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of test visus of the Innon MACSO are public<br>test visus of test visus of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | to A/S<br>shyre(7)<br>Soon Audabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Reclaration of test and assessment<br>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reductin   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | to A/S<br>shyre(7)<br>Soon Audabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Reclaration of test and assessment<br>The test was concerned with the efficiency for<br>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>activities of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>feature of the interval MCSO as profiles:<br>The test was concerned with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 20 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in a 10 m selder rom. The<br>Defense of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br>the used of the interval model with the unit registered in the interval one at a concerned with<br>the used of the interval model of the eris interval one are a 2-hour test and the<br>sponder test cells with ones a reduction of the concerned and ones<br>approximation of the eris of the concerned and one interval ones and<br>prove these cells with the interval ones of the concerned and one interval ones of the interval ones of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of the test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reduction of the concerned one of test and the<br>sponder test activity hows a reductin   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | no A/S<br>abbyeg 7<br>2000 Rutuabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Colderation of test and assessment<br>Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>accession of the above and the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>between the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg<br>of the two of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the abbyeg of the<br>abbyeg of the abbyeg of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inter A/5<br>jB/vef 3<br>2 Outburg 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br>Sector Sector Secto   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inter A/5<br>jB/vef 3<br>2 Outburg 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br>Sector Sector Secto  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jB/vy8 7<br>X-S00 Ruliabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br>the wide by the as profile:<br>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon X/S<br>jB/vy8 7<br>X-S00 Ruliabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br>the decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the set of the<br>decrement of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The
decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br>decrement of the advectorial with the instance of the set of<br>the wide of the Instance if decreme between the Nancor A test and the<br>set of the set of the advectorial with the constraints of a test and the<br>set of the test constraint of the advectorial of the constraints of test and the<br>set of the set of the instance of the test set of the set of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test and the<br>set of the Set of the set of the test of the constraints of a test of test of the set of the test of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of the set of<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the constraints of a test of test of the set of<br>the wide by the as profile:<br>For the test of the set of the test of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of test of the set of the set of test of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inter A/5<br>jB/vef 3<br>2 Outburg 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Jimice MA/2000 are putfice.<br>The test was concasted with the unit regulated in a 20 mi selder area. The<br>Sector Sector Secto   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jBillyR9 20<br>20 Coburt 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution for test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati  
   
  | International State of the Stat  
   
  | Inition MS<br>Systems and the set of   
   
  | International State of the Stat  
   
  | International State of the Stat  | Inter A/S<br>Speech and Speech and   | Initial MS<br>MyBhyler G<br>Decomposition of the account of the end of t  | Initial MS<br>MyBhyler G<br>Decomposition of the account of the end of t   | Initial MS<br>MyBhyler G<br>Decomposition of the account of the end of t  | Inten AFS<br>Space Databases<br>Space Databases<br>Cost Databases<br>C | Inter A/S<br>Speech and Speech and   
  | Inten AFS<br>Space Databases<br>Space Databases<br>Cost Databases<br>C | Inter A/S<br>Speech and Speech and  | Inten AFS<br>Space Databases<br>Space Databases<br>Cost Databases<br>C  | Inten AFS<br>Space Databases<br>Space Databases<br>Cost Databases<br>C   | Inter A/S<br>Speech and Speech and   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inter A/S<br>Speech and Speech and  
   
   
   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jBillyR9 20<br>20 Coburt 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution for test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute
of<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati   
   
   
   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jBillyR9 20<br>20 Coburt 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution for test and
assessment<br>Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>instituted with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of test and background test of the efficiency of<br>test vision concernities with the unit institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of an integration of the article of the institute of the efficiency of<br>the design of the article of the institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute institute in a 20 mi setted rem. The<br>design of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the effective<br>the use of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of the efficiency of the institute of<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and actions<br>with test 20 min of the attemption of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and the<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernation of actions and<br>provide test cesh y shows a reduction of the concernati  
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon X/S<br>jB/vy8 7<br>X-S00 Ruliabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon X/S<br>jB/vy8 7<br>X-S00 Ruliabing<br>2 October 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Dualsh Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the adversaria of the adversaria<br>Declaration of test and assessment the adversaria of the efficiency of the<br>institution virus of the Binko MA/S00 as profile:<br>The test was choosed with the with instance of the efficiency of<br>Declaration of the adversaria of the adversaria of the adversaria<br>Declaration of the efficiency of the test with the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the Binko MA/S00 as the institution rate measured adversaria<br>the even of the institution rate in the charater over a 2 house the adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent and virtual the<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of the one in the concent on adversaria of the<br>static barries adversaria of the concent on adversaria of adversaria<br>static test Cearly shows a reduction of the concent on adversaria of adversaria of<br>adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of adversaria of<br>the even of the adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the concent one adversaria of the adversaria of the concent one adversa  
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon X/S<br>jBillyR9<br>X2500 Ruliabiling<br>2 October 2020   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inition MS<br>Splichweigh<br>Specification of text and assessment<br>December 2012<br>Contraction of text and assessment<br>December 2012<br>Contraction of text and assessment<br>December 2012<br>Contraction of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br>Specification of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see organized with the with text and the efficiency of the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see organized with the with text and the address of the airco<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier.<br>The text see of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of text and the<br>decimpant of the airco MACSOB or purifier text and the decimpant of the airco MACSOB or t  
   
   
   
   
   
   | mice APS<br>highly effect     and approximately a   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon AVS<br>jubiced     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints   
   
   
   
   
  | mon ASS<br>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon ASS<br>pairs of a subscription     mon and a subscription of the subscription o   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon A/S<br>jub web jub   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon NS<br>higherd     Source and  
   
   
   
   
   
  | mon Arg<br>bishord     Source and Source an  
   
   
   
   
   
   | mon Xh3<br>jblyv3 (X)     Constraints     Constraints       X Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Z Constraints     Constraints     Constraints       Constraints     Constraints<  
   
   
   
   
   
   | man Ang<br>palayong any ang<br>palayong ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a  | mon Angle Balance and State   |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
               |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
|---|---|--
---
--
---|---
--
--|--
--
---|--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--|--
---|---|--|--
--|--|---
---|--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---|--|--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---|---|--|--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--|---|---|---|--
---|--
--
--	--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
---|--|---|---|---
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--|---|---
--
--
--
--
---
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--
--|--|--|--|--|---|---
---|--|--|--|---|---
---	---	---
---	--	--
--	--	--
--	---	
<text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text>	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>	<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>
   | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>  
   | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>  
   
  | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>   | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>  
   | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>  
  | <text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  |
<text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   | Deduction of the and assessment to the set of the adjuster of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2003<br>Decision of oten and assessment in<br>the standard and the standard and standard a   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The standards of the kines deef om text of the effective of the kines deciration of the kines deciration of the assessment<br>The standards of the kines deciration of the assessment of the text of text   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Technological Institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has the end with the extitute has a for sensitive for the efficiency of the institute has a for the end of the efficiency of the institute has a for the end of the e  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odobre 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachnological institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the simple observations<br>and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observati   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odobre 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachnological institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the simple observations<br>and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observati  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odobre 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachnological institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the simple observations<br>and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observati   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odobre 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachnological institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the simple observations<br>and the simple observation of the simple
observation of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations<br>(2007) and the simple observation of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observations of the simple observation of the simple observati  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The standards of the kines deef om text of the effective of the kines deciration of the kines deciration of the assessment<br>The standards of the kines deciration of the assessment of the text of text  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The standards of the kines deef om text of the effective of the kines deciration of the standards of the text of text of the text of text o  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The standards of the kines deef om text of the effective of the kines deciration of the standards of the text of text of the text of text o   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark  
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Tachological functions have been defined from the Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Technological Institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has the end with the extitute has a for sensitive for the efficiency of the institute has a for sensitive for the end with the end w   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The first indeveloped institute has performed tests of the efficiency of the simple observations of the sime observation o  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Technological Institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has the end with the extitute has a for sensitive for the efficiency of the institute has a for sensitive for the end with the end w  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Deciration of text and assessment<br>The Mark Technological Institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has performed leads of the institute has performed leads of the efficiency of the institute has the end with the extitute has a for sensitive for the efficiency of the institute has a for sensitive for the end with the end w  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Description of text and assessment<br>Bankin Ruchaological branchine has genformal tesks of the effective for a<br>section of the size of the section of the size of the section of the size of the si   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Stands Technological toxitisme has performed tesks of the efficiency of the sime MCS00 or purifies:<br>The test and constrained with the with the MCS00 or purifies:<br>Stands Technological toxitismes and the source and source and the sime of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Markin Technological institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the arrival<br>sector of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival<br>test of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival<br>sector of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival of the arrival<br>test of the arrival of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odder 2020<br>Addression of text and assessment<br>and Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>any of the Initia Markov (2000) are until the<br>test of the assessment of the Initia Markov (2000) and the<br>State of the Initia Markov (2000) and the Initia Markov<br>State of the Initia Markov (2000) and the Initia Markov<br>between the natural and assessment of the Initia Markov<br>between the Initia Markov (2000) and the Initia Markov<br>Berger (2000) and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Microardian of deta and assessment<br>Microardian Tacheological Institutes has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>microardian of the Amore MACSOO are nurflex:<br>The test was conducted unit the user baseling and the administration of the<br>deta and the administration of the administration of the administration<br>1000 / 2000  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Microardian of deta and assessment<br>Microardian Tacheological Institutes has performed tests of the efficiency for<br>microardian of the Amore MACSOO are nurflex:<br>The test was conducted unit the user baseling and the administration of the<br>deta and the administration of the administration of the administration<br>1000 / 2000
/ 2000    
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>The text was consisted to text have been performed texts of the efficiency of the air more than the second text of the air text of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Marking Constraints and the performed tests of the efficiency of the<br>marking of the hims (MCCG0) air purifies.<br>The test mass consisted with the web standing in 20 million 20 million<br>The test mass consisted with the use has been of the afficiency of the air problem<br>of the standing of the air problem of the standing of the air problem<br>test of the hims (MCCG) air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air of the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the air in the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Marking Constraints and the performed tests of the efficiency of the<br>marking of the hims (MCCG0) air purifies.<br>The test mass consisted with the web standing in 20 million 20 million<br>The test mass consisted with the use has been of the afficiency of the air problem<br>of the standing of the air problem of the standing of the air problem<br>test of the hims (MCCG) air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air of the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the air in the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the<br>standhours of the lamos 004/2000 sir purifies.<br>The test was conducted with text with the 2001 solitotic and the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>between the nation soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>the soliton of the lamos of the lamos of the area and the soliton of the soliton<br>between the soliton soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the concention of area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton o  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the<br>standhours of the lamos 004/2000 sir purifies.<br>The test was conducted with text with the 2001 solitotic and the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>between the nation soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>the soliton of the lamos of the lamos of the area and the soliton of the soliton<br>between the soliton soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the concention of area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton o  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of test and assessment<br>Marking Constraints and the performed tests of the efficiency of the<br>marking of the hims (MCCG0) air purifies.<br>The test mass consisted with the web standing in 20 million 20 million<br>The test mass consisted with the use has been of the afficiency of the air problem<br>of the standing of the air problem of the standing of the air problem<br>test of the hims (MCCG) air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air of the charater over a 2-those previous<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the charater over a 2-those previous and<br>standing of the air in the air in the   
   
   
  | 2 Odobri 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the arrow MCS00 or purifies:<br>The test was consisted with the with test and the action of the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>test and the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>1000 / 10   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of ext and assessment<br>Table Table Tab  
   
  | 2 October 2020<br>Medianation of ext and assessment<br>Bank Technological transforma Kang and an efficiency of the align of the Align on ALGG on a purple.<br>Technological transformation of the align of the   
   
   | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of ext and assessment<br>Table Table Tab  
  | 2 October 2020<br>Declaration of ext and assessment<br>Table Table Tab  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Bankin Cenhological functionals have been purplete<br>and the second second second second second second second<br>decision of the sime of the second secon  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Daniel Technological Institute has porformed tests of the efficiency for<br>institutional of the interconduction of the efficiency of the<br>institution of the interconduction of the efficiency of the inter-<br>tion of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction<br>is a statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the i  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Daniel Technological Institute has porformed tests of the efficiency for<br>institutional of the interconduction of the efficiency of the<br>institution of the interconduction of the efficiency of the inter-<br>tion of the interconduction of the
interconduction of the interconduction<br>is a statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the i   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Daniel Technological Institute has porformed tests of the efficiency for<br>institutional of the interconduction of the efficiency of the<br>institution of the interconduction of the efficiency of the inter-<br>tion of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction<br>is a statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the interconduction of the interconduction of the<br>statistical of the interconduction of the i  | 2 Oddbør 2020<br>Detainstand of the and assessment<br>Detainstand of the Anno MACSOO are purpties<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow ANCSOO are purpties<br>Detained on the Internet Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Ann   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Bankin Cenhological functionals have been purplete<br>and the second second second second second second second<br>decision of the sime of the second secon   | 2 Oddbør 2020<br>Detainstand of the and assessment<br>Detainstand of the Anno MACSOO are purpties<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow ANCSOO are purpties<br>Detained on the Internet Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Ann  
    | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Bankin Cenhological functionals have been purplete<br>and the second second second second second second second<br>decision of the sime of the second secon   | 2 Oddbør 2020<br>Detainstand of the and assessment<br>Detainstand of the Anno MACSOO are purpties<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow ANCSOO are purpties<br>Detained on the Internet Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Ann  | 2 Oddbør 2020<br>Detainstand of the and assessment<br>Detainstand of the Anno MACSOO are purpties<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow ANCSOO are purpties<br>Detained on the Internet Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Statistication of the Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow Annow<br>Annow Annow Ann   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Bankin Cenhological functionals have been purplete<br>and the second second second second second second second<br>decision of the sime of the second secon   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odober 2020<br>Decision of test and assessment<br>Bankin Cenhological functionals have been purplete<br>and the second second second second second second second<br>decision of the sime of the second secon   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odobri 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the arrow MCS00 or purifies:<br>The test was consisted with the with test and the action of the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>test and the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>1000 / 10  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 2 Odobri 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the arrow MCS00 or purifies:<br>The test was consisted with the with test and the action of the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>test and the arrow MCS00 or purifies<br>1000 / 10   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the<br>standhours of the lamos 004/2000 sir purifies.<br>The test was conducted with text with the 2001 solitotic and the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>between the nation soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>the soliton of the lamos of the lamos of the area and the soliton of the soliton<br>between the soliton soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the concention of area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton o   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 Odober 2020<br>Declaration of text and assessment<br>Standh Technological Institute has performed tesks of the efficiency of the<br>standhours of the lamos 004/2000 sir purifies.<br>The test was conducted with text with the 2001 solitotic and the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the area of the lamos of the soliton soliton of the<br>standhours of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>between the nation soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton<br>the soliton of the lamos of the lamos of the area and the soliton of the soliton<br>between the soliton soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the lamos of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the concention of area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the<br>soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the soliton of the soliton of the area of the soliton of the area of the soliton o   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 2 October 2002<br>Decision of text and assessment<br>The Man Rechardongian brackhake has performed leads for the efficiency of the array of the Manual MacColo of purplice.<br>The Manual MacColo of the Manual MacColo of the Array MacColo of the Manual MacColo of the Array MacColo of the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | A codowr 2002<br><b>Declaration of ext and assessment</b><br>The structure of the above the structure of the structur  
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   
   
   
   
   
   
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   
   
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   
   
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  
   
   
   
   
   
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   
   
   
   
   
   
   | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>  | <text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text>   |  
  |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  | | | | | |
   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Density Technological Institute the performed tests of the enlaced of the sense MXO statistical wave of the Amron MXO statistical and a profile sense of the Amron MXO statistical and a 20 m 3 selection of the Amron MXO statistical and a 20 m 3 selection of the Amron MXO statistical and the Amron MXO statistical and the Amron Am   | Danks Technological Institute has performed tasks of the encoded<br>institution to vince of the innon XACC20 are purific.<br>The test was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> scalar dynamic<br>and the purification of the institution of the institute of a 20 m <sup>3</sup> scalar dynamic<br>rate of institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the institution of the<br>institution of the institution of t   | Dealed Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs's based<br>basedwaters with one the kines based one purplet. The select operation of the lance based one purplet on the select operation of the select operation operation of the select operation operat  | Detection the control test is the performance test is the exercised interaction of the test is the test of te   | Dashed Treatmand Provides Responses of the excession of the encoder of the term of ACGS on the encoder of the term of ACGS on the encoder of the encoder of the term of ACGS of the encoder of the encod   
   | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the elucochysional institute inverse of the technological Institute have particles. The early was conducted with the text institute in a 20 m select cross. The difference is text out only RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of RS2 bacteripages) (ATC difference is the early condition of a bacteria and conditions of RS2 caused by the air purfice). The early solution of a bacteria and a structure is and in the metacrones are causaled and are tout in the back bacteria of RS2 caused by the air purfice). The air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air
condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the air condition of RS2 bacteripages (ATC difference) is the   | Denoise Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>biodivation (vir) and (vir) the innov MACGS and particle.<br>The test was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealed grant, The<br>end of the innov MACSS and the institute innov model. The<br>rest of institute into the science relate on the science relate on<br>the analysis of the science relate on the institute innov model. The<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innov model and<br>institute in the institute of the institute in the anti-test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute in the science relation<br>in the science relation of the institute in the science relation in<br>the Back on the measurement and relatively of the RACSSO. the esolution in<br>Spin relation is a science relation of the science relation of the science<br>in the science relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the   
  | Doublet Therebundles's threat the temperature less of the amount of the second  | Denoise Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>biodivation (vir) and (vir) the innov MACGS and particle.<br>The test was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealed grant, The<br>end of the innov MACSS and the institute innov model. The<br>rest of institute into the science relate on the science relate on<br>the analysis of the science relate on the institute innov model. The<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innov model and<br>institute in the institute of the institute in the anti-test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute in the science relation<br>in the science relation of the institute in the science relation in<br>the Back on the measurement and relatively of the RACSSO. the esolution in<br>Spin relation is a science relation of the science relation of the science<br>in the science relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the   
   | Doublet Therebundles's threat the temperature less of the amount of the second  | Denoise Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>biodivation (vir) and (vir) the innov MACGS and particle.<br>The test was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealed grant, The<br>end of the innov MACSS and the institute innov model. The<br>rest of institute into the science relate on the science relate on<br>the analysis of the science relate on the institute innov model. The<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innov model and<br>institute in the institute of the institute in the anti-test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on
the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute in the science relation<br>in the science relation of the institute in the science relation in<br>the Back on the measurement and relatively of the RACSSO. the esolution in<br>Spin relation is a science relation of the science relation of the science<br>in the science relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the  
  | Doublet Therebundles's threat the temperature less of the amount of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Doublet Therebundles's threat the temperature less of the amount of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dashed Treatmand Provides Responses of the excession of the encoder of the term of ACGS on the encoder of the term of ACGS on the encoder of the encoder of the term of ACGS of the encoder of the encod   
  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests of the anotoxy institutence with the harmo MXCGs on the purplet. The test was encounted with the wash instituted in a 20 m select prom. The another section of the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another wi  
   | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests of the anotoxy institutence with the harmo MXCGs on the purplet. The test was encounted with the wash instituted in a 20 m select prom. The another section of the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another wi  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests of the anotoxy institutence with the harmo MXCGs on the purplet. The test was encounted with the wash instituted in a 20 m select prom. The another section of the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another with the another with the another with the purplet of the another with the another wi  
   | Denoise Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>biodivation (vir) and (vir) the innov MACGS and particle.<br>The test was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealed grant, The<br>end of the innov MACSS and the institute innov model. The<br>rest of institute into the science relate on the science relate on<br>the analysis of the science relate on the institute innov model. The<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innov model and<br>institute in the institute of the institute in the anti-test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute in the science relation<br>in the science relation of the institute in the science relation in<br>the Back on the measurement and relatively of the RACSSO. the esolution in<br>Spin relation is a science relation of the science relation of the science<br>in the science relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the                          | Detection the control test is the performance test is the exercised interaction of the test is the test of te   | Detection the control test is the performance test is the exercised interaction of the test is the test of te   | Denoise Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>biodivation (vir) and (vir) the innov MACGS and particle.<br>The test was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealed grant, The<br>end of the innov MACSS and the institute innov model. The<br>rest of institute into the science relate on the science relate on<br>the analysis of the science relate on the institute innov model. The<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innov model and<br>institute in the institute of the institute in the anti-test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the science relate on the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute innover test on the<br>spin relation of the institute in the institute in the science relation<br>in the science relation of the institute in the science relation in<br>the Back on the measurement and relatively of the RACSSO. the esolution in<br>Spin relation is a science relation of the science relation of the science<br>in the science relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science relation of the science<br>is and in the relation of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the<br>Spin relation of the science of the                          | Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the subcomparisation with the innon MACGO and purplet.<br>The least wais constanced with the wait institute in a 20 m stated crown. The<br>fill end of the bar Excercision and the MACGO and State Barbard ArcC<br>differency of the barbard Excercision (AFCC 15097) as more as the efference<br>between the natural institution of the end to the subcomparisation. The<br>determined institution of the end to the subcomparisation of the<br>determined institution of the end to the constraint of the subcomparisation of<br>determined and consistent difference between the Nature and the time<br>table of the material institution of the subcomparisation of the subcomparisation of<br>determined and consistent difference between the Nature and the<br>reproduct set clearly whome a reduction of the consentation of actionne and<br>calculated by the air purplet.<br>Reado to the
measured latitution in<br>Reado and the measured latitude in the form of the table belows:<br>Readowing the subcomparisation of the subscription of the subscription in<br>Readowing the subscription of the subcomparisation of actionne in<br>Readowing the subscription of the subscription of the subscription of<br>Readowing the subscription o  | Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the subcomparisation with the innon MACGO and purplet.<br>The least wais constanced with the wait installed in a 20 m sealed room. The least wais constanced with the wait installed in a 20 m sealed room. The difference of the activity of the sease (1500 m) and (15   | Dashed head-backet invaluate has performed tests of the enorce-prime<br>inactivities the innon MACGO on the purplete. The<br>energy of the air purplete the sub-instantial is a 20 m seeked cross. The<br>efficiency of the air purplete the sub-instantial of the 20 m seeked cross. The<br>air control is a province into an (ATCC 15397) has a virus sub-gatery<br>part of the air purplete the according to the sub-instantial energy affects<br>the nature instantian of the sub-instantial energy affects<br>determined by sampling of the control energy of the control energy of<br>the local test framework in the control energy of the provide<br>test (State Control and State Control energy of the scattery of the<br>backet for the material instantian efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the material matching efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>fixed in the sub-test and the state of the state of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the state of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of the State of the State of the State of the State<br>Product attribution in the state of th   | Davids Technological Institute has performed tasks of the anotaxy<br>instruction virus of the innon MLCG0 and particle.<br>The test was conducted with the write instelled in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> selection of the<br>100 m <sup>2</sup> selection of the innon MLCG0 and the instruction of the innon<br>test of instruction of the anotaxy mean and innon MLC and anotaxy<br>test of instruction of the anotaxy mean and the instruction of test of the<br>selection of the innon MLCG0 and a particle. These instructions are determined<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and a particle. These instructions are instruction of the<br>selection of the innon MLCG0 and particle. These instructions are instruction<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and particle. These instructions are instruction<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and particle in the instruction of allowine and<br>above the instruction detection of the consentention of allowine and<br>above the instruction of the instruction of the MLCG00, the restructions in<br>Based on the measurement instruction of the MLCG00, the restructions in<br>Section of the instruction of the section of the section of the section of<br>the allowine section of the section<br>is determined by a section of the s  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tasks of the anotaxy<br>instruction virus of the innon MLCG0 and particle.<br>The test was conducted with the write instelled in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> selection of the<br>100 m <sup>2</sup> selection of the innon MLCG0 and the instruction of the innon<br>test of instruction of the anotaxy mean and innon MLC and anotaxy<br>test of instruction of the anotaxy mean and the instruction of test of the<br>selection of the innon MLCG0 and a particle. These instructions are determined<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and a particle. These instructions are instruction of the<br>selection of the innon MLCG0 and particle. These instructions are instruction<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and particle. These instructions are instruction<br>instruction of the innon MLCG0 and particle in the instruction of allowine and<br>above the instruction detection of the consentention of allowine and<br>above the instruction of the instruction of the MLCG00, the restructions in<br>Based on the measurement instruction of the MLCG00, the restructions in<br>Section of the instruction of the section of the section of the section of<br>the allowine section of the section<br>is determined by a section of the s  | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison (ATC<br>and State 1) on heat Economic and (ATCL 3357) attempting the another<br>technological Institute has a second and the institute on regime measured<br>and the another was tested used to the institute on regime measured<br>and the another of the another of the institute on regime and<br>the another of the another of the institute on regime measured<br>and the another of the another of the institute on regime and<br>another of the another of the institute on regime and<br>another of the another of the institute on regime and<br>another of the another of the institute on regime and<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>based on the measured institute of the another of the AndColo. In the addition in<br>The of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the another of the another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the<br>another of the another of the anothe   | Databat Technological Institute has performed tecks of the surveyors<br>interview of the interview of the surveyors of the surveyors<br>of the least wat constanced with the unit installed in a 20 m sealed cross. The<br>difference of the host Ecclerichica control (NEC 5357) as surveyors of the<br>technological and the sectorized and the NES batercompare/NEC<br>effects of installation of the sectorized and the installation relations
and<br>determined installation purpler. These installation relations were<br>determined and considered purplers. These installation relations were<br>determined and considered installation relations of the constraints and the<br>determined and considered installation relations of the constraints and and<br>active MES caused by the as purpler.<br>Based on the measured installation efficiency of the MCG00, the reductions in<br>the and in-to-measured installations deficiency of the MCG00, the reductions in<br>the definition of the MCG00 sectors as the<br>product set celeration of the exclusion of the MCG00, the reductions in<br>the MCG00 sectors are calculated and and the form of the leab belows:<br>Product set celeration of the MCG00 sectors as the sectors<br>and the measured installation of the MCG00, the reductions in<br>the MCG00 sectors are calculated and and the form of the leab belows:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological traditate has performed tesks of the enrocinal<br>matchinestron wirds of the mice MCASOB per particle.<br>The test was conducted with the wait installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>file enror of the mice Technological Installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>test was conducted with the wait installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>file enror of the accordinate of the SEA better program (SEA to<br>the SEA of the SEA of the accordinate SEA to the conduction of the<br>search of technological installed in a 20 m Sealed room of the<br>between the natural installed on the accordinate SEA to the conduction of the<br>determined by samples of the search of the technological technological accordinate<br>to the SEA of the SEA of the conduction of a detorer and<br>active MSE caused by these and their on the conduction of a detorer and<br>active MSE caused by the an andition.<br>Bead on the measured matching on efficiency of the MACSOB, the reductions in<br>matching the technological activation of the search of the technological<br>between the technological activation of the search of the technological<br>between the technological activation of the activation of the technological<br>active MSE caused by the as a calculated and are load to be beaver.<br>Beauting the technological activation of the search of the technological activation of<br>technological technological activation of the technological activation of the technological<br>active MSE caused by the as a calculated and are load to be beaver.  | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic vision of the mice Machine purplets.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the Machinet Part of the<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start<br>Start Start S   | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmos MC-DB on purpher.<br>The last exception of the inmost institute in a 20 and exceptions of the inmost institute in the 20 and exceptions of the inmost institute ins  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic vision of the mice Machine purplets.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the Machinet Part of the<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start<br>Start Start S  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic vision of the mice Machine purplets.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the Machinet Part of the<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start<br>Start Start S  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic vision of the mice Machine purplets.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the Machinet Part of the<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start Start<br>Start Start Start<br>Start Start S   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and
are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site
effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrock-of-<br>backhowski barrow MC-000 are purplete.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted cross. The<br>efficiency of the air portient was been used by RS2 backerophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air portiencies and (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>rate of the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portiencies (ATC 1597) has a virus site effort<br>and the air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>an air host-air and and the conservation of a safetime and<br>active MS2 and an air portience between the Natural decay test at<br>the MS2 and the air advection of the AACS00, the reductions in<br>and in host-assessing and air activation of an are<br>and in host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are found in the table behavior<br>and a host-assessing and an are some a some<br>and an air assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some an are assessing an are<br>and an air assessing and an are some and an are some an and<br>and an air assessing and an are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are assessing and an are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and an air assessing and are some and are some and are some and<br>and are assessing and are some and are some and are some an are assessing and are some and<br>are assessing an are assessing an are some an are some an are  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of
the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of unit enucurous<br>intervitation virus of the inmo MLCOB and purplets.<br>The test and conjuncted with the unit instelled in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select equary. The<br>distribution of the anit confirmer west test and units of 25 has an anitation of 26 has an<br>anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation<br>test of installation of the anitation test and the installation rate and the<br>selection of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation<br>between the natural MLCOB of purplets. These installations are selected and<br>selection of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of<br>selection of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of<br>anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the consentation of anitations and<br>active test and anitation of the anitation of the consentation of anitations and<br>active test and anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of the anitation of<br>tests of the measured selection of the anitation of the an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies) and a single strategies and a single strategies<br>between the raised to bardwordshife and bardwords and the same and<br>the used point and bardwords rate and the instituted strates were<br>the used and by sampling of the air in the chartles Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achievement and<br>click MS2 caused by the air puffers.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Bankh Technological Institute has performed 1455 of the enrocine/<br>inservision wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in purifier.<br>Between the air purifier was been participated (ATC)<br>and a second second second second second second second<br>participation of the air purifier was a second second second<br>between the air above and the inservise and the inservise and<br>the use of the viscous priority and the inservise and the<br>second by sampling of the air in the anathene Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>enclosed by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | anish Tendongkail Institute has performed tests of the enincebra<br>activation virus of the line on MACSOB or purifier.<br>In tests was conducted with the user instands in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>tests was conducted with the user instands on Sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC<br>Briefers of the ein purifier was lavely more Sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC<br>Sk257-Sk1) on hot Sk2-bacteriophage (ATCC<br>as a selection of the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC<br>bacteriophage) and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC)<br>and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC)<br>and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC)<br>and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the<br>analysis of the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the<br>analysis of the sk2 bacteriophage (ATCC) and the sk2 bact  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | which Testitute has performed tests of the enrocces/<br>machinetic wind of the aims MASOB or purfiler.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | which Testitute has performed tests of the enrocces/<br>machinetic wind of the aims MASOB or purfiler.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Danish Textude has performed tests of the enrocity<br>maceivation wind of the Jimo MACSOB or purplet.<br>The text was consisted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select room. The<br>efficiency of the air purplet was an enrormed to the select room of the<br>2007-201 on hot Selectronocold will be was determined as write sumption.<br>Texture of installation and any selectron of the selectron of the<br>between the national MACSOB air purplet. These installation rate used during<br>between the selectronocold will be was determined as the same during<br>between the selectron MACSOB air purplet. These installation rate is were<br>the used only sampling of the air in the during between previous the first<br>application and consistent difference between one enforcement<br>and the selectron of activities of the concentration of actioner and<br>active MSC acases by the air purplet.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed 1455 of the enrocine/<br>inservision wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in purifier.<br>Between the air purifier was been participated (ATC)<br>and a second second second second second second second<br>participation of the air purifier was a second second second<br>between the air above and the inservise and the inservise and<br>the use of the viscous priority and the inservise and the<br>second by sampling of the air in the anathene Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>enclosed by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Bankh Technological Institute has performed 1455 of the enrocine/<br>inservision wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in purifier.<br>Between the air purifier was been participated (ATC)<br>and a second second second second second second second<br>participation of the air purifier was a second second second<br>between the air above and the inservise and the inservise and<br>the use of the viscous priority and the inservise and the<br>second by sampling of the air in the anathene Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>enclosed by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Bankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies) and a single strategies and a single strategies<br>between the raised to bardwordshife and bardwords and the same and<br>the used point and bardwords rate and the instituted strates were<br>the used and by sampling of the air in the chartles Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achievement and<br>click MS2 caused by the air puffers.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies) and a single strategies and a single strategies<br>between the raised to bardwordshife and bardwords and the same and<br>the used point and bardwords rate and the instituted strates were<br>the used and by sampling of the air in the chartles Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achievement and<br>click MS2 caused by the air puffers.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed 1455 of the enrocine/<br>inservision wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in purifier.<br>Between the air purifier was been participated (ATC)<br>and a second second second second second second second<br>participation of the air purifier was a second second second<br>between the air above and the inservise and the inservise and<br>the use of the viscous priority and the inservise and the<br>second by sampling of the air in the anathene Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>enclosed by the air purifier.  
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>institution wind of the immon MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit executed in a 20 m select aroom. The<br>enforcempt of the air purifier was also and a 20 m select aroom. The<br>20 m select area and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m select<br>and a 20 m select around a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>rate of institution and and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>and a 20 m select aroom and and a 20 m select aroom and<br>the used and has anothing of the air in the character has their were<br>allowed by a simpling of the air in the character has that a decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purification.  
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocur-<br>institution of the time MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m selet cross. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier was the maximum of \$25 betechnological (ATC<br>efficiency of the site purifier was test) (MCC 1597) has a virus surrogate. The<br>5597-81) on the Ubanisconsider MAC was determined as the ender<br>where the raised maintenance was the institute and the second<br>between the raised maintenance was the second test as were<br>the used on bus associated with the anatomic was determined and<br>the second by sampling of the air in the charation katural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated the second test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated by the air purifica-   
   
  | David Technological Institute has performed test of the enocury<br>inactivation of the immo MACGIO any purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m select arom. The<br>efficiency of the air purifier was the selection of SE bacterophage (ATCC<br>Efficiency of the air purifier was testing as a virus surrogate. The<br>Statistical Conducted with the selection of SE bacterophage (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purifier was testing as a virus surrogate.<br>The selection that the conduction relates are the institute of the selection<br>that and the selection of the selection of the conduction relates were<br>the used of by sampling of the air in the charton katural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>clear MeZ cases of the air publication.  
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocur-<br>institution of the time MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m selet cross. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier was the maximum of \$25 betechnological (ATC<br>efficiency of the site purifier was test) (MCC 1597) has a virus surrogate. The<br>5597-81) on the Ubanisconsider MAC was determined as the ender<br>where the raised maintenance was the institute and the second<br>between the raised maintenance was the second test as were<br>the used on bus associated with the anatomic was determined and<br>the second by sampling of the air in the charation katural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated the second test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated by the air purifica-   
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocur-<br>institution of the time MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m selet cross. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier was the maximum of \$25 betechnological (ATC<br>efficiency of the site purifier was test) (MCC 1597) has a virus surrogate. The<br>5597-81) on the Ubanisconsider MAC was determined as the ender<br>where the raised maintenance was the institute and the second<br>between the raised maintenance was the second test as were<br>the used on bus associated with the anatomic was determined and<br>the second by sampling of the air in the charation katural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a
reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated the second test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MAC associated by the air purifica-   | Dasha Exclusion (Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>landhowtown vice) the innov MACGOS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a goint is also<br>allowed on the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>test of tractive of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>determined by considered efference between the hask point for the exotence<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exote                      | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the enour-<br>institutions visual of the kinno MASGS and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>table was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>conductive sector of the conducted visual room (Sch Dederoparation) (CCC<br>efficiency of the conducted visual room (Sch Dederoparation) (CCC<br>and Conductive and Conductive Visual room (Sch Dederoparation)<br>and the conductive visual room (Sch Dederoparation) (CCC<br>and Conductive Conductive Visual room (Sch Dederoparation) (CCC<br>between the natural institution) (purplet). These instruction rates were<br>between the natural institution (purplet). These instruction rates were<br>determined by considered afference between the hazing room (Sch Dederoparation)<br>(CCC) (CCC) (C                              | Davids Technological Institute has performed task of the enocur-<br>institutions visual of the kinno MASGS and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>task was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>conductive sector of the conducted visual room Stab bedforegated and the<br>sale of the sale scale visual conductive sector sale and the afference<br>between the natural institution junifier. These instantion arises were<br>between the natural institution junifier. These instantion arises were<br>addemined by considered afference between the Nature Visual Purplet Kan developed the<br>advisor by the sale of the advisor of the advisor of the constraints of the task of the<br>physical transformation was real-advisor of the constraints on the<br>physical transformation was real-advisor of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the advisor of the constraints of the sale task<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the con  | Davids Technological Institute has performed task of the enocur-<br>institutions visual of the kinno MASGS and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>task was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> saled room. The<br>conductive sector of the conducted visual room Stab bedforegated and the<br>sale of the sale scale visual conductive sector sale and the afference<br>between the natural institution junifier. These instantion arises were<br>between the natural institution junifier. These instantion arises were<br>addemined by considered afference between the Nature Visual Purplet Kan developed the<br>advisor by the sale of the advisor of the advisor of the constraints of the task of the<br>physical transformation was real-advisor of the constraints on the<br>physical transformation was real-advisor of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the advisor of the constraints of the sale task<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of the sale<br>of the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the constraints of the constraints of advisors and<br>on the constraints of the con   | Database Technological Institute has performed testa do mit existence<br>instruction vision of the instrum MACS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gard program of the<br>efficiency of unit part Eccentration can (AFCC 1597) at subset organization.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute ingoing Sch bacteropation (AFCC<br>additional or the according of the subset of the according of the<br>test of test-vision of the according of the test institute on tasks and end<br>test of test institute institute of the institute on tasks and the<br>difference<br>between the natural institution (surfler, These institution rates were<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and the<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and part for the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of the advisor the determined and the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of<br>advisor the construction of the advisor the construction and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors
advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>adv   | Dasha Exclusion (Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>landhowtown vice) the innov MACGOS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a goint is also<br>allowed on the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>test of tractive of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>determined by considered efference between the hask point for the exotence<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exote   | Database Technological Institute has performed testa do mit existence<br>instruction vision of the instrum MACS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gard program of the<br>efficiency of unit part Eccentration can (AFCC 1597) at subset organization.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute ingoing Sch bacteropation (AFCC<br>additional or the according of the subset of the according of the<br>test of test-vision of the according of the test institute on tasks and end<br>test of test institute institute of the institute on tasks and the<br>difference<br>between the natural institution (surfler, These institution rates were<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and the<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and part for the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of the advisor the determined and the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of<br>advisor the construction of the advisor the construction and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors
advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>adv   | Dasha Exclusion (Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>landhowtown vice) the innov MACGOS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a goint is also<br>allowed on the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>test of tractive of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>determined by considered efference between the hask point for the exotence<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exote   | Database Technological Institute has performed testa do mit existence<br>instruction vision of the instrum MACS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gard program of the<br>efficiency of unit part Eccentration can (AFCC 1597) at subset organization.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute ingoing Sch bacteropation (AFCC<br>additional or the according of the subset of the according of the<br>test of test-vision of the according of the test institute on tasks and end<br>test of test institute institute of the institute on tasks and the<br>difference<br>between the natural institution (surfler, These institution rates were<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and the<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and part for the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of the advisor the determined and the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of<br>advisor the construction of the advisor the construction and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>adv  | Database Technological Institute has performed testa do mit existence<br>instruction vision of the instrum MACS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gard program of the<br>efficiency of unit part Eccentration can (AFCC 1597) at subset organization.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute ingoing Sch bacteropation (AFCC<br>additional or the according of the subset of the according of the<br>test of test-vision of the according of the test institute on tasks and end<br>test of test institute institute of the institute on tasks and the<br>difference<br>between the natural institution (surfler, These institution rates were<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and the<br>determined by considered institutes in the construction and part for the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the
construction of the advisor the determined and the<br>physica test celevary hows a reflection of the construction and according<br>and the construction of<br>advisor the construction of the advisor the construction and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and the construction of advisors and<br>advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors and<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors advisors<br>advisors advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>advisors<br>adv   | Dasha Exclusion (Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>landhowtown vice) the innov MACGOS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a goint is also<br>allowed on the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>test of tractive of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>determined by considered efference between the hask point for the exotence<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exote   
   
   
   
   
  | Dasha Exclusion (Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>landhowtown vice) the innov MACGOS and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a goint is also<br>allowed on the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>test of tractive of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the<br>determined by considered efference between the hask point for the exotence<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exotence of the concentration of aeborne and<br>exotence of the exotence of the exote   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>institution wind of the immon MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit executed in a 20 m select aroom. The<br>enforcempt of the air purifier was also and a 20 m select aroom. The<br>20 m select area and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m select<br>and a 20 m select around a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>rate of institution and and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>and a 20 m select aroom and and a 20 m select aroom and<br>the used and has anothing of the air in the character has their were<br>allowed by a simpling of the air in the character has that a decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purification.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>institution wind of the immon MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit executed in a 20 m select aroom. The<br>enforcempt of the air purifier was also and a 20 m select aroom. The<br>20 m select area and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m select<br>and a 20 m select around a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>rate of institution and and a 20 m select aroom and a 20 m<br>and a 20 m select aroom and and a 20 m select aroom and<br>the used and has anothing of the air in the character has their were<br>allowed by a simpling of the air in the character has that a decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purification.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of
the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies) and a single strategies and a single strategies<br>between the raised to bardwordshife and bardwords and the same and<br>the used point and bardwords rate and the instituted strates were<br>the used and by sampling of the air in the chartles Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achievement and<br>click MS2 caused by the air puffers.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Bankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and a single strategies) and a single strategies and a single strategies<br>between the raised to bardwordshife and bardwords and the same and<br>the used point and bardwords rate and the instituted strates were<br>the used and by sampling of the air in the chartles Matural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achievement and<br>click MS2 caused by the air puffers.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davisit Technological Institute has performed table of the encoders)<br>selectration run and the innov MACGIO and purplet.<br>The last conducted with the unit institute in a 20 million seader prom. The<br>selectration of the set in purplet was tested and possible and and purplet<br>test of the set in the selectration of the second set in the affective<br>set of institution of the set institute institution of the second set<br>between statistical and the set of the second set in the<br>second set of the set of the set of the second set of the<br>second set of the set of the set of the second set of the<br>second set of the set of the set of the second set of the<br>second set of the set of the set of the second set of the second<br>called the second set of the set of the second set of the<br>second set of the set of the set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set<br>and the second set of the set of the second set of the second set<br>and the second set of the set of the second set of the second set<br>and the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set<br>and the second set of the second set of the second set of the second set<br>set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of the second set of the second set of the<br>second set of the second set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Davisiti Facture Institute has performed table of the enour-<br>sectivation virtual of the intro MACGO and profile.<br>The sear conducted with the unit institute in a state graph. The<br>search of the inter and the search of the search of the search of the<br>ISSN 1-201 on hest Escherichika car (A HSZ and search merine) as the effective<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>between the same MACGO of profile. These actives a the effective<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>Back of the measurement merine and the search of the the search of the<br>Back of the measurement merine and the search of the the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the search of the search of the search of the<br>search of the search of the<br>search of the search of   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological Institute has performed field of the enocury<br>inactivation virus of the kinno (MCSOB and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m selet corol. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier. Institute in the site of the site of the<br>Site of the site purifier. Institute is the site of the site of the<br>site of the site purifier. Institute is the site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the second site of the sound site of the site<br>of the site of the site of<br>the site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of the<br>site of the site of th   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dashed Technological Institute has performed table of the subcomp<br>landhowner of the kinno MACGOS and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the tunk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough. The<br>factors was conducted with the tunk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough. The<br>task was conducted with the tunk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough. The<br>task was conducted with the tunk instituted in task was and<br>task was and the second task was and the subcomp and task<br>task was and the second task was and the instituted in task was<br>and the subcomp of the aris in the instituted in task was the<br>determined and conducting of the aris in the construction of a second and<br>task was and task was and addien of the construction of a second and<br>at the MACS caused by the air purplet:<br>Based on the measured instituted in a factor of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the insteament institution factor of the factors of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the insteament institution factors of the factors of the factors<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors<br>Based on the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors<br>Based on the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the measured institution factors of the factors of the factors of<br>Based and the factors of the factors of the f   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>the test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select equals. The<br>test was conquered with the write institute in R2 backcomparison (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307) attemption (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the anocomparison (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another and the institution (Institute of ATCL<br>another and ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>the test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select equals. The<br>test was conquered with the write institute in R2 backcomparison (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307) attemption (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the anocomparison (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another and the institution (Institute of ATCL<br>another and ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>the test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select equals. The<br>test was conquered with the write institute in R2 backcomparison (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307) attemption (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the anocomparison (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another and the institution (Institute of ATCL<br>another and ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tesk of the encouncy<br>instituted virtual of the innov MACGOS and particle.<br>The tesk virtual constants with the write installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> scalad regin. The<br>david constants with the write installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> scalad regin. The<br>david constants with the scalad regin particle is and the optimized<br>test of installished on the according and the installation regin measured<br>and of the standard or purifier. These installation regin measured<br>with a scalad regin particle is an excession of the installation regin measured<br>installished the installished regin particle is an excession of the<br>installished regin of the air in the charaterization of allowine and<br>active RS2 cased by the size of the installished metallished regin en-<br>based on the measured installished regions of the write table below:<br>Tabled on the measured installished regions of the table test is<br>based on the test according and the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of the particle of the table test is<br>and the table of the test is and the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of   
   
   
   
   
  | Devisit Technological Institute has performed table of the subcover<br>selectation virus of the know MLCGOs and purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select engin. The<br>selectation virus of the sereological selectation
of the subcover<br>selectation of the sereological selectation of the selectation of the<br>test of instituted on the sereological selectation of the selectation of<br>test of instituted on the sereological selectation of the selectation of<br>test of instituted on the sereological selectation of the selectation of<br>test of instituted on the sereological selectation of the selectation of the<br>selectation of the series of the selectation of the instituted on the selectation of<br>test of instituted on the selectation of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means institute of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means instituted and selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selectation of test or the test before the test of<br>test of test means instituted and selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the test before<br>the devised by the selection of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means instituted of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means instituted of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means instituted of the selectation of the selectation of<br>test of test means instituted of the selectation of the selectation of the selectation of the selectation of test   
   
   
   
   
   
                             | Dashis handwalancial institute has performed tests of the enocurve<br>institution, which we have have been purifies. The<br>end was conducted with the weak institute in a 20 m select oron. The<br>efficiency of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>straight of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>rate of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the air particular<br>to an end of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>end of the air particular to an end of the end of the end of the air particular<br>determined by sampling of the enocircle end of the content end of the<br>particular to a straight of the end of the content end of the<br>end of the air particular to an end of the content end of a particular<br>product test clear by particular to a straight of the content end of<br>air and in off-end end off-table of the content end of a particular to<br>the end of the air particular and end and are found in the table been<br>end of the air particular and end off and off the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the straight of the straight of the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the straight o  
   
   
   
   
   | Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the subcomparisation with the innon MACGO and purplet.<br>The least wais constanced with the wait institute in a 20 m stated crown. The<br>fill end of the barrier was tested using MS2
bacteringsproad.<br>The set wait constanced with the wait institute in MS2 bacteringsproad and<br>the set of the barrier was tested using MS2 bacteringsproad.<br>The set of the set of the end of the set of t   | Databat Translation Translation has performed tests of the anotoxy interfavore over our of the anotoxy of the  |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  | | | | |
  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |   |  |   |
| Density Technological Institute the performed tests of the enlaced of the sense MXO statistical wave of the Amron MXO statistical and a profile sense of the Amron MXO statistical and a 20 m 3 selection of the Amron MXO statistical and a 20 m 3 selection of the Amron MXO statistical and the Amron MXO statistical and the Amron Am   | Danks Technological Institute has performed table to the encoder<br>institution to virus of the Innon XACC2 are public.<br>The test was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> scale encoder. The<br>conducted are public to the second and the Institute of the Innon<br>table of the Innon XACC2 and Second are public to<br>the Innon XACC2 and Second are public to<br>the Innon XACC2 and Second are public to<br>the Innon XACC2 and Innon XACC2 and Innon XACC2<br>the Innon XACC2 and Innon XACC2 and Innon XACC2<br>Innon XACC2 and Innon XACC2<br>In   | Dealed Technological Institute has performed table of the enocurs/<br>bioactivation visit on the harmo MACGoB and particle.<br>The based was considered with the unit instability of the enocurs/<br>bioactivation visit on the instability of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>constraints of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of<br>the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the<br>enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs of the enocurs  | Detection the control of the set   | Dashed Instantional Institute has performed table of the anonCorrelation of the anon ACCGO and purposes the anon-ACCGO and purposes the anon-ACCGO and purposes of the content of t   
   | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the survey institute on vario of the technological Institute have ACC on the select ones. The fact was consisted with the text institute in a 20 m select oran. The difference is the set out of the set of text of text of text of the set of text of tex of text of text of tex of text of te   
   | Devises Technological Institute has performed leads of the anomalysis<br>advanced in vitro of the innov MACGIO and profiles. The<br>advanced innovation of the innovation of the advanced profile innovation<br>(2007) and the innovation of the advanced profile innovation of the<br>comparison of the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>(2007) and the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced on the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced pro-<br>teed in advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>based on the measurement advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table   
  | Doublet Technological Institute has performed leads of the sametaneous instruction in visio of the innov MC-300 and purpose. The same and the innov MC-300 and purpose in the same and the  | Devises Technological Institute has performed leads of the anomalysis<br>advanced in vitro of the innov MACGIO and profiles. The<br>advanced innovation of the innovation of the advanced profile innovation<br>(2007) and the innovation of the advanced profile innovation of the<br>comparison of the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>(2007) and the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced on the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced pro-<br>teed in advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>based on the measurement advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table  
  | Doublet Technological Institute has performed leads of the sametaneous instruction in visio of the innov MC-300 and purpose. The same and the innov MC-300 and purpose in the same and the  | Devises Technological Institute has performed leads of the anomalysis<br>advanced in vitro of the innov MACGIO and profiles. The<br>advanced innovation of the innovation of the advanced profile innovation<br>(2007) and the innovation of the advanced profile innovation of the<br>comparison of the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>(2007) and the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced on the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced pro-<br>teed in advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>based on the measurement advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced
profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table   
   | Doublet Technological Institute has performed leads of the sametaneous instruction in visio of the innov MC-300 and purpose. The same and the innov MC-300 and purpose in the same and the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Doublet Technological Institute has performed leads of the sametaneous instruction in visio of the innov MC-300 and purpose. The same and the innov MC-300 and purpose in the same and the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dashed Instantional Institute has performed table of the anonCorrelation of the anon ACCGO and purposes the anon-ACCGO and purposes the anon-ACCGO and purposes of the content of t   
  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests to the encouncy institutence with the larmon MCGOs and purplet. The test was encounced with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and explained water and these reads water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the se   
  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests to the encouncy institutence with the larmon MCGOs and purplet. The test was encounced with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and explained water and these reads water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the se   
  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests to the encouncy institutence with the larmon MCGOs and purplet. The test was encounced with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the wate institute of a 20 m seeked room. The car cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the cars concreted with the set test water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and explained water and these reads water and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars pland and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the set of the larmonic difference between the Natural decars and the set of the se  | Devises Technological Institute has performed leads of the anomalysis<br>advanced in vitro of the innov MACGIO and profiles. The<br>advanced innovation of the innovation of the advanced profile innovation<br>(2007) and the innovation of the advanced profile innovation of the<br>comparison of the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>(2007) and the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced on the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced pro-<br>teed in advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>based on the measurement advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the
advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table  | Detection the control of the set   | Detection the control of the set   | Devises Technological Institute has performed leads of the anomalysis<br>advanced in vitro of the innov MACGIO and profiles. The<br>advanced innovation of the innovation of the advanced profile innovation<br>(2007) and the innovation of the advanced profile innovation of the<br>comparison of the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>(2007) and the advanced profile innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced on the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced pro-<br>teed in advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the innovation of the advanced profile<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>based on the measurement advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table<br>advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table advanced profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile<br>in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table<br>profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table profile in the table profile in the advanced profile in the table  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tests to the simulation<br>institute virus of the innon MACGOS on graning and the simulation<br>of the least wait constanced with the write institute in a 20 m sealed rouge. The<br>difference of the base Excitation with the MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost<br>and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and<br>MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost and MacGost | Death Technological Institute has performed tests of the subcomparisation with the innon MACGO and purplet.<br>The least wais constanced with the wait institute in a 20 m stated crown. The<br>fill end of the barrier was tested using MS2 bacteringsproad.<br>The set wait constanced with the wait institute in MS2 bacteringsproad and<br>the set of the barrier was tested using MS2 bacteringsproad.<br>The set of the set of the end of the set of t   | Dashis handwalancial institute has performed tests of the enocurve<br>institution, which we have have been purifies. The<br>end was conducted with the weak institute in a 20 m select oron. The<br>efficiency of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>straight of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>rate of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the air particular<br>to an end of the air particular to an end of the enocurve of the<br>end of the air particular to an end of the end of the end of the air particular<br>determined by sampling of the enocircle end of the content end of the<br>particular to a straight of the end of the content end of the<br>end of the air particular to an end of the content end of a particular<br>product test clear by particular to a straight of the content end of<br>air and in off-end end off-table of the content end of a particular to<br>the end of the air particular and end and are found in the table been<br>end of the air particular and end off and off the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the air particular and the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the straight of the straight of the straight of the table been end<br>feature of the straight o   | Davids Technological Institute has performed leads of the simulation<br>between the limits (MLG) are purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the with institled in a 20 m select event. The<br>simulation of the limits (MLG) are setting the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of th   | Davids Technological Institute has performed leads of the simulation<br>between the limits (MLG) are purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the with institled in a 20 m select event. The<br>simulation of the limits (MLG) are setting the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of th   
   | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>the test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select equals. The<br>test was conquered with the write institute in R2 backcomparison (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307) attemption (ATC<br>R2007-R3) on heat Economic of (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the anocomparison (ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another and the institution (Institute of ATCL<br>another and ATCL 3307)<br>test of institute of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another and test of the another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another  | Databat Technological Institute has performed tecks of the surveyors<br>interview of the interview of the surveyors of the surveyors<br>of the least wat constanced with the unit installed in a 20 m sealed cross. The<br>defined of the least Contention of the School Schoo  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dealsh Technological traditate has performed tesks of the enrocinal<br>matchinestron wirds of the mice MCASOB per particle.<br>The test was conducted with the wait installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>file enror of the mice Technological Installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>test was conducted with the wait installed in a 20 m Sealed room. The<br>file enror of the accordinate of the SEA better program (SEA to<br>the SEA of the SEA of the accordinate SEA to the conduction of the<br>search of technological installed in a 20 m Sealed room of the<br>between the natural installed on the accordinate SEA to the conduction of the<br>determined by samples of the search of the technological technological accordinate<br>to the SEA of the SEA of the conduction of a detorer and<br>active MSE caused by these and their on the conduction of a detorer and<br>active MSE caused by the an andition.<br>Bead on the measured matching on efficiency of the MACSOB, the reductions in<br>matching the technological activation of the search of the technological<br>between the technological activation of the search of the technological<br>between the technological activation of the activation of the technological<br>active MSE caused by the as a calculated and are load to be beaver.<br>Beauting the technological activation of the search of the technological activation of<br>technological technological activation of the technological activation of the technological<br>active MSE caused by the as a calculated and are load to be beaver.  | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic virus of the micro MACGO are purplete.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the second sec  | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic virus of the micro MACGO are purplete.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic virus of the micro MACGO are purplete.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological traditude has performed tecks of the enrocinal<br>machinetic virus of the micro MACGO are purplete.<br>The test was conducted with the wait Installed in a 20 m seaked room. The<br>efficiency of the air particular was the set of the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
             | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air
porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an   | Details Traditude has performed tests of the enrocking<br>inactivation virus of the larmo MACGOB are purifier.<br>The test was consisted with the wait installed in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the air porterior in the maximum NRS bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>parts of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the ASC bacterophage (ATC<br>efficiency of the air porterior into and the accession and the<br>air and the air and the accession and the air and the air and the<br>determined by sampling of air areas were the Matural decay test at<br>monositive MSC and an portfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the executive and the accession and the air and<br>the MSC and the accession and the accession and the test and<br>the MSC and the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSIO, the reductions in<br>maximum and the measured inactivation and and are found in the table bactors<br>and in log-measurements in the accession and the air and the air and the accession and<br>the ASC and the measured inactivation and the set and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the accession and the accession and<br>and the ASC and the accession and the accession and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC and the ASC and the accession and<br>the ASC and the ASC an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databit Technological Institute has performed tesks of the enrole-to-<br>statistication via our data in the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and 2  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Databash Technological Institute has performed tests for the encoders)<br>instructions on vision the inmosi MC-300 and purposes.<br>This are an constanted with the unit instelled in a 20 and constance IART.<br>To 200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200 and<br>200 and 200   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of unit enucurous<br>intervitation virus of the inmo MLCOB and purifier.<br>The test an conducted with the unit instelled in a 20 m select equary. The<br>devises of the air confirm was tested and the SL2 based reactions (ATCC<br>arrows) and the air confirm was tested and the selection of the air<br>arrows of the air confirm was tested and the instruction of test of the<br>test or instructions of the airconin real and the instruction of test of the<br>selection of the airconin of the airconin real and the instruction of test of the<br>selection of the airconin of the airconin of the test the full areas of the<br>selection of the selection real and the instruction of test of the test<br>selection of the selection real and the instruction of the test test that device test and the<br>selection of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction of the concentention of airborn and<br>airbor test.<br>Based on the measured instruction of the test beck liked devices in<br>Based on the measured instruction of the selection of the test beck liked devices of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the test beck liked devices in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the test beck liked devices in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the test beck liked devices in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the test beck liked on the table becken in<br>test of the test of the selection of the selection of the test of the selection of test of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dankh Technological Institute has performed tests of use enuccess<br>inscirulation wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The tast was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> select oron. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a single strategies (ATCC<br>entropy of the air purifier was accessed and the single strategies (ATCC<br>and Strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC) and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and a strategies (ATCC)<br>and an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Bankh Technological Institute has performed 1455 of the enrocine/<br>inservision wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit inserties in SK2 bateropages (ATCC<br>difference of the air purifier was also can (ATCC 15397) has a virus sumpate<br>part of the air purifier was also was a virus sumpate<br>between the ain and technological was determined as the encreace<br>the use of the virus MCGO air purifier. These inserties were<br>the use of the virus model of the durate the handle have also were<br>determined by sampling of the air in the handle have also were the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>enclear MSC and by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | anish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enumeric<br>activation virus of the line on MACSOB or purfiler.<br>In tests was conducted with the use translated in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>tests was conducted with the use translated in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>STS77-310 in the StStechnological MS2 is a wirrup samples. The<br>select mean standard is an experimental and the institute of the select<br>select mean standard in the select select mean standard and<br>the use of the sampling of the select mean standard means<br>the use of the sampling of the select mean standard means<br>the use of the sampling of the select mean standard means<br>the use of the sampling of the select mean standard standard during<br>select means the sampling of the select means the sample and the<br>product test ceenty shows a reduction of the concentration of airborner and<br>schew MS2 caused by the set politice.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | which Technological Institute has performed tests of the enincerval<br>machinization wind at the amount MACSON are purplet.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | which Technological Institute has performed tests of the enincerval<br>machinization wind at the amount MACSON are purplet.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Danish Tendologiai Institute has performed tests of the enincervi<br>maceivation virus of the Jimmo MACSOB or purfiler.<br>The test was consolved with the unit expanded in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select oron. The<br>efficiency of the air partier was accounted by Sizb baterophage (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air partier was accounted by Sizb baterophage (ATCC<br>baterophage) (ATCC) and and the size of the air partier of the<br>baterophage (ATCC) and and the size accounted baterophage (ATCC)<br>the air partier of the air partier of the air partier of the air partier<br>the use of all by sampling of the air in the changes Maxual decay test and the<br>product test decision of advection of a the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 cases by the air partier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dankh Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocces/<br>inservision wind of the kinet MCMC000 to purefile.<br>The test was constructed with the unit institute in a 20 m select arom. The<br>enroces of the air purefiler was determined as a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Construction (CCC) 15397) has a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Construction (CCC) 15397 has a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Con   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dankh Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocces/<br>inservision wind of the kinet MCMC000 to purefile.<br>The test was constructed with the unit institute in a 20 m select arom. The<br>enroces of the air purefiler was determined as a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Construction (CCC) 15397) has a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Construction (CCC) 15397 has a virus sumptise.<br>Technological Con  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dashin Technological Insultate has performed 1458 of unit exinctional<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The text was constructed with the unit insultation in 20 m select arom. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a select arom. The<br>provide the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>rate of institution of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>between the selection selection of the selection of the selection<br>the used to MacGio air purifier. These instatution rate is used<br>the used only sampling of the air in the sample future of the provide the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>clearly MacGio air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dashin Technological Insultate has performed 1458 of unit exinctional<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The text was constructed with the unit insultation in 20 m select arom. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a select arom. The<br>provide the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>rate of institution of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>between the selection selection of the selection of the selection<br>the used to MacGio air purifier. These instatution rate is used<br>the used only sampling of the air in the sample future of the provide the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>clearly MacGio air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dankh Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocces/<br>inservision wind of the kinet MCMC000 to purifier.<br>The test was constructed with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>enroces of the air purifier was also in SEX bateropological (ATCC<br>difference of the air purifier was also can (ATCC 15397) has a virus sumptise.<br>The air and an advectivation of the air and the institute of the air and the<br>abevenue the air above. These institutes are also also also<br>the use of the virus of the air and the institute of the air and the<br>air and by sampling of the air in the dantees have decime and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC and and the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC air and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC air and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC air and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC air and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and the site of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and extern of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and extern of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and extern of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and extern of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and extern of airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and airborne and external airborne and<br>extern MCC airborne and external airborne and<br>external airborne and external airborne and<br>external airborne and external airborne and<br>external airborne airborne and external airborne and<br>external airborne and external airborne and<br>external airborne airborne airborne airborne and<br>external airborne a  
   
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocinal<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The task was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select error. The<br>enrolling of the air purifier was attentian on SK2 bacterophage (ATCC<br>enrolling) of the air purifier was attentianed as a virus sumpate<br>task was an experiment of the second second second second<br>between the national backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards of the institute task and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknows and<br>the MS2 caused by the air pufice.   
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>inscholation virus of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m select arom. The<br>efficiency of the sir purifier was also and the source of the source of the<br>2007-201 on the test and the source of the source of the source of<br>the source of the sir purifier was also and the inscholation rate and<br>eleverent the aligned backwardshife MAC was determined as the source of<br>the use of the sir purifier was also and the inscholation rate as were<br>the use of the source of the sir the source of the source of the<br>eleverent the aligned and the source of the source of the<br>eleverent the aligned of the sir purifier. These inscholation rate is were<br>the used of by sampling of the sir in the character Machael eccey test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of achiever and<br>eleverent the aligned by the sir publics.   
   
   | David Envirological Institute has performed tests of the environment<br>institution of the immo MACGIO any purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>efficiency of the isin purifier was the institute in SSD backgrouppage (ATC<br>ESSD-R1) on the UB constrainting test (MCC 15937) as a virus surrogate. The<br>setting the institute institute is the institute institute institute institute<br>backens the adjusted train testing test was determined as the extended<br>the use of the isin metal test in the institute institute was<br>the used of by sampling of the air in the character was adjusted except test and the<br>product test clearly shows a relaxation of the concentration of alterne and<br>clear MACGIO and purifier.   
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>inscholation virus of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier was also and the test of the test of the test<br>2007-201 on the test of the test of the test of the test of the test<br>was also also also also also also also al  
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocomplex<br>inscholation virus of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in a 20 m seleted room. The<br>efficiency of the site purifier was also and the test of the test of the test<br>2007-201 on the test of the test of the test of the test of the test<br>was also also also also also also also al   | Dasha Exclusionary Institute has performed table of the survey<br>landhowney with the immo MACGO and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the turk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough The<br>difference of the same task of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocury<br>institutions visual of the innov MASSB are purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gardine and the enocury. The<br>test was conducted with the unit institute in gards backenpage (ATC<br>efficiency of the constraints and (ATC 1597) Bar and the approximate the<br>test of the antimatic science/science (ATC 1597) Bar and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>test of the antimatic instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute). These institution rates were<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the test Test<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the science and<br>product test constraints.   | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocury<br>institutions visual of the innov MASSB are purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gardine and the enocury. The<br>test was conducted with the unit institute in gards backenpage (ATC<br>efficiency of the constraints and (ATC 1597) Bar and the approximate the<br>test of the antimatic science/science (ATC 1597) Bar and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>test of the antimatic instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute). These institution rates were<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the test Test<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the science and<br>product test constraints.   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests of the enocury<br>institutions visual of the innov MASSB are purifier.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in gardine and the enocury. The<br>test was conducted with the unit institute in gards backenpage (ATC<br>efficiency of the constraints and (ATC 1597) Bar and the approximate the<br>test of the antimatic science/science (ATC 1597) Bar and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>test of the antimatic instituteous (Institute Tests and the efference<br>between the natural instituteous (Institute). These institution rates were<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the test Test<br>determined by considered instituteous the constraints and the science and<br>product test constraints.   | Database Technological Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>institution variable in the intro MACSID as purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in garding and the interpret<br>efficiency of an institute institution and the interpret of the interpret<br>of an institute institution of the according to the interpret<br>institute institution of the according to the institution of the institute<br>institute institution institute. These institution institutes may<br>also also also also also also also also   | Dasha Exclusionary Institute has performed table of the survey<br>landhowney with the immo MACGO and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the turk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough The<br>difference of the same task of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of   
  | Database Technological Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>institution variable in the intro MACSID as purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in garding and the interpret<br>efficiency of an institute institution and the interpret of the interpret<br>of an institute institution of the according to the interpret<br>institute institution of the according to the institution of the institute<br>institute institution institute. These institution institutes may<br>also also also also also also also also   | Dasha Exclusionary Institute has performed table of the survey<br>landhowney with the immo MACGO and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the turk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough The<br>difference of the same task of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of   
  | Database Technological Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>institution variable in the intro MACSID as purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in garding and the interpret<br>efficiency of an institute institution and the interpret of the interpret<br>of an institute institution of the according to the interpret<br>institute institution of the according to the institution of the institute<br>institute institution institute. These institution institutes may<br>also also also also also also also also  | Database Technological Institute has performed tests of the exocutive<br>institution variable in the intro MACSID as purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the unit institute in garding and the interpret<br>efficiency of an institute institution and the interpret of the interpret<br>of an institute institution of the according to the interpret<br>institute institution of the according to the institution of the institute<br>institute institution institute. These institution institutes may<br>also also also also also also also also   | Dasha Exclusionary Institute has performed table of the survey<br>landhowney with the immo MACGO and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the turk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough The<br>difference of the same task of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set
of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of   
   
   
   
   
   | Dasha Exclusionary Institute has performed table of the survey<br>landhowney with the immo MACGO and purplet.<br>The task was conducted with the turk instituted in a 20 m <sup>3</sup> saled rough The<br>difference of the same task of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of the same set of the same set of the<br>same set of the same set of   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocinal<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The task was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select error. The<br>enrolling of the air purifier was attentian on SK2 bacterophage (ATCC<br>enrolling) of the air purifier was attentianed as a virus sumpate<br>task was an experiment of the second second second second<br>between the national backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards of the institute task and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknows and<br>the MS2 caused by the air pufice.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the enrocinal<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO at purifier.<br>The task was considered with the unit institute in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select error. The<br>enrolling of the air purifier was attentian on SK2 bacterophage (ATCC<br>enrolling) of the air purifier was attentianed as a virus sumpate<br>task was an experiment of the second second second second<br>between the national backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards net and the institute and the second<br>the use and backwards of the institute task and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknows and<br>the MS2 caused by the air pufice.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Dashin Technological Insultate has performed 1458 of unit exinctional<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The text was constructed with the unit insultation in 20 m select arom. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a select arom. The<br>provide the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>rate of institution of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>between the selection selection of the selection of the selection<br>the used to MacGio air purifier. These instatution rate is used<br>the used only sampling of the air in the sample future of the provide the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>clearly MacGio air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dashin Technological Insultate has performed 1458 of unit exinctional<br>institution wind of the immo MACGIO and purifier.<br>The text was constructed with the unit insultation in 20 m select arom. The<br>entropy of the air purifier was been used to a select arom. The<br>provide the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>rate of institution of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>between the selection selection of the selection of the selection<br>the used to MacGio air purifier. These instatution rate is used<br>the used only sampling of the air in the sample future of the provide the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>clearly MacGio air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Davisit Technological Institute has performed tasks of the snorce-<br>bicryclator intro of the innov MACGIO and profile:<br>The last conducted with the unit instelled in a 20 monophage (MCC<br>1997 - 10 most Eccencritica Cond (MCC) and an advance runn. The<br>1997 - 10 most Eccencritica Cond (MCC) and a constant runn inter-<br>ted instantivation of the antion of the constant runn inter-<br>bicryclation and the antional and the instantivation runn and<br>bears on the antional of a single of the antional and the affective<br>and antional and the antional and the antional and the advance<br>and the antional of the article of the antional and the advance and<br>advanced the advanced the advance the Natural decay design and and<br>advanced the advanced the advanced the Advanced the advances and<br>advanced the advancement of the constraints of advance and<br>advanced to assess and the advances and advances and<br>advanced to assess and the advances and advances and<br>advanced to advance advances and the advances and<br>advanced to advance advances and advances and advances and<br>advanced to advance advances and advances and advances and<br>advanced to advances and advances and advances and<br>advances advances advances advances advances advances advances<br>advanced to advance advances advance  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Davisiti Technological Institute has performed table of the encourse<br>accounter on two of the innon MACGoB and profile.<br>The davisation of the innon MACGoB and profile.<br>The davisation of the innon marked and profile and the innon-<br>tance of the innon MACGoB and the innon-<br>tance of technological and the innon-technological and the<br>advisor of the innon-MACGOB and profile. These and profile<br>and the innon-MACGOB are profile. These and profile and the<br>significant and consistence are and the innontwelvion the advisor of<br>technological and the innon-technological and the innon-<br>two of technological and the innon-technological and the<br>significant and consistence are endocation of the constraints and or<br>advisors of the air purplet.<br>The Back on the measured instruction of the technological for the technological<br>and the site measured instruction of the innon-technological and the<br>Back on the measured instruction of the technological and the technological<br>and the site measured instruction of the technological and the technological<br>and the site measured instruction of the site of the technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of the technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of the technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of the technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of the technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of technological and<br>the site of technological and the site of technological and<br>the site of technological
and technological and the site of technological and<br>the site of technological and technological and the site of technological and<br>technological and technological and the site of technological and<br>technological and technological and technological and tech  
   
   
   
   
  | Dealsh Encludered institute has performed tests of the encouncy<br>institution virus of the terms McGoal purplet.<br>The test was conducted with the wink institute in a 20 m select oron. The<br>efficiency of the air purplets on the encouncy of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of<br>selection of the selection of the selection of<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of<br>selection of the selection of the selection
of<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>selection of the selection of  
   
   
   
   
  | Dashed Technological Institute has performed tasks of the simularity<br>handhown on the hims MACGOB on purficit.<br>The fast was conducted with the turk installed in a 20 m sealed rough. The<br>difference of the sector factor and MAC beer deraphagen (ATC<br>difference of the according to the MAC beer deraphagen (ATC<br>difference of the according to the machine in the according the<br>difference of the according to the the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the installation rate and the<br>difference of the according to the according the the installation rate and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured installation difference of the MAC 500. In the table below:<br>The dust attribution the according of the aris in the<br>Signal to the sections according the form of the
to the the relations in<br>Signal to the sections according of the aris in the Signal to the the according to<br>the sections the calculated and are form on the the relations in<br>Signal to the sections according of the aris in the Signal to the sections in<br>Signal to the sections according of the aris in the Signal to the section of the<br>Signal to the the sections according to the Signal to the section of the<br>Signal to the the sections according to the Signal to the section of the Signal to the section of the Signal to the sections in<br>Signal to the the sections according to the Signal to the section of the Signal to the section of the Signal to the sections of the Signal to the Signal to the section of the Signal to the section of the Signal to the section of the Signal to the Signal to the Signal to the Signal to the section of the Signal to the   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests to the sensorial<br>institute virus of the know MC/GOB are purplet.<br>The test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 mi sealed rank. The<br>first sensorial constraints were taken and the SD background sensorial<br>and the sensorial sensorial and the sensorial sensorial and the<br>sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>between the natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the antiperiod<br>between the natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the difference<br>instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>between the
natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the difference<br>instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>and the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>and the sensorial resolution efficiency of the NACSON, the reduction in<br>the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of a sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial tests of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test of t   
   
   
   
   
  | Davids Technological Institute has performed tests to the
sensorial<br>institute virus of the know MC/GOB are purplet.<br>The test was conquered with the write institute in a 20 mi sealed rank. The<br>first sensorial constraints were taken and the SD background sensorial<br>and the sensorial sensorial and the sensorial sensorial and the<br>sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>between the natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the antiperiod<br>between the natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the difference<br>instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>between the natural instances of a purplet. These instances of the difference<br>instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial and<br>the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>and the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>and the sensorial resolution efficiency of the NACSON, the reduction in<br>the sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of a sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the sensorial sensorial sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the measured instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test sensorial instances of the operation of the sensorial tests of the operation of the sensorial<br>tests of the test of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Davids Technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison<br>technological Institute has performed table of the anocomparison (ATC<br>and State 1) on heat Economic and (ATCL 3357) attempting the another<br>technological Institute has a second and the institute on regime measured<br>and the another was tested used the institute on regime measured<br>and the another another another another another another<br>technological Institute and the institute on regime and<br>another and the another another another another another<br>another and the another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another and technological Institute and the another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another<br>another another another<br>another another another another another another another another another another another<br>another another anoth  
   
   
   
   
   
                          | Davids Technological Institute has performed tesk of the encouncy<br>instituted virtual of the innov MACGOS and particle.<br>The tesk virtual constants with the write installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> scalad regin. The<br>david constants with the write installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> scalad regin. The<br>david constants with the scalad regin particle is and the optimized<br>test of installished on the according and the installation regin measured<br>and of the standard or purifier. These installation regin measured<br>with a scalad regin particle is an excession of the installation regin measured<br>installished the installished regin particle is an excession of the<br>installished regin of the air in the charaterization of allowine and<br>active RS2 cased by the size of the installished metallished regin en-<br>based on the measured installished regions of the write table below:<br>Tabled on the measured installished regions of the table test is<br>based on the test according and the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of the particle of the table test is<br>and the table of the test is and the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of the strengt on the table below:<br>Tabled test (colarge) and the size of   
   
   
   
   
  | Devisit Technological Institute has performed table of the subcover<br>selectation virus of the know MLGOB sub partiel.<br>The test was conducted with the write institute in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select engin. The<br>subcover is the second subcover is the subcover is a subcover<br>subcover is the subcover is the
subcover is the subcover<br>test of isolarized or the second subcover isolation of the subcover<br>test of isolarized or the second subcover isolation of the<br>subcover isolation of the subcover isolation of the subcover<br>test of isolarized or the second subcover isolation of the<br>subcover isolation of the subcover isolation of the subcover<br>test of isolation of the subcover isolation of the subcover isolation of<br>subcover isolation of the subcover isolation of the subcover<br>subcover isolation of the sub   
   
   
   
   
   | Dashed transluced in two tables to perform the tests of the same construction is the same ALCGO as the same particles. The test was constructed with the wash instantial on 20 m seeked room. The definition of the air particle same tables using NS2 bacteringhapes (ATC efficiency of the air particle same tables using NS2 bacteringhapes (ATC efficiency of the air particle same tables using NS2 bacteringhapes (ATC efficiency of the air particle same tables using NS2 bacteringhapes (ATC efficiency of the air particle same tables and these and runs after the air particle same tables and these and runs after tables and the same tables and the same tables and the same tables and ta   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Dealsh Technological Institute has performed tests to the simulation<br>institute virus of the institute data sequences of the simulation of the<br>field of the institute of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation<br>of the least was considered with the with institute in a 20 m sealed rouge. The<br>difference of the basic Excitation and (NEC 51507) as mixed as the difference<br>between the natural institution of the institute on the measured during<br>the and the basic excitation of the institute on the measured during<br>determined and consistent difference between the hardward net and the<br>activity of the simulation of the institute on the tensor of the simulation<br>determined in consistent difference between the hardward net and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfare.<br>Reads on the measured institution of fielding of the MC4500, there and the<br>Reads of the measured institution of the fielding of the MC4500 and the<br>Reads of the measured institution of the Read of the measured during<br>reads and the measured institution of the fielding of the MC4500, the read<br>field of the measured institution of the field of the Read of the measured during<br>reads and the measured institution of the Read of the measured institution in<br>Reads of the measured institution of the Read of the measured institution of<br>Reads and the measured institution of the Read of the measured institution of<br>Read of the measured institution of the Read of the read of the Read of the<br>Read of the measured institution of the read of the Read of the read of the Read of the<br>Read of the measured institution of the Read of the Read of the Read of the<br>Read of the measured institution of the Read of the Read of the Read of the<br>Read of the Read of the<br>Read of the Read of the<br>Read of the Read of   
| Databat Rechardopical Institute has performed tests to the encourse) strateging on the test was consorted with the test has performed tests of the second strateging of th   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  | | | | | | |
  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   
  |   |
| Indicident within a data with the unit instantial in a 32 m 3 added room. The difference of the air purpler was tasked room, by 623 bacteringbages (ATCC 15577-83) on the 20 bacteringbage (ATCC 155777-83) on the 20 bacteringbage (ATCC 1557777-83) on the 20 bacteringbage (ATCC 15577777-83) on the 20 bacteringbage (ATCC 1557777777777777777777777777777777777  | Indictived with the sum instantial of a 2 Dar sealed room. The<br>Effective of the air purifier was bacted and price 2b betweenpaces (ATCC<br>1597-81) on the 2bczenichia costration price 2b betweenpaces (ATCC<br>1597-81) on the 2bczenichia costration 1595) has a varue surrogate. The<br>test of advective of the variable of the 2bczenic observation of the sealer<br>the sub-standard of the variable of the 2bczenic observation of the sealer<br>test of the sealer observation relation of the variable observation of the<br>variable observation of the variable observation of the sealer observation of the<br>significant and considered differencies of the constraintion of the observation of the<br>variable observations and the constraintion of advectors and the<br>significant and constraints differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector test clearly observation differencies of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>the advector observation differencies of the advector observation observation observation observation observation differencies observation observati  | Individend WHG of Geodesian and Section 1997 and Section  | In definition While on each with the unit instantial in a 20 m statet room. The efficiency of the air purifier was backed and with 25 backeropologies (ATCC 1959) Fast with a surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was backed and with 25 backeropologies (ATCC 1959) Fast with a surrogate. The efficiency of the surrogate is the efficiency of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate. These ansatzs where the efficiency of the surrogate   | In additional matter of the set   
  | In activities of the discretized with the unit instantial in a 20 m sealed room. The effective of the air purifier was been (ATCC 15597) as a virus surrogate. The air purifier was being (ATCC 15597) as virus surrogate of the air purifier was an even surrogate (ATCC 15597) as the air purifier was an even surrogate (ATCC 15597) as the air purifier of the air purifier of the air purifier of the air purifier of the air purifier was an even surrogate (ATCC 15597) as the air purifier of  
  | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tested atom 2015 2b steeroplassing KiTCC 19397 Bas a Virus surrogate. The 2015 Point Poin  
   | Intervited on Virsio of Lee 2014 and the length of the 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The difference of the air purifier was taked at an USR 25 bateronpolesies (ATCC 2015) and 25 bateronpolesies (ATCC  | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 265: Daterophologies (AFCC 19597) Base Yung surrogate, TD 19597-B31) on the 22 Experiments Date and Valks a   
   | Intervited on Virsio of Lee 2014 and the length of the 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The difference of the air purifier was taked at an USR 25 bateronpolesies (ATCC 2015) and 25 bateronpolesies (ATCC  | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 265: Daterophologies (AFCC 19597) Base Yung surrogate, TD 19597-B31) on the 22 Experiments Date and Valks a   
   | Intervited on trans of calculations and the large of the an isolated room. The difference of the air purifier was tabled and possible and room seeked room. The difference of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on trans of calculations and the large of the an isolated room. The difference of the air purifier was tabled and possible and room seeked room. The difference of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | In additional matter of the set   | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room   
  | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room  
   | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room  | Interview Write or used with the unit instabilist in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The efficiency of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate write with lateral backwards and the instability of the air purifier with lateral lateral gran write write the lateral backward write write write write write and the instability of the air purifier. The air backward backward lateral write wr  | In additional final of use with the unit instantial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 2015: Dateronopassing KITCC 19597) has a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 2015: Dateronopassing KITCC 19597 has a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the virus of the air purifier. These instances view efficience and the virus of the virus  | In additional final of use with the unit instantial in a 20 m
<sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 2015: Dateronopassing KITCC 19597) has a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was tabled argumption 2015: Dateronopassing KITCC 19597 has a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the virus of the air purifier. These instances view efficience and the virus of the virus  | Interview Write or used with the unit instabilist in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The efficiency of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate write with lateral backwards and the instability of the air purifier with lateral lateral gran write write the lateral backward write write write write write and the instability of the air purifier. The air backward backward lateral write wr  | Intervited writes of the area of the set of  | Intervited on Vision of Lee and Lee and Instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The Lee and was considered with the unit instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The difference of the air particle was considered with the senior particle and the series of the lee and t  | In text where the result of the text of text   | Intervited on Virsio of Least<br>The basis was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was been based and the 25 base transplasses (AFCC<br>1937-81) on the 25 based room 26 based based based based based<br>based on the matching of the selection of the 25 based based based<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>product based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based<br><b>Product stribution 1</b> baset <b>2</b> based<br><b>2</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>5</b> based <b>5</b> based <b></b>  | Intervited on Virsio of Least<br>The basis was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was been based and the 25 base transplasses (AFCC<br>1937-81) on the 25 based room 26 based based based based based<br>based on the matching of the selection of the 25 based based based<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection<br>the use of the selection of the selection of the selection of the<br>product based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based based<br>based based based based based based based based based based<br><b>Product stribution 1</b> baset <b>2</b> based<br><b>2</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>2</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based <b>3</b> based<br><b>5</b> based <b>5</b> based <b></b>  | Intervited on Vision of Lease<br>The test was considered with the use instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air portier, which could (ATCC 15397) as a Virus sampagiffere<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>between the natural individual room the mark these instantiation and the<br>determined by the Section S   | Inschröden Virsis of use with the unit inschrief in 8.2 of m seeker room. The test was constanted with the unit inschrief in 82 of the service and the set of the service and the service and the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview of the directive of the directive of the one select room. The test was constructed with the use associated in the 20 m select room. The 257-261 of hold constructive of the directive   | Interview of the directive of the sector of  | Intervited wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>determined of the air particle state is a strate of the selection of selection of selections in<br>device MS2 cases by the selection of the concentration of asterne and<br>selections are conclusions even defined or defined the selections in<br>the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and and function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and and functions that below:<br>and the selections are calculated and and functions that below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the
selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selections are calculated and the selection of the selections are<br>and the selections are calculated and the function table below:<br>and the selection are table below:<br>and ta  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>anciency of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>25 yr 7.81) on the Statements and the control of the selection of selection of aircore and the<br>work was a selection of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle - selection of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore and<br>active MS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore active to the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the teste below:<br>and the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>a below:<br>a below (b) and the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>anciency of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>25 yr 7.81) on the Statements and the control of the selection of selection of aircore and the<br>work was a selection of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle - selection of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore and<br>active MS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore active to the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the teste below:<br>and the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>a below:<br>a below (b) and the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>anciency of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>25 yr 7.81) on the Statements and the control of the selection of selection of aircore and the<br>work was a selection of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle - selection of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore and<br>active MS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore active to the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the teste below:<br>and the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>a below:<br>a below (b) and the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>anciency of the air particle was tested and particle 25 the terroplassing (ATCC<br>25 yr 7.81) on the Statements and the control of the selection of selection of aircore and the<br>work was a selection of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle - selection of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of the concentration of aircore and<br>active WS2 cases (b) the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore and<br>active MS2 cases) by the air particle -<br>address of the selection of aircore active to the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the teste below:<br>and the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selection of<br>a below:<br>a below (b) and the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lead with the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the end contener<br>and an installation instruction rate and the instructionation rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in labeled to the contener<br>data was an explored by the similar to the content test on a<br>product tas details and an end of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar test of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the and the content test on a<br>detail tas details and and the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the<br>model. The<br>details are the<br>model of the<br>details of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview of the directive of the sector of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview of the directive of the sector of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview of the directive of the sector of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lead with the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the end contener<br>and an installation instruction rate and the instructionation rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in labeled to the contener<br>data was an explored by the similar to the content test on a<br>product tas details and an end of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar test of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the and the content test on a<br>detail tas details and and the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the<br>model. The<br>details are the<br>model of the<br>details of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lead with the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the end contener<br>and an installation instruction rate and the instructionation rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in labeled to the contener<br>data was an explored by the similar to the content test on a<br>product tas details and an end of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar test of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the and the content test on a<br>detail tas details and and the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the<br>model. The<br>details are the<br>model of the<br>details of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lead with the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the end contener<br>and an installation instruction rate and the instructionation rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in labeled to the contener<br>data was an explored by the similar to the content test on a<br>product tas details and an end of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar test of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the and the content test on a<br>detail tas details and and the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the<br>model. The<br>details are the<br>model of the<br>details of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lead with the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the end contener<br>and an installation instruction rate and the instructionation rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in labeled to the contener<br>data was an explored by the similar to the content test on a<br>product tas details and an end of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar test of the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the and the content test on a<br>detail tas details and and the content test on a<br>detail of the similar of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>model. The similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the similar of the<br>details of the<br>model. The<br>details are the<br>model of the<br>details of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a
prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited wrate of the wint installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air particle selected card 525 phateropaleses (ATCC<br>35597 Ba) on the 256m <sup>-</sup> /selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>selected card water with the selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>determined and an accord to the selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances and card and<br>and the selected card selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances are determined<br>and the most selected card selected card selected card the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anterner and<br>accelere MS2 card by the air public<br>back on the metacard installance of the MSCSO the reductions in<br>the selections are calculated and and to fund the table beaver.<br><b>Selections and the selections are and the selections and the selections and the selections and the selections are<br/>accelered and and the selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver.<br/><b>Selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver</b>.</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited wrate of the wint installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air particle selected card 525 phateropaleses (ATCC<br>35597 Ba) on the 256m <sup>-</sup> /selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>selected card water with the selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>determined and an accord to the selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances and card and<br>and the selected card selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances are determined<br>and the most selected card selected card selected card the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anterner and<br>accelere MS2 card by the air public<br>back on the metacard installance of the MSCSO the reductions in<br>the selections are calculated and and to fund the table beaver.<br><b>Selections and the selections are and the selections and the selections and the selections and the selections are<br/>accelered and and the selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver.<br/><b>Selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver</b>.</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited wrate of the wint installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air particle selected card 525 phateropaleses (ATCC<br>35597 Ba) on the 256m <sup>-</sup> /selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>selected card water with the selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>determined and an accord to the selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances and card and<br>and the selected card selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances are determined<br>and the most selected card selected card selected card the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anterner and<br>accelere MS2 card by the air public<br>back on the metacard installance of the MSCSO the reductions in<br>the selections are calculated and and to fund the table beaver.<br><b>Selections and the selections are and the selections and the selections and the selections and the selections are<br/>accelered and and the selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver.<br/><b>Selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver</b>.</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inactivition Wrate on the main installing in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> scalar room. The<br>Tandactory of the air partier was tested and tables that the starting scalar part of the second scala  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inactivities of version is the set of the se   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interviteous virus or use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>encience of the air partier was tested and used by the terrophologies (AFCC<br>ancience of the air partier was tested and used by the terrophologies (AFCC<br>1959). The selection of the air selection of the selection of the air selection of air selection air selection of the<br>particle test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of aircrine air air selections in<br>Selection 2000 (Selection 2000) (Selection 2  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | tectivation where use and the term in resulted in a 20 m <sup>-1</sup> select room. The<br>test was conducted with the unit resulted to a 20 betterrologoes (ATCC<br>Efficiency of the air particle was been as a virus surrogate. The<br>Selection and Selection and (VIII) was determined as the difference<br>are of readivation of the selection of the institution rate measurement<br>the use of a surroling of the air in the charafter quarking deep readivation<br>the use of a surroling of the air in the charafter quarking deep readivation<br>deatawas and consistent difference between the indexide out period. The<br>deatawas and consistent difference between dimensional deep tests and the<br>product test coerty shows a readivation of the concentration of airborne and<br>product tests of the air particle selection of airborne and<br>product tests of the air particle.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | NearWard where we have the set in the one is select room. The<br>the last was concerned with the unit installed in a 200 mis select room. The<br>decision of the air particle was lated in any 2005 Detertorpologies (ATCC<br>decision) of the lateral and the lateral was selectimicate and ender<br>enter of inactivation of the selection of the installation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the set of the<br>and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | NearWard where we have the set in the one is select room. The<br>the last was concerned with the unit installed in a 200 mis select room. The<br>decision of the air particle was lated in any 2005 Detertorpologies (ATCC<br>decision) of the lateral and the lateral was selectimicate and ender<br>enter of inactivation of the selection of the installation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the set of the<br>and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | The test was concreted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>The test was concreted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>difference of the air partiefler was tested and particle back backgroupsed (ATCC<br>afforders) of the air backgroup of the test of the test of the test<br>partiefle of installation and the installation rate measures<br>the disk of the air backgroup of the air backgroup of the test of the<br>backgroup of the air backgroup of the air backgroup of the test<br>test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test<br>test of the test of the test of the test of the test of test of test<br>test of the test of the test of the test of the test of test of test of test<br>and the test of test of test of the test of the test of test of test of test of test<br>active MS2 cases by the air backgroup of the test of test  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) schatter and the selection<br>rate of instruktion of the selection of the schetterose of the different<br>and the schetter and the schetter and the instruktion rate measure<br>the use of the like MCSO air purifier. These instruktions for priorit. The<br>determine and anomalies of these and the anather and the priorit. The<br>adversaries and the activity of the anather<br>and the schetter and the<br>arrows the schetter and the<br>activity of the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) schatter and the selection<br>rate of instruktion of the selection of the schetterose of the different<br>and the schetter and the schetter and the instruktion rate measure<br>the use of the like MCSO air purifier. These instruktions for priorit. The<br>determine and anomalies of these and the anather and the priorit. The<br>adversaries and the activity of the anather<br>and the schetter and the<br>arrows the schetter and the<br>activity of the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Write or use with the unit installed in a 20 of select room. The<br>discourse of the air purifier was tateled any parts parts barry sumpate. The<br>STSP-R31 on the Statemental and State Statemental and Statement<br>and any Statemental and the selection of the statemental and the<br>barry statemental and the selection rate and the installation rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installation rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installations rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installations for predict, the<br>distinct and consistent difference barry barry and the<br>product test clearly shows a relaxation of the constent and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Write or use with the unit installed in a 20 of select room. The<br>discourse of the air purifier was tateled any parts parts barry sumpate. The<br>STSP-R31 on the Statemental and State Statemental and Statement<br>and any Statemental and the selection of the statemental and the<br>barry statemental and the selection rate and the installation rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installation rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installations rate measure<br>the use of the law MICS0 air purifier. These installations for predict, the<br>distinct and consistent difference barry barry and the<br>product test clearly shows a relaxation of the constent and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) schatter and the selection<br>rate of instruktion of the selection of the schetterose of the different<br>and the schetter and the schetter and the instruktion rate measure<br>the use of the like MCSO air purifier. These instruktions for priorit. The<br>determine and anomalies of these and the anather and the priorit. The<br>adversaries and the activity of the anather<br>and the schetter and the<br>arrows the schetter and the<br>activity of the air purifier.  
   
   
   | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier. The<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier of the<br>aircoil of the selectory of the air of the concentration of aircoine and<br>area of the selectory of the air purifier.  
   
  | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was tacked and 2015 that terrologistics (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll (S   
   
   | Individent What is used with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>efficiency of the air purifier was testication (SES bacteroplassings (ATCC<br>5597-61) on the SECentrich and Oard Core (5597-61) and the SEC bacteroplassing (ATC<br>1597-61) and the SEC SEC (SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC  
   
  | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was tacked and 2015 that terrologistics (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll (S   
   | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was tacked and 2015 that terrologistics (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll (S  | Individual of values of calculated in a 20 m seeker room. The<br>test test was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m seeker room. The<br>efficiency of the air purfiler, mail calculated and a virtual surrouting<br>2007–21 of the other calculated and was a virtual surrouting<br>test and the air calculated and the seeker and the view of the test and the<br>rest and the natural individual room rate and these instances and<br>the use of the lines MCXOD are in in the calculated on the predict the<br>determined by compared the server the Natural Act and predict the<br>rest and the room
rest and the concentration of automa and<br>reprivate the calculated hows and adding the test content and and and<br>the calculated and the content and the content and the<br>determined by an and the calculated on the content and the<br>reprivate the calculated and the content and the content and the<br>determined and the server and the content and the and and the<br>rest of the calculated and the content and the calculated and the<br>reprivate the calculated and the lines of the content and the<br>rest of the room and the room and the room and the<br>room of the room and the room and the room of automa and<br>room of the room and the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the calculated on the<br>room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the                                | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account  | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account   | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of values of calculated in a 20 m seeker room. The<br>test test was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m seeker room. The<br>efficiency of the air purfiler, mail calculated and a virtual surrouting<br>2007–21 of the other calculated and was a virtual surrouting<br>test and the air calculated and the seeker and the view of the test and the<br>rest and the natural individual room rate and these instances and<br>the use of the lines MCXOD are in in the calculated on the predict the<br>determined by compared the server the Natural Act and predict the<br>rest and the room rest and the concentration of automa and<br>reprivate the calculated hows and adding the test content and and and<br>the calculated and the content and the content and the<br>determined by an and the calculated on the content and the<br>reprivate the calculated and the content and the content and the<br>determined and the server and the content and the and and the<br>rest of the calculated and the content and the calculated and the<br>reprivate the calculated and the lines of the content and the<br>rest of the room and the room and the room and the<br>room of the room and the room and the room of automa and<br>room of the room and the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the calculated on the<br>room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the room of the room of the room of automa and<br>room of the room of the  
  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test was social and the selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of   
  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test was social and the selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test was social and the selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier. The<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier of the<br>aircoil of the selectory of the air of the concentration of aircoine and<br>area of the selectory of the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier. The<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the purifier of the<br>aircoil of the selectory of the air of the concentration of aircoine and<br>area of the selectory of the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview Write or use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was tested at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-81) on the 25 directoria to a 2016 2015 307 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-81) on the 25 directoria to a 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Individent What a decident with the unit installed in a 20 m seleter room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was testical activations by the testical possible of the testical activation of the selection of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Individual of VIIIa of Carbon VIIIIa  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview of marks of constraints in the 20 m - sealed room. The effects was considered with the unit broatful in 20 m - sealed room. The effects of the array of the array of the constraints of the cons   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on VIIIs of USE of   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited on VIIIs of USE of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on Vision of Lease<br>The test was considered with the use instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air portier, which could (ATCC 15397) as a Virus sampagiffere<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>between the natural individual room the mark these instantiation and the<br>determined by the Section S  
   
   
   
   
  | Individual of VIIIa of according of the term in the state line is 2 on "sealed room. The<br>efficiency of the air purifier has according 1955 bacteropolages (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purifier has according
1955 bacteropolages (ATCC<br>1959) A31 of VIIIa (ATC) and the state line is a virus airrogation re-<br>ent state of the air purifier has a virus airrogation re-<br>textures the natural institution relation. These instatewaters are the measured during<br>the use of the Xinov Kiro of the air in the classification relation of the air and   
   
   
   
   
   | Inactivities of values of local set of the set is instantial in a 2 Dm resident room. The<br>deficiency of the air purfiler, while used is not set of the set   
   
   
   
   
   
  | In text where the method with the unit invasitive in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The entropy of the air purpler was been (ACC 35597) as a wrung sumption. The provide the air purpler was been (ACC 35597) as a wrung sumption. The provide the air purpler and the instability of the air purpler was been entropy and the air purpler and the instability of the air purpler and the air purple and the a   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited writes of the area of the set of  | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room   |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Indertwork What a user conducted with the unit-instantial for a 20 mT easier from . The efficiency of the air parifier wat based on the 20 bit enterparises (ACC 15577-81) on the 22 biter Parison (20 KeV 20 KeV 2   | Inder-Werdon Werdon de comparison de la   | Individend WHG of Geodesian and Section 1997 and Section  | In definition While on each with the unit instantial in a 20 m stated room. The efficiency of the air purifier was backed and with 25 backeropologies (ATCC 1959) Fast with a surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was backed and with 25 backeropologies (ATCC 1959) Fast with a surrogate. The efficiency of the surrogate is the efficiency of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate of the surrogate. These ansatzs where the during during backet of the surrogate of the   | In additional matter of the set   
  | In activities of WHL 6 or Local With the unit instantial in a 20 or sealed room. The efficiency of the air purifier was been (ATCC 15597) as a virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was been (ATCC 15597) as virus surrogate. The efficiency of the air purifier was an even surrogate of the air purifier was an even surrogate of the air purifier. The efficiency of the air purifier was an even surrogate of the air purifier was an even surrogate of the air purifier. The event of the air purifier of the content of the air purifier of the air purifier. The event of the air purifier of the air purifier of the content of the air purifier of the air purifier of the air purifier of the air purifier. The air purifier is the air purifier of the air purifier   | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tested atom 2015 2b steeroplassing KiTCC 19397 Bas a Virus surrogate. The 2015 Point Poin  
   
   | Intervited on Virsio of Lee 2014 and the length of the 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The difference of the air purifier was taked atom 2015 20 betropologies (ATC C 2015) 2017 bits and the difference of the difference o  | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tested atom 2015 2b steeroplassing KiTCC 19397 Bas a Virus surrogate. The 2015 Point Poin  
  | Intervited on Virsio of Lee 2014 and the length of the 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The difference of the air purifier was taked atom 2015 20 betropologies (ATC C 2015) 2017 bits and the difference of the difference o  | Interview of Walks of Lectron with the unit industrial in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> sealer room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tested atom 2015 2b steeroplassing KiTCC 19397 Bas a Virus surrogate. The 2015 Point Poin   
   | Intervited on trans of calculations and the large of the an isolated room. The difference of the air purifier was tabled and possible and room seeked room. The difference of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on trans of calculations and the large of the an isolated room. The difference of the air purifier was tabled and possible and room seeked room. The difference of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | In additional matter of the set   
   | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room   
  | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room  
   | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room  | Interview Write or used with the unit instabilist in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The efficiency of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate write with lateral backwards and the instability of the air purifier with lateral lateral gran write write the lateral backward write write write write write and the instability of the air purifier. The air backward backward lateral write wr  | In additional formation of the sense of the   | In additional formation of the sense of the   | Interview Write or used with the unit instabilist in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The efficiency of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier was lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate. The 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room of the air purifier with lateral gran write surrogate write with lateral backwards and the instability of the air purifier with lateral lateral gran write write the lateral backward write write write write write and the air the the latera backward write  | Intervited writes of the area of the set of  | Intervited on Vision of Lee and Lee and Instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The Lee and was considered with the unit instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The difference of the air particle was considered with the senior particle and the series of the lee and t  | In text where the result of the text of text   
                     | Intervited on Virsio of Least<br>The basis was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was breaked and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconposition of the<br>barded the air advancement of the extended and the air advancement<br>the use darked and the instance in the installation rate more different<br>and the USS and USS and a rules. These installations rate more different<br>and the USS and USS and a rules. These installations rate more different<br>and the standard different barden and the installation of all more and the<br>product test clearly shows a realization of the concentration of airborner and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier<br>barden to the measured installation and in the table below.<br>Product striketions are calculated and and the table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are below  | Intervited on Virsio of Least<br>The basis was considered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was breaked and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconpositions (ATCC<br>10597-181) on the Extended and USS to barconposition of the<br>barded the air advancement of the extended and the air advancement<br>the use darked and the instance in the installation rate more different<br>and the USS and USS and a rules. These installations rate more different<br>and the USS and USS and a rules. These installations rate more different<br>and the standard different barden and the installation of all more and the<br>product test clearly shows a realization of the concentration of airborner and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier<br>barden to the measured installation and in the table below.<br>Product striketions are calculated and and the table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are table below.<br>Product striketion are below  | Intervited on Vision of Lease<br>The test was considered with the use instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air portier, which could (ATCC 15397) as a Virus sampagiffere<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>2007-81.0 (or 1000 could be accessible deficiency of the Section Section<br>between the natural individual room the mark these instantiation and the<br>determined by the Section S   | Inschröden Virsis of use with the unit inschrief in 8.2 of m seeker room. The test was constanted with the unit inschrief in 82 of the service and the set of the service and the service and the set of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview of the directive of the directive of the select room. The test was constructed with the uses associated in the 20 m select room. The 20 m select room is the directive of the directiv  | Interview of the discussed with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>efficiency of the ein partieller was test (AACC 55397) as a virus sumptite. The<br>STSP78.10 in the STSP control of the STSP control of the strength of the<br>rate of installation of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>determined by sampling of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the   | Intervited wrate of the wint installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air particle selected card 525 phateropaleses (ATCC<br>35597 Ba) on the 256m <sup>-</sup> /selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>selected card water with the selected card 55597 Bas a virus surrogate. The<br>determined and an accord to the selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances and card and<br>and the selected card selected card selected card and<br>the use of the law MCSO air particles. These installances are determined<br>and the most selected card selected card selected card the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anterner and<br>accelere MS2 card by the air public<br>back on the metacard installance of the MSCSO the reductions in<br>the selections are calculated and and to fund the table beaver.<br><b>Selections and the selections are and the selections and the selections and the selections and the selections are<br/>accelered and and
the selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver.<br/><b>Selections are accelered and and the fund the table beaver</b>.</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo
periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the second during<br>before and the line AVCS of a prufier. Theory or vision of vision and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in language and the<br>particle was and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>installed and the second during the<br>product tas denity and a prufier.<br>Based on the measured installed matching and and the<br>determined as an end the discussion of the<br>Nacional Statement and<br>and the second by the air purfier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview of the discussed with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>efficiency of the ein partieller was test (AACC 55397) as a virus sumptite. The<br>STSP78.10 in the STSP control of the STSP control of the strength of the<br>rate of installation of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>determined by sampling of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Interview of the discussed with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>efficiency of the ein partieller was test (AACC 55397) as a virus sumptite. The<br>STSP78.10 in the STSP control of the STSP control of the strength of the<br>rate of installation of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>determined by sampling of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview of the discussed with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>efficiency of the ein partieller was test (AACC 55397) as a virus sumptite. The<br>STSP78.10 in the STSP control of the STSP control of the strength of the<br>rate of installation of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of
the<br>determined by sampling of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>probability of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the<br>strength of the strength of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC
35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and  | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the
structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and | Intervited in Visio of Lew With the unit installed in 8.2 of m sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air particle was test (AVCC 35597) as a virus surrogate. The<br>3557-381 of host contenerouslike VISI was determined as the contener<br>and a distribution of the contenerouslike VISI was determined as the excess of the<br>determined the limit instruction rate and the instruction of rates were<br>determined by sampling of the sim in laboren with the activate dama<br>particle starts and the simulation of the sampling and the<br>product tas denity and and the instruction of the<br>adversified as an end of the activate and and<br>product tas denity and a purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation of the structures of the NACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO, the reductions in<br>simulations and the structures of the SACSIO simulations of<br>a simulation and simulations and<br>a simulations and the structures of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>the structure of the structure of<br>a simulation and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulations and the structure of<br>a simulation and<br>a simulation and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>determined of the air particle state is a strate of the selection of selection of the selection of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervition Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>direction of the air particle with selected cargo periods that the properties of the<br>anticency of the air particle with selected cargo periods. The comparison of the<br>selected cargo periods are selected as a selected cargo period. The<br>selected cargo periods are and the installation rate massifier<br>and an antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>selected cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods are antiparticle selected cargo periods and the<br>product set of selected cargo periods and the<br>cargo periods and the selected cargo period. The<br>periods and cargo periods are antiparticle selected cargo period. The<br>product set certary shows a reduction of the concentration of antioner and<br>active MS2 cargo by the air particle.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selections are cargo periods and and the out in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching of the MAGOO, the reductions in<br>the selection of antiparticle selections of antiparticle selections of<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and and hourd in the table bears.<br>Based on the metalated matching selections of antiparticle selections in<br>antiparticle selections are cargo periods and the hourd bears and<br>antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are based bears and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and the selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and and hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle selections are accurated and the hourd periods are accurated and<br>and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and antiparticle and the<br>antiparticle and antiparticle antipartic   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>determined of the air particle state is a strate of the selection of selection of the selection of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>determined of the air particle state is a strate of the selection of selection of selections in<br>detection. The selection of the selection of the concentration of asterne and<br>detection. The selection of the selection of the selections in<br>the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>detection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>detection of the selection of the selection of the selection of the selections in<br>detection of the selection selection of the sel  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inactivition Wrate on the main installing in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> scalar room. The<br>Executive constrained with the unit installing in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> scalar room. The<br>Executive of the air partier was tested and the 25 has beerongoinges (ATCC<br>2597-81) on the Scherichina coil (VIII-597) has a virus surrogate. The<br>test of instraviation of the anchor of the scalar scalar room<br>the use of the instruction rate and the instruction rate measure<br>and the instruction of an uniter. These instructions room<br>the use of the instruction rate and the instruction rate measure<br>and the instruction of the instruction of the instruction of an endown<br>and the instruction of the construction of anchore and<br>and the VIII constrained the analysis of the constraint on anchore and<br>active VSI counses of thereine between the NAC300, the enductions in<br>Based on the measured instruction of an endown in the table<br>tester is the instruction of an endown in the table<br>and the measured instruction of an endown in the table<br>and the tester is the instruction of an endown in the table<br>and the scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar in the table<br>and the scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar in the table<br>and the scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar in<br>an endown in the scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar in the<br>analysis of the scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar scalar in the table<br>and the scalar scala  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inactivities of version is the set of the se   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inactivities of visua of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>enciency of the air partier was tested and wells 25 batterroplassing (ATC<br>anciency of the air partier was tested and wells 25 batterroplassing (ATC<br>25 yr) as a visua selection of the air selection of the air selection of the air selection<br>test of instruktion of the air selection of the air selection of air selection of<br>the well of the law MCS00 air partiers. These instruktions rate measure<br>the well of the law MCS00 air partiers. These instruktions for the<br>determinest and consistent of there air selection of air batteria and the<br>particular selection of the air selection of air batteria and<br>are the selection of air batteria and<br>particular selection of air batteria and<br>are the selection of air batteria and<br>active MS2 caused by the air particle.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | tectivation where use and the term in resulted in a 20 m <sup>-1</sup> select room. The<br>test was conducted with the unit resulted to a 20 betterrologoes (ATCC<br>Efficiency of the air particle was been as a virus surrogate. The<br>Selection and Selection and (VIII) was determined as the difference<br>are of readivation of the selection of the institution rate measurement<br>the use of a surroling of the air in the charafter quarking deep readivation<br>the use of a surroling of the air in the charafter quarking deep readivation<br>deatawas and consistent difference between the indexide out period. The<br>deatawas and consistent difference between dimensional deep tests and the<br>product test coerty shows a readivation of the concentration of airborne and<br>product tests of the air particle selection of airborne and<br>product tests of the air particle.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | NearWard where we have the set in the one is select room. The<br>the last was concerned with the unit installed in a 200 mis select room. The<br>decision of the air particle was lated in any 2005 Detertorpologies (ATCC<br>decision) of the lateral and the lateral was selectimicate and ender<br>enter of inactivation of
the selection of the installation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the set of the<br>and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | NearWard where we have the set in the one is select room. The<br>the last was concerned with the unit installed in a 200 mis select room. The<br>decision of the air particle was lated in any 2005 Detertorpologies (ATCC<br>decision) of the lateral and the lateral was selectimicate and ender<br>enter of inactivation of the selection of the installation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>the use of the harmonic rate and the inactivation rate measure<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the concentration of a before<br>and the set of the set of the set of the<br>and  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | The test was concreted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>test was concreted with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>afficiency of the air partiefler was tested and particle back backgroupsed (ATCC<br>afficiency of the air backgroupsed) and the selection of the tested of the<br>selection of the tested of the selection of the selection of the<br>installed of the tested of the tested of the selection of the<br>backgroupsed of the tested of the tested of the<br>selection of the tested of the tested of the<br>selection of the tested of the tested of the<br>and the selection of the tested of the<br>particular test of constrained inference backgroups and the<br>product test deshrip shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>backmode 20 million and<br>active MS2 cases by the air particle.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>and schatteropologies) and the air schetter schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and the air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and an air schetteropologi  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>and schatteropologies) and the air schetter schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and the air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and and an air schetteropologies) and an air schetteropologies (ATCC<br>and an air schetteropologi   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Wrate of use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>determined of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>anciency of the air purifier was tested and purified Schatteropologies (ATCC<br>35597-B1) on host Schernich and on (20 cm) schatter and the selection<br>rate of instruktion of the selection of the schetterose of the different<br>and the schetter and the schetter and the instruktion rate measure<br>the use of the like MCSO air purifier. These instruktions for priorit. The<br>determine and anomalies of these and the anather and the priorit. The<br>adversaries and the activity of the anather<br>and the schetter and the<br>arrows the schetter and the<br>activity of the air purifier.   
   
   
  | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and exercises of these aircoil area of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the air of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of<br>aircoil of<br>a   
   
   | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>deficiency of the air purifier was tacked and used by the terrophologies (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll   
  | Individent What is used with the unit installed
in a 20 m select room. The<br>efficiency of the air purifier was testication (SES bacteroplassings (ATCC<br>5597-61) on the SECentrich and Oard Core (5597-61) and the SEC bacteroplassing (ATC<br>1597-61) and the SEC SEC (SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC SEC   
   
   | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>deficiency of the air purifier was tacked and used by the terrophologies (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll   
  | Individent VIIIs or used with the unit installed in a 20 m' seleter room. The<br>deficiency of the air purifier was tacked and used by the terrophologies (ATCC<br>1959) Fast on the Selenchina coll (Selenchina Coll   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test was social and the selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of   | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the
air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account  | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account   | Individual value as constrained in a 20 m sealed room. The<br>test was accounted with the ante useral individual and the search operation<br>efficiency of the air purpler mis account (NTCC 1597) has a virus surrogation<br>(STCC 1597) has a virus and the search of the STC was accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted by the accounted and the<br>test of the air purpler was accounted and the measured during<br>the use of the air MCSCO as if in the character our as 2-hor particular<br>determined by sampler and the accounted and the constrained and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted and the constrained and a submer and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>accounted by an accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>provided the calculated by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and accounted and<br>the constrained by the accounted on the constrained and the constrained accounted accounted accounted and the constrained accounted account  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test was social and the selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of a selection of a selection of the selection of   
  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test two social conducted with the unit installed in graph to technologies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) as a virus surroutine<br>test of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>rest of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>the use of the lines (ATCC) and a rin in these instances and range<br>determined by sumsystem difference between the Natural of air borne and<br>responde the condition with and a rest of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest the condition of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest test conditions.   | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20
m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon  | Individual varias as occurs with the one instantial is a 20 m sealed room. The<br>End set was conducted with the one instantial on S52 bacteropolagies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfler was account (ATCC 1597) has a virus surrogate.<br>The second second second second second second second second second second<br>the second second second second second second second second second<br>the use of the large (ATCC) and in the these instantial areas were<br>determined by compare the second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second second<br>determined by compare and second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the second second second second second second second<br>register the contrast second secon   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test two social conducted with the unit installed in graph to technologies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) as a virus surroutine<br>test of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>rest of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>the use of the lines (ATCC) and a rin in these instances and range<br>determined by sumsystem difference between the Natural of air borne and<br>responde the condition with and a rest of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest the condition of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest test conditions.  
   
   
   
   
   | Individual of the of conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m select room. The<br>test two social conducted with the unit installed in graph to technologies (ATCC<br>efficiency of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) as a virus surroutine<br>test of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>rest of the air purfers mail condition (ATCC 15997) has a virus surroutine<br>the use of the lines (ATCC) and a rin in these instances and range<br>determined by sumsystem difference between the Natural of air borne and<br>responde the condition with and a rest of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest the condition of the constraintion of airborne and<br>enginest test conditions.                        
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and exercises of these aircoil area of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the air of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of<br>aircoil of<br>a   
   
   
   
   
   | Inschreiden Virsici or Landwick with the unit instabilistic in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was texted at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-83) on bits 256m/nha coil (30597) has a virus sumpate. The<br>area of inschreiden of the aircoil was directoring at the different<br>effective selectory of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>between the industry MACSD air purifier. These inscrivation rate measure<br>the use of
the law MACSD air purifier. These inscrivations have predict the<br>different selectory of the air in the name of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and exercises of these aircoil area of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>constraint and aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the air of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the<br>aircoil of the aircoil of the<br>aircoil of<br>aircoil of<br>a   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervision Write or Law with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>discourse of the encodered with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederopause (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohan course) (Schederohangese) (ATC<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> Schederohangese) (Schederohangese) (Schederohang   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview Write or use with the unit installed in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>directory of the air purifier was tested at 2015 30 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-81) on the 25 directoria to a 2016 2015 307 historopasses (ATCC<br>30597-81) on the 25 directoria to a 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Individent What a decident with the unit installed in a 20 m seleter room. The<br>difference of the air purifier was testical activations by the testical possible of the testical activation of the selection of th   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Individual of VIIIa of Carbon VIIIIa   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Interview of marks of constraints in the 20 m - sealed room. The effects was considered with the unit broatful in 20 m - sealed room. The effects of the array of the array of the constraints of the cons  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Intervited on VIIIs of Loss With the use instantial in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>Earls was accorded with the use instantial in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>20 m <sup>-</sup> 20 m <sup>-</sup> 2   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on VIIIs of Loss With the use instantial in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>Earls was accorded with the use instantial in a 20 m <sup>-</sup> select room. The<br>20 m
<sup>-</sup> 20 m <sup>-</sup> 2   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited on Vision of Lease<br>The test was considered with the use instantial in a 20 on sealed room. The<br>deficiency of the air portient with a constraint of the search operating the<br>2007-81.01 of the air portient with a constraint of the search operating the<br>2007-81.01 of the air portient with a constraint on the search of the search operating the<br>constraint of the air portient with the air search operating the search<br>between the natural individual on the main three in naturation of the search of the<br>determined by of the air in the constraint of the search of the search<br>are the search operating the constraint of the search operating the search<br>are the search operating the search operating the search operating the<br>determined by or search operating the constraint on the search operating the<br>product search operating the search operating the search operating the<br>Back of the measured instantiation affecting the MACGOUN the search<br>the determined by the search operating the search operating the table before.<br>The search operating the search operating the search operating the table before.<br>The search operating the search operating the search operating the search<br>the search operating the search operating the search operating the search operating the<br><b>Search operating the search oper</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Individual of VIIIa of according with the one instantial in a 20 m seater room. The<br>efficiency of the air purifier that accord (ATCC 1597) Ba a Vinia surrogation<br>(STC) (STC)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inactivities of values of local set of the set is instantial in a 2 on resider room. The<br>efficiency of the air purfiler, while used is not set of the set  
   
   
   
   
   
  | In text where the method with the unit invasitive in a 20 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The entropy of the air purpler was been (ACC 35597) as a wrung sumption. The provide the air purpler was been (ACC 35597) as a wrung sumption. The provide the air purpler and the instability of the air purpler was been entropy and the air purpler and the instability of the air purpler and the air purple and the a   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Intervited writes of the area of the set of  | Interview of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized with the unit installed in 8.0 m <sup>2</sup> select room. The effective of the discretized room   |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  | | | | | | |
  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
                                  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |   |  |   |
| efficiency of the art scenerolized cold (NTC: 15597) as a single as the difference 1597-81) model of the aerosized bar 24 we detrivation of the measured during the scenario of the aerosized bar 24 we detrivation of the measured during the scenario of the scenario o   | efficiency of the all posterior can (MYCC 3597) has a five a struct as the efference is a first order of the encodered RV was detected on the encodered RV and RV was detected on the RV was detected and RV was detected an   | efficiency of the all power/bit con (AVCC 5597) Ba A You as the efference<br>into all the intervence (AVCC 5597) Ba A You as the efference<br>into all the hardward intervence of the ensuined arms<br>the answer of the answer of the the answer of the ensuined<br>arms of the all conditions in the and the ensuined arms<br>the set of the all conditions (AVCC 64) and the answer of the<br>all conditions are address of the content of the all content and<br>a set of the all conditions are address of the all conditions and<br>and the AVCC 64 and all conditions are address of the<br>AVCC 64 and the ensuined in address of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the and in the reductions are address of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the and in the reductions are address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the address of the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the address of the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the address of the AVCC 600, the address of the AVCC 600, the<br>Poderat stribution 1 here 5 2007 and 5 2007<br>Appendix the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the address of the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the reductions in<br>the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the AVCC 600, the<br>AVCC 600,   | efficiency of the air powerking con (ArCC 1597) Fa air Analysis and the efference inset of in the natural induction rate and the analysis of  | efficiency of the alt powerk/to can (APCC 5597) Ba A virtual set le efference<br>powerk (APCC 5597) Ba A virtual set le efference<br>textures the natural induction rate and these inductions are measured during<br>the use of the line (APCC) and in the texture of the texture of texture of the line (APCC)<br>determined by sampling difference between the Natural observation and<br>and the texture of the line (APCC) and the texture of texture of texture of textures<br>determined by sampling difference between the Natural observations and<br>and the texture of the texture of texture of texture of textures and<br>by and in the measurement instructions efficiency of the NaCSO, the endeddown in<br>the same of the texture of textures and the texture of the texture of textures and<br>textures and the texture of the texture of textures and the texture<br><b>Production</b> , the <b>Define</b> .<br><b>Defined</b> of the texture of textures and the texture of textures and<br>textures and the texture of the texture of textures and the textures and<br>textures and textures and textures and the texture of textures and<br>textures and the texture of textures and the texture of textures and textures and<br>textures and textures and textures and textures and the textures and the textures and textures   
   
   | efficiency of the attractionatory con (AFCC 5597) Bas Available at the efference of the attractionatory of attractionatory of the attrac   | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bar was detected on the measured during the distribution of the encounted bar was detected on the measured during bar and the second during the constraint on the advance and the second during bar and the second during bar and the second during the second during bar and the secon  
   | efficiency of the air locaretritude can (ATCC 5597) as a varian set the efference rate of the teachy of the exospice MSV were detailed in the measured during the d  | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bar was detected on the measured during the distribution of the encounted bar was detected on the measured during bar and the second during the constraint on the advance and the second during bar and the second during bar and the second during the second during bar and the secon  
  | efficiency of the air locaretritude can (ATCC 5597) as a varian set the efference rate of the teachy of the exospice MSV were detailed in the measured during the d  | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bar was detected on the measured during the distribution of the encounted bar was detected on the measured during bar and the second during the constraint on the advance and the second during bar and the second during bar and the second during the second during bar and the secon   
   | efficiency of the last locamentation can (ATCC 15597) als all values the offference prace of the according MeV share the according MeV share the offference prace of the machine of the according MeV share discussion to the measured during between the mathematic methods and the according MeV share and the mathematic measured during between the NALTAR and ACCO all practices. The according MeV shares and the measured interface the two contents and according meV shares and the according MeV shares and the according MeV shares and the measured interface of the NACS00, the reductions in the action of the shares and the according MeV shares and the acco   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the last locamentation can (ATCC 15597) als all values the offference prace of the according MeV share the according MeV share the offference prace of the machine of the according MeV share discussion to the measured during between the mathematic methods and the according MeV share and the mathematic measured during between the NALTAR and ACCO all practices. The according MeV shares and the measured interface the two contents and according meV shares and the according MeV shares and the according MeV shares and the measured interface of the NACS00, the reductions in the action of the shares and the according MeV shares and the acco   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the attractionation (ATCC 5597) Bas Andreas the efference<br>page 100 and 100 and<br>the set of the Barrol and 100 and 100 and 100 and 100 and 100 and<br>the set of the Barrol MCXO attraction the start fraction takes were<br>determined by sampling of the construction of the construction of the<br>determined by sampling of the construction of a storage and<br>determined by sampling of the construction of a storage and<br>determined by the start fraction of the construction of a storage and<br>determined by the start fraction of the construction of a storage and<br>determined by the start fraction of the construction of a storage and<br>by and in the measurement fractionation efficiency of the MCXOs, the evaluations in<br>the storage and the measurement fraction of the storage and the storage<br><b>Posterion</b> , <b>b 0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>1</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>1</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>2</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>1</b> , <b>0</b> , <b>1</b> ,<br><b>1</b> , <b>1</b> ,   
  | efficiency of the last locamenta con (ATCC 15597) as a single as the efference of the activitient of the ac  
   | efficiency of the last locamenta con (ATCC 15597) as a single as the efference of the activitient of the ac  | efficiency of the last locamenta con (ATCC 15597) as a single as the efference of the activitient of the ac  
   | efficiency of the air scienceshic case (AFCC 5597) is a law rule as the efference space of in the natural individual of the anomalous of the   | efficiency of the air coverentize can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference of the air coverentize can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference of the enclander BA Value are the effective of the MACS0 of a radiative and a Value are the effective of the MACS0 of the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the the the effective of the the the the the effective of the  | efficiency of the air coverentize can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference of the air coverentize can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Value are the efference of the enclander BA Value are the effective of the MACS0 of a radiative and a Value are the effective of the MACS0 of the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0 of the the effective of the MACS0. The effective of the MACS0 of the the the the effective of the the the the the effective of the  | efficiency of the air scienceshic case (AFCC 5597) is a law rule as the efference space of in the natural individual of the anomalous of the   | affection of the last location con (ATCC 15597) all a should as the efference of the activity of the strength  | affection of the ant incrementation can (AFCC 5597) Bas Available as the afference based of the accessibility of   | efficiency of the air Economics con (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air Economics con (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air of the aerositical BA Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air of the air or (AFCC 5497) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>the use of the air or (AFCC) as if print these necessario array estimation<br>determined by sampling of directors between the Natural decay test of<br>the origination of air or (AFCC) as a print the content station of a<br>determined by sampling of directors are the content station of a<br>determined by sampling of air or (AFCC) and the station of the<br>product test closed by the air ourflet.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the NaCS00, the reductions in<br>fixed in 0.5 eventuations of a factorized on a factor of air or (AFCC)<br>and the operations of a factorized on the state below.<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> , <b>9</b>  | efficiency of the air Connectivition (ATCC 15597) is as a virtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural role activities (M2 wile detail and a sirtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural individual role and the instantiant set of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the<br>role of the air matter of the activities of the activities and the<br>product test clearly atoms of the activities of the concentration of activities and the<br>role of the activities of the activities of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the measured instantiant on the concentration of a alternare and<br>activities and the measured instantiant of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the reductions are activitiated and and the found in the table bear.<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours   
   | efficiency of the air Connectivition (ATCC 15597) is as a virtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural role activities (M2 wile detail and a sirtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural individual role and the instantiant set of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the<br>role of the air matter of the activities of the activities and the<br>product test clearly atoms of the activities of the concentration of activities and the<br>role of the activities of the activities of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the measured instantiant on the concentration of a alternare and<br>activities and the measured instantiant of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the reductions are activitiated and and the found in the table bear.<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 A Visita sub-efference<br>between the natural for development of the last number of the last number<br>between the natural individual number. These instructions are the measured during<br>the use of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>definitions and consistent difference last networks on the table<br>the last number of the last number of the last number of the last<br>product set clearly those a realization of the number of the last<br>table of the measured individual of the number of the last<br>based on the measured individual of the last found in the table before:<br>The dast number of the last number of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last of the last of the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the table before:<br>The dast in the relations are to last of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the last before:<br>The dast of the measured individual of the last of the   | efficiency of the air bounnership con (ATCC 5597) 48 and Values are efference<br>500 of starting of the aerositical 64 of the discrimination of the aerositical 64 of the<br>between the natural indication rate and the discrimination of the aerositical 64 of the first<br>determined by consistent afference between the Naturation rates were<br>determined by consistent afference between the Naturation of the sector<br>are the sector of the air in the constraints on of aerositical 64 of the<br>determined by consistent afference between the Naturation of aerositical<br>active MS2 caused by the air and/or of the constraints of aerositical for a<br>based on the measured indications of efficiency of the Naturations in<br>the and in log-measured indications of the found in the table below:<br><b>Product straints</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a Number as the efference<br>15597 a) control of the aerositical efficiency of the and the efference<br>backeting the alternative of the aerositical efficiency of the and the and the<br>tableting the alternative of the aerositical efficiency of the and the<br>determined by sampling the alternative threes nearositical product and<br>applications control of the air in the accentration of a abovers and<br>active NS2 caused by these a reduction of the concentration of a abovers and<br>active NS2 caused by the air particle.<br>Based on the measured matching on efficiency of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>the same distribution is a starting of the same of the table below:<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and<br>Based on the measured matching on the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same below:<br>Based on the measured matching on the same below:<br>Based on the measured matching on the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the table below:<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same
below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same below.<br>Based on the measured matching on the same and the same | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are server<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reduction of the directive of the di   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of
the MACOD, the reduction of the directive of the di  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table
begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 value distribution that the efference<br>table of the transmission of the and the value distribution table and<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation rates were<br>the use of the Taimon ACCO of any inter three inservation and the form<br>of the ACCO of any interval table to the three inservation of the<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test within<br>the ACCO of the ACCO of any interval<br>table MS2 caused by the air purfiles.<br>Based on the measured inservation efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>man in the executions are causaled and are found in the table behavior.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air accentration con (ATCC 1597) is as a Virus as the efference<br>rate of instantian instruments on (ATCC 1597) is as Virus as the efference<br>rate of instantian instruments on the instantian energy and uning<br>between if the limit on (ATCS) air puffers, there are over a 2-hour period. The<br>efficience of the air puffers of the air puffers of the air puffers of the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of aircore and<br>the MIL 2000 the air puffers.<br>Based on the measured instruments of the former and a form and<br>a former and a former and a former and the table before.<br>Based on the measured instruments of the concentration of aircore and<br>a former and a former and a former and the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air convertience out (ATCC 15597) is a law and the difference<br>rate of instantial to develope the end wide and the weak of the air convertience<br>rate of instantial individual rate and the instantial convertience of the air convertience<br>between the Attraction AtCS of air patient. The air convertience of the air convertience<br>the air convertience of the air in the data of the air convertience of the<br>air convertience of the air convertience on the air convertience of the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airchore and<br>aircline XE convertience of the air convertience on the airchore and<br>aircline XE convertience on the air convertience on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the convertience on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air accentricities core (AFCC 5597) is a law the efference<br>part of the air accentricities core (AFCC 5597) is a law to be efference<br>rate of hardware individual or the another weak addemining the measured during<br>between if the linear MCSO air purplets. There are over a 2-hour period. The<br>disabilities of the another the addeministration of accentration of<br>accentration of the addeministration of accentration of accentration of<br>product text clearly shows a reductive of the concentration of accentration of<br>accentration of the air purplets.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Inficiency of the air packenchia coil (NTCC 1557) is a kind as the difference<br>size of indication of the encounted VEX was determined in the magured outring<br>size of indicational indications are and the indicational sector of the indication<br>before and the immovement of the indication of the indication of the<br>sector of the immovement difference before the Natural decay test and the<br>significant and constrained inflerence before the Indication of airborne and<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NSC caused by the air patient.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air juscimulation con (ATCC 15597) is a struct as the difference<br>rate of inactivity of the encounter of the weat determining the measured during<br>between the him conduction rate and the inactivity optimization rates were<br>between the him condition of the init of the measured during<br>between the structure of the structure of the structure of the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the classifier of a 42-box period. The<br>significant and conduction of the observation of allowmer and<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of<br>the MS2 caused by the air pullifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air juscimulation con (ATCC 15597) is a struct as the difference<br>rate of inactivity of the encounter of the weat determining the measured during<br>between the him conduction rate and the inactivity optimization rates were<br>between the him condition of the init of the measured during<br>between the structure of the structure of the structure of the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the classifier of a 42-box period. The<br>significant and conduction of the observation of allowmer and<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of<br>the MS2 caused by the air pullifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air isocherchics con (AFCC 15597) is a two site ofference<br>parts of intervence of the encounter of the was determined are the ofference<br>rate of intervence of the encounter of the was determined are measured during<br>between the human MoSCO air purifier. There are one 2 - human priority<br>the determined by sampling of the air in the character over 2 - human priority. The<br>significant and constrained inference between the Natural decay test and the<br>priority task clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MSC acased by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597) is as into after each of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597). The set of the encould will be varied with a varies of the encould will be varies of the air locaracity of the encould will be varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the air in the dame the varies a varies a varies of the varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the loc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597) is as into after each of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597). The set of the encould will be varied with a varies of the encould will be varies of the air locaracity of the encould will be varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of
the air in the dame the varies a varies a varies of the varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the loc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure out as 2 hours priod. The<br>application at consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of althorms and<br>active NS2 caused by the air putifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure out as 2 hours priod. The<br>application at consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of althorms and<br>active NS2 caused by the air putifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597) is as into after each of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597). The set of the encould will be varied with a varies of the encould will be varies of the air locaracity of the encould will be varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the air in the dame the varies a varies a varies of the varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the loc   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.  
   
  | efficiency of the air councertains can (ArCC 15597) is a law rules as the efference<br>15597-81) on hours of the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours have the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours MeXCO air praffer. There are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>service have the MeXCO air praffer, there are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Neural decay tests and the<br>module test cells with hours a reduction of the consentration of airborne and<br>cells NEX 2 and by the air public.   
   
   | efficiency of the air councertain count (ArcC 1597) Ba a Yutua sub- efference<br>1597-B1) on hour of the servoice HAV SW as determined are negated during<br>between the hard negative termined in the institution energy and during<br>between the hard institution rate and the institution council on the<br>service of the hard NACSO air profiler. Unlike are one a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the club, the NALI and except test and the<br>inguinformat and counsists of direction batta that hard water test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NAC2 cases by the air public.   
   
  | efficiency of the air councertains can (ArCC 15597) is a law rules as the efference<br>15597-81) on hours of the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours have the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours MeXCO air praffer. There are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>service have the MeXCO air praffer, there are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Neural decay tests and the<br>module test cells with hours a reduction of the consentration of airborne and<br>cells NEX 2 and by the air public.   
   
   | efficiency of the air councertains can (ArCC 15597) is a law rules as the efference<br>15597-81) on hours of the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours have the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours MeXCO air praffer. There are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>service have the MeXCO air praffer, there are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Neural decay tests and the<br>module test cells with hours a reduction of the consentration of airborne and<br>cells NEX 2 and by the air public.  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>interval of the accession of the accession of the accession of the accession of the<br>basic and accession of the accession of the accession of the accession of the<br>textures the natural indication on main accession in the accession of the accession of the<br>determined by accession of the acris in the categories accession of the<br>accession of the accession of the accession of the accession of accession of<br>accession of the accession of the accession of the concentration of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of the accession of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of accession of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of accession of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of accession of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of accession of accession of accesses and<br>accession of the accession of acces                   | efficiency of an least Economic con (APCC 5397) Fail and the addresses<br>and the address of the second economic address of the addresses<br>and addressing of the second economic address and the<br>based on the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward and the address<br>addresses of the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward address and the<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address address<br>addresses addresses address   | efficiency of an least Economic con (APCC 5397) Fail and the addresses<br>and the address of the second economic address of the addresses<br>and addressing of the second economic address and the<br>based on the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward and the address<br>addresses of the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward address and the<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address address<br>addresses addresses address   
  | efficiency of an least Economic con (APCC 5397) Fail and the addresses<br>and the address of the second economic address of the addresses<br>and addressing of the second economic address and the<br>based on the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward and the address<br>addresses of the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward address and the<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address address<br>addresses addresses address   | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are the ensured during<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are measured during<br>the use of the Janco Mor of the air in the anather of the second basic during<br>determined by considering the ensurement of the constraints and the<br>physical task celebra have an advanced on the constraints and advance and<br>ensure the constraints and the constraints and ensure and<br>determined by considered and the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the second during the second during<br>the constraints of the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during   
  | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are the ensured during<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are measured during<br>the use of the Janco Mor of the air in the anather of the second basic during<br>determined by considering the ensurement of the constraints and the<br>physical task celebra have an advanced on the constraints and advance and<br>ensure the constraints and the constraints and ensure and<br>determined by considered and the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the second during the second during<br>the constraints of the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during  | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the
calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an   | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are the ensured during<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are measured during<br>the use of the Janco Mor of the air in the anather of the second basic during<br>determined by considering the ensurement of the constraints and the<br>physical task celebra have an advanced on the constraints and advance and<br>ensure the constraints and the constraints and ensure and<br>determined by considered and the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the second during the second during<br>the constraints of the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during  
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are the ensured during<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are measured during<br>the use of the Janco Mor of the air in the anather of the second basic during<br>determined by considering the ensurement of the constraints and the<br>physical task celebra have an advanced on the constraints and advance and<br>ensure the constraints and the constraints and ensure and<br>determined by considered and the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the second during the second during<br>the constraints of the second
during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the
analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure out as 2 hours priod. The<br>application at consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of althorms and<br>active NS2 caused by the air putifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure out as 2 hours priod. The<br>application at consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of althorms and<br>active NS2 caused by the air putifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air sciencer/size can (AFCC 5597) is a sirving as the efference<br>rate of instant natural individual will be determined as the efference<br>rate of instant natural individual rate and the instant work of the measured during<br>because of the instant NACSO air praifer. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>second by asymption of the air in the anexet the Natural decay test and the<br>involute tast clearly shows a rate of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NS2 caused by the air puffice.<br>Based on the measured instructions of the factors, in<br>the Natural decay of the NACSO, the reductions in<br>the second based of the second based on the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Source/File con (AFCC 5597) Be a You as the efference<br>Tayle of the air Source/File con (AFCC 5597) Be a You as the efference<br>rate of neutro nutral inscrivence on the inscription of the measured during<br>between of the third MCSOO air purplet.<br>Inscription of the air MCSOO air purplet.<br>MCSOO air MCSOO air purplet<br>MCSOO air MCSOO air MCSOO air purplet<br>MCSOO air MCSOO air more air purplet<br>MCSOO air purplet<br>MCSOO air purplet<br>MCSOO  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the all science/size can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>inset on the natural individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar<br>bar of the individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted<br>bar of the individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>determined by sampling of director bar of the endoted bar of the<br>individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>same MS2 caused by the eil surfield.<br>Based on the measured induced endoted bar of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>its and in bio-rescales as causaled and are found in the table bar of<br>same of the endoted bar of the science bar of the table bar of<br>the same of the endoted bar of the table bar of the<br>same of the endoted bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>a size in the endoted bar of the science bar of the table bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the scienc  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air bouncer/size con (AFCC 5597) Ba Nuture as the efference<br>1597 of dataVeload for the service/del QFL is an instruction of the measured during<br>the data of the air and the data of the data of the data of the data of the<br>data of the air and the data of the<br>data of the data of the data<br>data of the data of the<br>data of the data of the<br>data of the data of the data<br>at the MS2 cased by the air and officient of the MLGS0. The the data<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards on data of the MLGS0. The the data<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The the data<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The the data<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The the data<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The data of the<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The measured is<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the MLGS0. The data of the<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of the measured battery<br>Reads of the measured in lackwards of the data of  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 Andreas the difference<br>and the second of the accordinate 04 and the second of the secon   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 Andreas the
difference<br>and the second of the accordinate 04 and the second of the secon   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 A Visita sub-efference<br>between the natural for development of the last number of the last number<br>between the natural individual number. These instructions are the measured during<br>the use of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>definitions and consistent difference last networks on the table<br>the last number of the last number of the last number of the last<br>product set clearly those a realization of the number of the last<br>table of the measured individual of the number of the last<br>based on the measured individual of the last found in the table before:<br>The dast number of the last number of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last of the last of the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the table before:<br>The dast in the relations are to last of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the last before:<br>The dast of the measured individual of the last of the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the ball eccenterior con (ATCC 53/97) F44 And as the efference<br>ball of the activity of the encoding of the sentence of the sentence of the<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the sentence of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activ                     
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the add province (and (ATCC 35/29)/18 all values as the efference<br>province of the advection (ATCC 35/29)/18 all values as the efference<br>between the natural indication results. These instruction rates uses<br>the use of the 31/2000 of the art in the bandworksion rates uses<br>advection of the advection results. These instructions are advected to the<br>deal of the ansatz of the art in the bandwork with a the advection<br>results and consistent ofference between the necetivation of advection and<br>active RS2 caused by the art and/or.<br>Bando on the measured instructions on the table below:<br>The advection and the advection of the advection of<br>the advection and the advection of the advection of the table<br>product attractions and the advection of the advection of<br>the advection advection advection advection advection of<br>the advection advection advection advection advection advection of<br>the advection advection advection advection advection advection advection<br>to advection advection advection advection advection advection advection<br>to advection advection advection advection advection advection advection advection<br>to advection advection advection advection advection advection advection<br>to advection advection advection advection advection advection advection advection<br>to advection advection<br>to advection advection advectio  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the last locarization can (ATCC 5597) is an intrus as the difference<br>rate of the last locarization can (ATCC 5597) is an intrus as the difference<br>rate of the large of the accordinated by the exclusion of the measured during<br>the use of the large MACSO at prior that there is necessarily a strateging of all<br>determined by sampling of all priorities that and decay tests at<br>the last of the large model of the construction of a statement and<br>active heS2 casced by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured insclusion efficiency of the NACSOL the reductions in<br>fractical strategies are classified and are found in the table beam<br><b>Product attributions a classical by 99 (4)</b> ± 30% <b>99 (5)</b> ± 00%<br><b>7 a</b> (10) <b>c c c c c c c c c c</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
  | affection of the last location con (ATCC 15597) all a should as the efference of the activity of the strength  | efficiency of the last locamenta con (ATCC 15597) as a single as the efference of the activitient of the ac   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  | | | | | | |
  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   |   
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| efficiency of the art scenerolized cold (NTC: 15597) as a single as the difference 1597-81) model of the aerosized bar 24 we detrivation of the measured during the scenario of the aerosized bar 24 we detrivation of the measured during the scenario of the scenario o   | efficiency of the all posterior can (MYCC 3597) has a five a struct as the efference is a first order of the encodered RV was detected on the encodered RV and RV was detected on the RV was detected and RV was detected an   | efficiency of the air power/bit con (AYCC 5597) Ba A You as the efference<br>into all the content of the encodence AYC was detected on the encodence AYC was<br>approximately an excitation of the encodence AYC was detected on the encodence AYC<br>and the and the air ANGK00 air profiles. The answer of the arcs of the air ANGK00 air profiles. The<br>determined by astrophysical and and the content of the arcs of the air AYC and<br>the AYC and a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the air AYC and a strong of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the arcs of the AYC and and the state<br>of the AYC and a strong of the AYC and an arcs of the AYC and<br>a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the arcs of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong of the AYC and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong of the AYC and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong and a strong and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong and a strong and a strong and a strong and<br>a strong and a strong and<br>a strong and a strong and<br>a strong an  | efficiency of the air powerking can (ArCC 1597) Ba a Varua set the efference trace of in the natural induces can (ArCC 1597) Ba a Varua set the efference trace of in the natural induces on the endouble of  | efficiency of the alt powerk/to can (APCC 5597) Ba A virtual set le efference<br>powerk (APCC 5597) Ba A virtual set le efference<br>textures the natural induction rate and these inductions are measured during<br>the use of the line (APCC) and in the texture of the texture of texture of the line (APCC)<br>determined by sampling difference between the Natural observation and<br>and the texture of the line (APCC) and the texture of texture of texture of texture<br>determined by sampling difference between the Natural observations and<br>determined by the analytic of the Natural observation and<br>the constraints of texture of texture of texture of texture of texture of texture<br><b>Power of the measurement instructions efficiency of the NatColo, the existence in an<br/><b>Power of the texture of texture of</b></b>   
  | efficiency of the attractionatory con (AFCC 5597) Bas Available at the efference of the attractionatory of attractionatory of the attrac   
   | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bird wave discussion that measured during the discussion of the second bird bird wave discussion and the measured during bird bird bird bird bird bird bird bird  
  | efficiency of the air locaretritude can (ATCC 5597) as a varian set the efference rate of the teachy of the exospice MSV were detailed in the measured during the d  | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bird wave discussion that measured during the discussion of the second bird bird wave discussion and the measured during bird bird bird bird bird bird bird bird  
   | efficiency of the air locaretritude can (ATCC 5597) as a varian set the efference rate of the teachy of the exospice MSV were detailed in the measured during the d  | efficiency of the air source/hat can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus are the efference rate of in the natural induction on the encounted bird wave discussion that measured during the discussion of the second bird bird wave discussion and the measured during bird bird bird bird bird bird bird bird   
   
  | efficiency of the last locamentation can (ATCC 15597) als all values the offference prace of the according MeV share the according MeV share the offference prace of the the natural institution rate and the last instance time measured during between the Matching MeV and the according MeV share and the measured during between the Natural decay test and the last instance the natural decay test and the site instance the Natural decay test and the site instance test and the last instance and the last instance test and the measured instance test and the last instance and the measured instance and the measured instance test and the last instance and last instance and last instance and the last  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 15927) as a variance the difference rate of the tensor (ATCC 15927) as a variance the difference rate of the encounted MP will be detected and the measured during the encounted MP will be detected and the measured during the use of the limit on ACCO at a rule(transmitter) was a strain as the difference of the ATCP and the analysis of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the attractionatory con (ATCC 5597) as a human the efference of the attraction (ATCC 5597) as human the efference of the attractionatory of the attraction of attraction of attraction of attraction of the attraction of the attraction of attraction of the attraction of the attraction of the attraction of attraction of the  
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference empirication of the air activated by the exceptioned by the via distribution of the air activated by the empirication of the AICSO at an in the theather over a 2-hours priority and the exception of the content ender the priority of the AICSO at an interface the extension of the content ender of a above a radiation of a source of a structure and a str   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference empirication of the air activated by the exceptioned by the via distribution of the air activated by the empirication of the AICSO at an in the theather over a 2-hours priority and the exception of the content ender the priority of the AICSO at an interface the extension of the content ender of a above a radiation of a source of a structure and a str  
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference empirication of the air activated by the exceptioned by the via distribution of the air activated by the empirication of the AICSO at an in the theather over a 2-hours priority and the exception of the content ender the priority of the AICSO at an interface the extension of the content ender of a above a radiation of a source of a structure and a str  | efficiency of the air SourceVita can (ATCC 5597) as a virgue set the difference instance of the aerositice 40% will be accounted with the administration takes the difference instance of the the administration takes were difference on the administration takes are administration of the administration takes were administration takes and the administration takes are administration of the administration and administration are administration and administration adminis  | efficiency of the air powerking con (AFCC 5597) is a single set the efference of the air powerking con (AFCC 5597) is a single set the enderwork of the enderw   | efficiency of the air powerking con (AFCC 5597) is a single set the efference of the air powerking con (AFCC 5597) is a single set the enderwork of the enderw   | efficiency of the air SourceVita can (ATCC 5597) as a
virgue set the difference instance of the aerositice 40% will be accounted with the administration takes the difference instance of the the administration takes were difference on the administration takes are administration of the administration takes were administration takes and the administration takes are administration of the administration and administration are administration and administration adminis  | affection of the last location con (ATCC 15597) all a should as the efference of the activity of the strength  | affection of the ant incrementation can (AFCC 5597) Bas Available as the afference based of the accessibility of   | efficiency of the air Economics con (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air Economics con (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air of the aerositical BA Virus as the efference<br>rate of the air of the air or (AFCC 5497) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>the use of the air or (AFCC) as if print these necessario array estimation<br>determined by sampling of directors between the Natural decay test of<br>the origination of air or (AFCC) as a print the content station of a<br>determined by sampling of directors are the content station of a<br>determined by sampling of air or (AFCC) and the station of the<br>product test closed by the air ourflet.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the NaCS00, the reductions in<br>fixed in 0.5 eventuations of a factorized on a factor of air or (AFCC)<br>and the operations of a factorized on the state below.<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> , <b>9</b>  | efficiency of the air Connectivition (ATCC 15597) is as a virtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural role activities (M2 wile detail and a sirtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural individual role and the instantiant set of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the<br>role of the air matter of the activities of the activities and the<br>product test clearly atoms of the activities of the concentration of activities and the<br>role of the activities of the activities of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the measured instantiant on the concentration of a alternare and<br>activities and the measured instantiant of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the reductions are activitiated and and the found in the table bear.<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours   | efficiency of the air Connectivition (ATCC 15597) is as a virtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural role activities (M2 wile detail and a sirtual set the efference<br>rate of nin the natural individual role and the instantiant set of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the rence<br>details of the activities (M2 wile details and the site of the<br>role of the air matter of the activities of the activities and the<br>product test clearly atoms of the activities of the concentration of activities and the<br>role of the activities of the activities of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the measured instantiant on the concentration of a alternare and<br>activities and the measured instantiant of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>fixed in the reductions are activitiated and and the found in the table bear.<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 2 hours 2 hours<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hours 2 hours   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 A Visita sub-efference<br>between the natural for development of the last number of the last number<br>between the natural individual number. These instructions are the measured during<br>the use of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>definitions and consistent difference last networks on the table<br>the last number of the last number of the last number of the last<br>product set clearly those a realization of the number of the last<br>table of the measured individual of the number of the last<br>based
on the measured individual of the last found in the table before:<br>The dast number of the last number of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last of the last of the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the table before:<br>The dast in the relations are to last of the last of the last of the last<br>product set clearly the last of the last<br>the last of the measured individual on the last before:<br>The dast of the measured individual of the last of the   | efficiency of the air bounnership con (ATCC 5597) 48 and Value as the efference<br>1020 of starting of the aerositical 642 wire discharging real and the<br>between the natural individual real and the air bound and the air bound and<br>the use of the Binnership of the air in the charable over a 2 hours are the<br>determined by consistent difference between the Naturation relates are<br>about the clearly those a reduction of the constraints on of aebone and<br>about the clearly those a reduction of the constraints on of aebone and<br>about the clearly those a reduction of efficiency of the Naturation of<br>Based on the measured individual of efficiency of the Naturation of<br>the air of the start of the start of the start of the Start<br>Based on the measured individual of the first of the Naturation of<br>the air of the start of the Start of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start<br>Padota start thereased individual of the Start of the Start of the Start<br>Start of the measured individual of the Start of the Start of the Start<br>Start of the measured individual of the Start of the Start of the Start<br>Start of the start of the Sta  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a Number as the efference<br>15597 a) control of the aerositical efficiency of the and the efference<br>backeting the alternative of the aerositical efficiency of the and the and the<br>tableting the alternative of the aerositical efficiency of the and the<br>determined by sampling the alternative threes nearositical product and<br>applications control of the air in the accentration of a abovers and<br>active NS2 caused by these a reduction of the concentration of a abovers and<br>active NS2 caused by the air particle.<br>Based on the measured machinetion efficiency of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>the same distribution is a starting of the same of the table below:<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of the same<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of the same<br>Based on the measured machinetion of the same distributions of the same distribution of the same distributions of the same distribution of the same distributions of the same dist                           | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where the measured during<br>between the NacCoal ar puttier. Therefore over a 2-hour period. The<br>statemined by sanging of the sin in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and external and<br>extern Mos and by the ar puttier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NaCoo, the reductions in<br>the site of the instructions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>between</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |
efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive directive and directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive based and directive of the directive of the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the aim Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a human site difference<br>15597–151 on other of the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and prevent<br>that the servoyice Hot Yev existications rate and any<br>the use of the limit ACCO air print These instances are served<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay tests with<br>product Legal and those a reduction of the contentetion or a disform and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NACSOO, the reductions in<br>many and instructions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and in the reductions are causable and are found in the table begins<br>and and are table and are
table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table and are table begins and are table begins and are table begins<br>and and are table begins are an are an are table begins and are table begins are an   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   
   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-   | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as invitual sub- efference<br>15597-811) office the exercisite 4547 view existing on the ensured dump<br>the sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-sub-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invusion the difference<br>rate of introduction of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the encould will be view determining the measured during<br>before the difference of the difference of the difference<br>esterministic view of the difference of the difference of the<br>product text detary shows a relative to the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused on the conservation of alternar and<br>directive VS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficial of the difference of<br>directive view of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the
directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reductions in<br>directive of the directive of the directive of the MACOD, the reduction of the directive of the di  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is a law rule as the efference<br>rate of hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>rate of hazard hazard to be encoulded by We addet minima the efference<br>state of the limit institution rate and the instance where of the most of the<br>state of the limit ACCO all profiler. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>statements by sanging of the air in the ensem the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a rule concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>The concentration of the concentration and<br>exceeding the law pumple.<br>Based on the measured instructions of ficiency of the NACOS, the reductions in<br>a statement of the second statement and a found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air accentration con (ATCC 1597) is as a Virus as the efference<br>rate of instantian instruments on (ATCC 1597) is as Virus as the efference<br>rate of instantian instruments on the instantian energy and uning<br>between if the innove (ATCS) air puffers. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>efficience of the instrument of the instantian of the instrument<br>of the instantian effective between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of aircore and<br>exter MS2 caused by the air puffer.<br>Based on the measured instruments of the form of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the measured instruments of the instrument of the base before.<br>Based on the measured instruments of the one of the table before.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air convertience out (ATCC 15597) is a law and the difference<br>rate of instantial to develope the end wide and the weak of the air convertience<br>rate of instantial individual rate and the instantial convertience of the air convertience<br>between the Attraction AtCS of air patient. The air convertience of the air convertience<br>the air convertience of the air in the data of the air convertience of the<br>air convertience of the air convertience on the air convertience of the<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airchore and<br>aircline XE convertience of the air convertience on the airchore and<br>aircline XE convertience on the air convertience on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the convertience on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline XE convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline on the aircline on the<br>aircline of the air convertience on the aircline  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air accentricities core (AFCC 5597) is a law the efference<br>part of the air accentricities core (AFCC 5597) is a law to be efference<br>rate of hardware individual or the another weak addemining the measured during<br>between if the linear MCSO air purplets. There are over a 2-hour period. The<br>disabilities of the another the addeministration of accentration of<br>accentration of the addeministration of accentration of accentration of<br>product text clearly shows a reductive of the concentration of accentration of<br>accentration of the air purplets.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Inficiency of the air packenchia coil (NTCC 1557) is a kind as the difference<br>size of indication of the encounted VEX was determined in the magured outring<br>size of indicational indications are and the indicational sector of the indication<br>before and the immovement of the indication of the indication of the<br>sector of the immovement difference before the Natural decay test and the<br>significant and constrained inflerence before the Indication of airborne and<br>product test centry shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NSC caused by the air patient.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air juscimulation con (ATCC 15597) is a struct as the difference<br>rate of inactivity of the encounter of the weat determining the measured during<br>between the him conduction rate and the inactivity optimization rates were<br>between the him condition of the init of the measured during<br>between the structure of the structure of the structure of the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the classifier of a 42-box period. The<br>significant and conduction of the observation of allowmer and<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of<br>the MS2 caused by the air pullifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Jacobickia coli (ATCC 15597) is a sirved as the difference<br>parts of inactivity of the ensoyitable X34 was determined the masured outing<br>between the him concerns of the manufacture of the masured outing<br>between the him concerns of the manufacture of the masured outing<br>between the him concerns of the manufacture of the him concerns<br>the administ of sampling of the air in the concentration of airborne and<br>application and constrained inference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly allows are publication.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the am incomercine con (ATCC 15507) is a structure the difference<br>parts of intoxic the encounter the structure that was determined the magnetic during<br>between the human induction rate and the inactivation cases were<br>determined by sampling of the air in the cases. These over a 2-human priori. The<br>significant and constrained difference between the Nature decay test and the<br>product test cashing how as profiled.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air Isconnechica con (IATC: 15597) is a sinula site ofference<br>parts of the air Isconnechica con (IATC: 15597) is a site of there we<br>rate of instantial individual or the another weak of the measured during<br>between if the Immov MCSO air purifier. There were a 2-hour period. The<br>disability of the air in the class the Natural decay test and the<br>significant end consistent difference between the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>extern MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597) is as into after each of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597). The set of the encould will be varied with a varies of the encould will be varies of the air locaracity of the encould will be varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the air in the dame the varies a varies a varies of the varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the loc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure of the air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the dual measurement of the theory and<br>particle and consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure of the air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the dual measurement of the theory and<br>particle and consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597) is as into after each of the air locaracity and (IATC 1597). The set of the encould will be varied with a varies of the encould will be varies of the air locaracity of the encould will be varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the air in the dame the varies a varies a varies of the varies of the locaracity of the air locaracity of the loc   
   
   | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.  
   
  | efficiency of the air councertains can (ArCC 15597) is a law rules as the efference<br>15597-81) on hours of the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours have the servoice MeX VW wis determined the measured during<br>between the hours MeXCO air praffer. There are very a 2-hour predict. The<br>discussion of the hours MeXCO air praffer, there are very a 2-hour predict.
The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Neural decay test and the<br>module test cells with a set and the concentration of airborne and<br>exite MeX caused by the air puffer.  
   
  | efficiency of the air councertain count (ArcC 1597) Ba a Yutua sub- efference<br>1597-B1) on hour of the servoice HAV SW as determined are negated during<br>between the hard negative termined in the institution energy and during<br>between the hard institution rate and the institution council on the<br>service of the hard NACSO air profiler. Unlike are one a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the club, the NALI and except test and the<br>inguinformat and counsists of direction batta that hard water test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NAC2 cases by the air public.  
   
   | efficiency of the air councertation (AFCC 15597) is a single the efference<br>15597-81) of heat councertainty will be determined and the endedlet<br>rate of instantiation individual on the individual council of the measured during<br>belaviors of the Introd MCSO of a pripher. These are one a 2-hour period. The<br>efficiency of the Introduction of the Mixed and Councer period. The<br>endedlet are also and the endedlet of the concentration of allowing and<br>the Mixed by the air public.   
   
  | efficiency of the air councertation (AFCC 15597) is a single the efference<br>15597-81) of heat councertainty will be determined and the endedlet<br>rate of instantiation individual on the individual council of the measured during<br>belaviors of the Introd MCSO of a pripher. These are one a 2-hour period. The<br>efficiency of the Introduction of the Mixed and Councer period. The<br>endedlet are also and the endedlet of the concentration of allowing and<br>the Mixed by the air public.   | efficiency of an basic provention can (APCC 5597) as animat as the efference<br>provide the average of the average of the average of the average of the<br>basic of table of the average of the average of the average of the<br>textures the natural indication matter. These hastwates refer average<br>the use of the altern flow of the aris in the cather over a 2 have the the<br>determined by consistent afference between the Nutration and the generation<br>regulated the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>regulate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the cather shows and the cather<br>are determined as a show as the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>application of the added application of arborne and application of arborne and<br>application of the added application of the added application of arborne and<br>application of the added application of the added applic                            | efficiency of an load scioner-bic case (AFCC 5597) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the science of the exercised exet (science) and the science of the<br>probability of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>test on the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>determined by considered afference between the Number of the science of the<br>model by the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the sci                             | efficiency of an least Economic con (APCC 5397) Fail and the addresses<br>and the address of the second economic address of the addresses<br>and addressing of the second economic address and the<br>based on the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward and the address<br>addresses of the address of the address of the address of the address<br>determined by considered addresses the hardward address and the<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses of the concentration of address and<br>addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses addresses<br>product the colored whom a reduction of the concentration of address address<br>addresses addresses address  | efficiency of an load scioner-bic case (AFCC 5597) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the science of the exercised exet (science) and the science of the<br>probability of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>test on the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>determined by considered afference between the Number of the science of the<br>model by the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>model science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the sci   | efficiency of the bar (science) is can (AFCC 15397) as summarias to the difference<br>of the science of the second call of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>determined by considering the science the science of the science of the<br>science of the science of the science of the science of the science of the<br>determined by considering the science of the constraints of the science and<br>emplote that calcing these as reduction of the constraints on of achieve
and<br>determined by the science of the constraints on of achieve and<br>emplote that calcing these as reduction of the constraints on of achieve and<br>emplote that calcing the science of the science and<br>emplote the calcing the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science of the science of the science and<br>employee the science of the science o  | efficiency of an basic provention can (APCC 5597) as animat as the efference<br>provide the average of the average of the average of the average of the<br>basic of table of the average of the average of the average of the<br>textures the natural indication matter. These hastwates refer average<br>the use of the altern flow of the aris in the cather over a 2 have the the<br>determined by consistent afference between the Nutration and the generation<br>regulated the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>regulate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows a reduction of the cather shows and the cather<br>are determined as a show as the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>applicate the cather shows are added on the consentation of arborne and<br>application of the added application of arborne and application of arborne and<br>application of the added application of the added application of arborne and<br>application of the added application of the added applic   | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the
substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are the ensured during<br>basic distance of the secondicated basic instructions are measured during<br>the use of the Janco Mor of the air in the anather of the second basic during<br>determined by considering the ensurement of the constraints and the<br>physical task celebra have an advanced on the constraints and advance and<br>ensure the constraints and the constraints and ensure and<br>determined by considered and the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the constraints on of arborne and<br>ensure that the second during the second during the second during<br>the constraints of the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during the second during the second during the second during<br>the second during the second during  | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates as the efference<br>of the second of the encodenced by the second and the efference<br>based and advisorities of the encodenced by the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate measured during<br>the use of the lands. Most of the aris in the substrategion rate for the<br>determined by considered efference between the Number of the abuse parts and<br>employed that calculates where a end-arises of the concentration of actionse and<br>determined by considered and the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates and the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the substrates of the concentration of actionse and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the calculates and the substrates of the calculates and<br>employed that calculates the calculates the substrates of the substrates and<br>employed that calculates the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed that calculates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and<br>employed the substrates and the substrates and the substrates and the substrates an   | efficiency of an load proversities can (APCC 5397) 48 animates the efference<br>of the second of the encoded of the second of the s  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>intervalence of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>intervalence of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>determined by considered and the summarized as the constraints of the distance and<br>engines the summarized as the constraints of the above and<br>engines the constraints of the second second second second as<br>the constraints of the second second second second second second<br>second second second second second second second second second<br>second second second second second second second second second second<br>second second secon  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of an basic provencies can (AFCC 5597) is summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>basic distance of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>intervalence of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>intervalence of the second call of the summarized as the difference<br>determined by considered and the summarized as the constraints of the distance and<br>engines the summarized as the constraints of the above and<br>engines the constraints of the second second second second as<br>the constraints of the second second second second second second<br>second second second second second second second second second<br>second second second second second second second second second second<br>second second secon   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air counterfue con (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>page of the air counterfue control (ATCC 15597) is a sirving at the ofference<br>rate of institution individual on the analytic wild address the ofference<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged. The air counter of the through ATCC<br>and an ATCC and a paged at the analytic address were<br>between of the through ATCC and are paged as through a counter of the<br>optimizer and counterfue through the air counter of the and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of allocane and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS are particles. The air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the air in the dual measured outring<br>between the harding the air in the dual measure of the air control of the<br>dealers of the harding the dual measurement of the theory and<br>particle and consistent difference between the Natural occar test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>part of the air locarization con (ATCC 1597) is as invus the efference<br>rate of harding the encounter of the web addemining the measured during<br>between the harding the ATCS air particles. The air control of the the<br>disaming of the air in the during the arrow of the arrow priod. The<br>application at consistent difference between the Natural occur tests were<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air public.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the air sciencer/size can (AFCC 5597) is a sirving as the efference<br>rate of instant natural individual will be determined as the efference<br>rate of instant natural individual rate and the instant work of the measured during<br>because of the instant NACSO air praifer. Therefore over a 2-hour predict. The<br>second by asymption of the air in the anexet the Natural decay test and the<br>involute tast clearly shows a rate of the concentration of airborne and<br>active NS2 caused by the air puffice.<br>Based on the measured instructions of the factors, in<br>the Natural decay of the NACSO, the reductions in<br>the second based of the second based on the table below.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air Source/File con (AFCC 5597) Be a You as the efference<br>1597-B1) on hour to be encounced to View determining the measured during<br>before the during indexination rate and the indexination of the measured during<br>before the during indexination rate and the indexination of the seven<br>efforts and consistent difference index a Source predict. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the seven the Natural Acets test and the<br>product test Ceshrip shows a relocation of the constraints and and<br>the MF2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MK200, the reductions in<br>the seven test and the seven the Natural Acets and the advections of<br>the seven test and the seven the seven the state below:<br>the seven test and the seven test and the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the all science/size can (AFCC 5597) Ba a Virus as the efference<br>inset on the natural individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar<br>bar of the individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted<br>bar of the individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>determined by sampling of director bar of the endoted bar of the<br>individual of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>endoted bar of the endoted bar of the endoted bar of the<br>same MS2 caused by the eil surfield.<br>Based on the measured induced endoted bar of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>its and in bio-rescales as causaled and are found in the table bar of<br>same of the endoted bar of the science bar of the table bar of<br>the same of the endoted bar of the table bar of the<br>same of the endoted bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>a size in the endoted bar of the science bar of the table bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of<br>the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the science bar of the<br>science bar of the science bar of the scienc   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the air bouncer/size con (AFCC 5597) Ba Nuture as the efference<br>1597 of dataVeload for the aerosidical dataVeload is an indication of the aerosidical dataVeload<br>between the nature indication number. These instructions rates were<br>determined by consistent affective thread the control of the air for the aerosidical<br>active biology of the air in the control of the air in the control of the air in the<br>determined by consistent affective the control of the air in the<br>active biology of the air in the control of the air in the control of the<br>active biology of the air in the control of the air in the control of the<br>active biology of the air in the control of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>biology of the air in the control of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air in the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the air
of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the<br>Biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the<br>Biology of the air of the biology of the air of the biology of the air of the<br>Biology of the air of the biology of the air of the bio  
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 Andreas the difference<br>and the second of the accordinate 04 and the second of the secon  
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 Andreas the difference<br>and the second of the accordinate 04 and the second of the secon   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the last fournership can (ATCC 5597) 48 A Visita sub-efference<br>between the natural for development of the last number of the last number<br>between the natural individual number. These instructions on test means and arms<br>deal of the last number of the last number of the last number of the<br>deal number of the last number of the last number of the last number<br>of the last number of the last number of the last number of the<br>deal number of the last number of the last number of the last<br>deal number of the last number of the last number of the last<br>set of the means reactive number of the last number of the last<br>before in the reactions are set of the last number of the last before<br>in the deal number of the last number of the last number of<br>hast number of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>hast number of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>hast number of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>hast number of the last number of the last number of the last number of<br>has number of the last number of<br>hast number of the last nu   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the ball eccenterior con (ATCC 53/97) F44 And as the efference<br>ball of the activity of the encoding of the sentence of the sentence of the<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the sentence of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>deal of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the<br>activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the measured indeal/ball on a deal point of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of the activity of the activity of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of<br>ball of the activity of   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the add province (and (ATCC 35/29)/18 all values as the efference<br>province of the advection (ATCC 35/29)/18 all values as the efference<br>between the natural indication results. These instruction rates uses<br>the use of the 31/2000 of the art in the bandworksion rates uses<br>advection of the advection results. These instructions are advected to the<br>deal of the ansatz of the art in the bandwork with a the advection<br>results and consistent ofference between the necetivation of advection and<br>active RS2 caused by the art and/or.<br>Bando on the measured instructions on the table below:<br>The advection and the second test found in the table below.<br>In this advection a second test is the second test is the second test in<br>the advection and the second test is the second test is the second test<br>is advection at the second test is the second test is the second test is<br>the second in the second test is the second test is the second test is the<br>test is the second test is the second test is the second test is the second<br>test is the second test is the second test is the second test is the second test is the<br>test is the second test   
   
   
   
   
   
  | efficiency of the last locarization can (ATCC 5597) is an intrus as the difference<br>rate of the last locarization can (ATCC 5597) is an intrus as the difference<br>rate of the large of the accordinated by the exclusion of the measured during<br>the use of the large MACSO at prior that there inscrutos areas were<br>determined by sampling of difference between the Natural decay test at<br>the last of the large model. The sample of the content station of a<br>product last closed by the air purfiler.<br>Based on the measured insclusion efficiency of the NACSOL the reductions in<br>fractions are closed and and are found in the table beam<br><b>Product attributions a closed and are found in the table beam<br/><b>Product attributions a closed and are found in the table beam<br/><b>Product attributions a closed and are found in the table beam<br/><b>Product attribution a the sample samp</b></b></b></b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   | affection of the last location con (ATCC 15597) all a should as the efference of the activity of the strength  | efficiency of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference of the air Economics con (ATCC 15597) as a sinul as the difference empirication of the air activated by the exceptioned by the via distribution of the air activated by the empirication of the AICSO at an in the theather over a 2-hours priority and the exception of the content ender the priority of the AICSO at an interface the extension of the content ender of a above a radiation of a source of a structure and a str   |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| 1597-8131 of tables of the secondized MS2 was demixation rate measured during<br>tensions the relative interview in the secondized measurement of the second interview of the<br>determined by account interview in the distribution rate were distributed<br>the use of the Jmcs MACG00 measurement of the distribution of the distribution<br>determined by accounts of the distribution of the distribution of the<br>module text dearly shows a reduction of the distribution of the distribution<br>were distributed by the air policies.       Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>% and in log-reductions are acculated and<br>reduction are distributed and the distribution of the<br>Reduction, were distributed and the distribution of the distribution<br>reduct attribution in the distribution of 10.93 ± 0.47 Z ± 2.9 ± 0.7Z  | 15957-611 (b) filtion of the encodender MS2 was determined use measured during<br>table to the transmission interview of the and the interview of the second transmission of the second MS200 at p in the character over a 2-hour period.<br>determined by second transmission of the content of the second transmission of the second   | 13997-26.11 of mices of the encodender MS2 wild addemines use measured advancement of the two intermediates of two interme   | 1399-74.1(a) for these or the servessive MS2 well selections rules measured advancement of the serves of the serve of the server interview of the server of   | 1599-74.10 m mbc, or the servosited MS2 wid definition and emessated during the definition of the servosited model with the inductivation or redseared during between of the Jinnes MKS00 air purplet. Therefore over a 2-hour period. The service model of the service MKS00 air period. The definition of the air during the model with the service model over a 2-hour period. The definition of the air during the service model with the definition of the air during the service service and the SE2 caused by the service model. The fourth service model with service model with the service model over the table below: The Second model and the fourth on the table below: The Second model model and the Second mo  
  | 1599-78.10 million of the seroodised MS2 wild definition outer measured during<br>backward in data total indicativation relate that indicativation relates were<br>related in the annual indicativation relates
that the indicativation relates were<br>related in the annual indicativation relates that the indicativation relates were<br>adjusted to the annual indicativation of the concentre backward backward in the<br>significant and conservation direction of the concentre line ward were<br>related to the measured indicativation of the concentre line ward<br>active MS2 caused by the size purifica-<br>tivative strategies and the found in the table below: In<br>Reador that measured indicativation efficiency of the MACGB0. It measures<br>in long-relations are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Readort the measured indicativation of found in the table below:</b><br><b>Readort the measured indicativation of the concentre backward back to<br/>long-reductions. The activation of the concentre the table below:<br/>Long-reduction in the provise table of the size of the size of the<br/>long-reduction of the size of the size of the size of the size of the<br/>long-reduction of the size of the size of the size of the size of the<br/>reduction of the size of the size of the size of the<br/>reduction of t</b>  | 1599-78-10 million of the encodenced MS2 wild define time relation of the measured during the term of the animal interview of the term of the animal more than the encodenced more set of the animal more term of the set of t  
   | 15:97-83. (a) of these is the average lack will define time that measured during the measured during the measured during the during  | 1599-78-10 million of the encodenced MS2 wild define time relation of the measured during the term of the animal interview of the term of the animal more than the encodenced more set of the animal more term of the set of t   
   | 15:97-83. (a) of these is the average lack will define time that measured during the measured during the measured during the during  | 1599-78-10 million of the encodenced MS2 wild define time relation of the measured during the term of the animal interview of the term of the animal more than the encodenced more set of the animal more term of the set of t   
   | 15:97-83.10 million of the average/model MS2 wild differentiation rate measured during<br>the use of the Immod MACSOB or prior in the chamber on even were the<br>significant will be average of the simulation of the measured<br>significant will be average of the simulation of the content work of<br>significant will be average of the content will be average of the<br>significant will be average of the content work of the simulation of<br>significant will be average of the content work of the<br>significant will be average of the content work of the<br>significant will be average of the content will be average of<br>the simulation of the simulation of the content work of<br>the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simula  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 15:97-83.10 million of the average/model MS2 wild differentiation rate measured during<br>the use of the Immod MACSOB or prior in the chamber on even were the<br>significant will be average of the simulation of the measured<br>significant will be average of the simulation of the content work of<br>significant will be average of the content will be average of the<br>significant will be average of the content work of the simulation of<br>significant will be average of the content work of the<br>significant will be average of the content work of the<br>significant will be average of the content will be average of<br>the simulation of the simulation of the content work of<br>the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the simulation of the<br>simula   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1599-74.10 miles, or the serves/select MS2 wid definitions are measured during the serves in the serves/select MS2 wid definitions are measured during between of the almost MACS00 are needed with the malerowskine release were extended by a server of the server of the almost MACS00 are measured and the server of the almost the selection of the almost measured material server releases the server device the set of the server measured of the server server of the server server server and active MS2 caused by the server insection of the constraints of a almost server insection server server server and server measured insectivation of the constraints of a almost server insection of the constraints of a almost server insection of the constraints of a server measured match which we are public to the server insection of the constraints of the server insection of the constraints of the server measured match which we are public to the server insection of the constraints of the server insection of the server in   
  | 1597-81,00 mices of the encodence WS2 wild determine star measured during<br>beams of the limit intervation relates the the management of measures during<br>beams of the limits intervation relates the the management of measures were<br>elemented by sampling of the art in the member over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered difference were as the measures of the<br>intervational start and the size of the size of the size of the<br>significant and considered difference of the consent limits difference and<br>active MS2 caused by the size purifier.<br>Based on the measurement intervation of difference of the MAC300, there are<br>intervational start and the found in the table beaver.<br>Product start clearly the size purifier.<br>Reaction, the start and the size of the size of the size of the beaver.<br>Difference of the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of th  
   | 1597-81,00 mices of the encodence WS2 wild determine star measured during<br>beams of the limit intervation relates the the management of measures during<br>beams of the limits intervation relates the the management of measures were<br>elemented by sampling of the art in the member over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered difference were as the measures of the<br>intervational start and the size of the size of the size of the<br>significant and considered difference of the consent limits difference and<br>active MS2 caused by the size purifier.<br>Based on the measurement intervation of difference of the MAC300, there are<br>intervational start and the found in the table beaver.<br>Product start clearly the size purifier.<br>Reaction, the start and the size of the size of the size of the beaver.<br>Difference of the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of th  | 1597-81,00 mices of the encodence WS2 wild determine star measured during<br>beams of the limit intervation relates the the management of measures during<br>beams of the limits intervation relates the the management of measures were<br>elemented by sampling of the art in the member over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered difference were as the measures of the<br>intervational start and the size of the size of the size of the<br>significant and considered difference of the consent limits difference and<br>active MS2 caused by the size purifier.<br>Based on the measurement intervation of difference of the MAC300, there are<br>intervational start and the found in the table beaver.<br>Product start clearly the size purifier.<br>Reaction, the start and the size of the size of the size of the beaver.<br>Difference of the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of the<br>clear endowing the size of th  
   | 1599-78-10 million of the acrossibilities of the constraints rate measured during the transmission of the measured during the durin  | 1399 -81 (a) million of the serversized MS2 wild definition take measured during<br>the use of the limits MACS00 and prior takes index server redserved<br>and the limit and MACS00 and prior in the chamber over 2 -boxp period.<br>The server is the limit of the limit and takes index server redserved<br>and takes the limit of the limit of the content takes and takes the<br>server is the limit of the limit of the content takes and takes the<br>distribution of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>distribution of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the li  | 1399 -81 (a) million of the serversized MS2 wild definition take measured during<br>the use of the limits MACS00 and prior takes index server redserved<br>and the limit and MACS00 and prior in the chamber over 2 -boxp period.<br>The server is the limit of the limit and takes index server redserved<br>and takes the limit of the limit of the content takes and takes the<br>server is the limit of the limit of the content takes and takes the<br>distribution of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>distribution of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of<br>the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the limit of the limit of the limit of the<br>limit of the li  | 1599-78-10 million of the acrossibilities of the constraints rate measured during the transmission of the measured during the durin  | 1597-87.0) of these of the excessionless WS2 will deficit aliano take measured during<br>takes that the history interval takes the measurement of the same wave<br>takes that the history interval takes the measurement of the same wave<br>significant and consistent of the distribution of the conservation of the same takes<br>takes that the distribution of the same takes takes the same takes<br>takes that the
measurement of the conservations and<br>active MS2 caused by the same partice. The MACSOL there exclusions in<br>takes do the measurement inactivities of the conservations and<br>active MS2 caused by the same partice. The MACSOL there exclusions in<br>takes do the measurement inactivities of the conservations of the same takes<br><b>Product attributions</b> and <b>Same and Same and Same and Same and<br/><b>Product attributions Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and<br/><b>Product attributions Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and<br/><b>Product attributions Same and Same and<br/><b>Product attributions Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and<br/><b>Product attributions Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and Same and<br/><b>Same and Same an</b></b></b></b></b></b></b>                                       | 1597-87.0) of https://doi.org/10.0000/2014-896.2014-896.def def def def def def def def def def  | 1592-78.19 of their of the acrossible MS2 will define time rate measured during time the factor material interview of the time target and the measured during time the during interview of the time target over a 2-hour period definition of the during of the during time time time time is the during time time time time time time time time   | 15:97-87.10 of thesis of the acrossibilities with a section of the measured during<br>the section of the lattice acrossibilities of the section of the measured during<br>the use of the lattice MACSO and prior in the chamber over a 2-hour period.<br>The section of the lattice during of the section of the section of the section of the<br>significant with any dark section between the Natural devices the first<br>section. The section of the concentration of alternative and<br>section MS2 caused by the significant<br>with the section of the section of the concentration of alternative<br>section. The section of the section of the concentration of alternative<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of  | 15:97-87.10 of thesis of the acrossibilities with a section of the measured during<br>the section of the lattice acrossibilities of the section of the measured during<br>the use of the lattice MACSO and prior in the chamber over a 2-hour period.<br>The section of the lattice during of the section of the section of the section of the<br>significant with any dark section between the Natural devices the first<br>section. The section of the concentration of alternative and<br>section MS2 caused by the significant<br>with the section of the section of the concentration of alternative<br>section. The section of the section of the concentration of alternative<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the section of the section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of the<br>Section of the section of  | 1597-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01/00/00000000000000000000000000000                   
   | 1597-81.00 million of the executioned WG2 wild defermine rate measured during<br>the def initial initial<br>base use of the limics MACS00 are purplet. The thereaexecution review are<br>determined by sampling of the air initial initializa initializa initial initial initial initializa initial   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81.01 mices of the servoice WA2 was determine star measured during<br>between interviews interviews in the interviews of the server of the  | 1597-81.01 mices of the servoirized WS2 was defermine upter measured during<br>between the atmost mices rule and the instructionary merets were<br>the atmost MACSO at purplies. These instructions rules were<br>deprindent by sensing of the air in the test measured measured<br>test measured by the sensing of the air instructions and<br>active MS2 caused by these a rulefuel, and the test measured<br>attemption test measured machines and efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and the sension of the sension of the concentration of a sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension at the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension   | 1597-97.81 (2011) The development MR2 will define union take measured during the measured transmission of the measured during the union of the limits (MACSO) and prior in the clamber over 2 - hour period. The determined by sampling difference between the Natural development and the sample of the concentration of a development. The sample difference between the Natural development and the sample difference between the Natural development and the development and the sample difference between the Natural development and the development and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 15397-831, on monot of the execution level 454 web detaction rate measured during the measured and the execution of the ex  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 15397-831, on monot of the execution level 454 web detaction rate measured during the measured and the execution of the ex  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 15397-831, on monot of the execution level 454 web detaction rate measured during the measured and the execution of the ex  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 15397-831, on monot of the execution level 454 web detaction rate measured during the measured and the execution of the ex  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81.01 mices of the servoirized WS2 was defermine upter measured during<br>between the atmost mices rule and the instructionary merets were<br>the atmost MACSO at purplies. These instructions rules were<br>deprindent by sensing of the air in the test measured measured<br>test measured by the sensing of the air instructions and<br>active MS2 caused by these a rulefuel, and the test measured<br>attemption test measured machines and efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and the sension of the sension of the concentration of a sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension at the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81.01 mices of the servoirized WS2 was defermine upter measured during<br>between the atmost mices rule and the instructionary merets were<br>the atmost MACSO at purplies. These instructions rules were<br>deprindent by sensing of the air in the test measured measured<br>test measured by the sensing of the air instructions and<br>active MS2 caused by these a rulefuel, and the test measured<br>attemption test measured machines and efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and the sension of the sension of the concentration of a sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension at the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81.01 mices of the servoirized WS2 was defermine upter measured during<br>between the atmost mices rule and the instructionary merets were<br>the atmost MACSO at purplies. These instructions rules were<br>deprindent by sensing of the air in the test measured measured<br>test measured by the sensing of the air instructions and<br>active MS2 caused by these a rulefuel, and the test measured<br>attemption test measured machines and efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension test measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines on efficiency of the MACSOs, the reductions in<br>sension the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and in the measured machines and are from in the table balance<br>and the sension of the sension of the concentration of a sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension at the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension of the sension of the sension<br>and the sension of the sension   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  
   | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.  | 1597-810 on head of the encounced WG2 was determine rate measured during<br>between initiation initiation of the initiation of the measured during<br>between the almost MACSO all or puffier. These is excert the sever<br>design of the almost MACSO all or puffiers. These is excert as a set of<br>the set of the series of the second set of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions in efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and exclusion of the concentration of airborne<br>and in the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSO, the reductors in<br>measured instructions and and are found in the table baser.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-97.81 (2011) The development MR2 will define union take measured during the measured burget methods and taken the function and intervention of the antibiotic methods and the second se  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 15397-831, on monot of the execution level 454 web detaction rate measured during the measured and the execution of the ex  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-97.81 (2011) The development MR2 will define union take measured during the measured burget methods and taken the function and intervention of the antibiotic methods and the second se  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-97.81 (2011) The development MR2 will define union take measured during the measured burget methods and taken the function and intervention of the antibiotic methods and the second se  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81.01 of these of the execution of M22 web defact allows the measured during<br>the mean the neutral intervitation rate target and the measured during<br>the use of the allows AuCoOM are profit the during the over a 2-hour period.<br>The during the during of allows the transfer over a 2-hour period.<br>The during of the during the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81, 10 million of the encouncil effect will define under network of duming<br>the encouncil interview of the three dumines of the encouncil of the<br>three dumines and the encouncil of the dumines of the encouncil of the<br>sector and the analysis of the encouncil of the<br>sector and the encouncil of the encouncil of the<br>sector and the encouncil of the encouncil of the<br>encouncil of the encouncil of the encouncil of the<br>encouncil of the encouncil of the encouncements of a sectore and<br>enclose the sector and the encouncement of the encouncement of the<br>enclose the sector and the encouncement of the encouncement of the<br>enclose the sector and the encouncement of the enclosed in<br>the sector and the encouncement of the encouncement of the enduration in<br>the sector and the sector and the enduration in<br>the sector and the sector and the enduration in<br>the sector and the sector and the sector and the enduration in<br>the sector and the sector and the sector and the enduration in<br>the sector and the sector  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81.00 million of the executional MS2 wild deficient after measured during<br>the entering the analysis interview of the entering of the entering of the entering of the analysis of the entering of the ent   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 5507-631 primes of the secondized MS2 was destuding rate measured ouring<br>and a second the destudies of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 5507-610 (an almost the execution MS2 wate destination rate measured during<br>measurements the half-and interactivity of the measured during<br>the use of the Jimos MACSUG and arise in the charter over exe 2-hour period<br>deminised by sampling of difference between the National doct work of the<br>significant during of difference between the National doct before and<br>the use of the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and the National Between the Nationa   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 5507-610 (an almost the execution MS2 wate destination rate measured during<br>measurements the half-and interactivity of the measured during<br>the use of the Jimos MACSUG and arise in the charter over exe 2-hour period<br>deminised by sampling of difference between the National doct work of the<br>significant during of difference between the National doct before and<br>the use of the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and between the difference between the National doct before and<br>and the National Between the Nationa  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81, joi plano at the execution term of the state of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81,00 micros drie evenouized MS2 wiel declaration rate mesured during<br>the dries of the large second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81,00 micros drie evenouized MS2 wiel declaration rate mesured during<br>the dries of the large second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81, joi micro of the execution of the second and the second a  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81, joi micro of the execution of the second and the second a   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81,00 micros drie evenouized MS2 wiel declaration rate mesured during<br>the dries of the large second s  
   
  | 1599-78.10 million of the encodenced MS2 web detection rate measured during<br>measurements and an encodenced measurements and an encodenced and<br>the use of the limits MACS00 and in in the chamber over a 2-hour period<br>advermined by superiod difference between the Natural doction of the<br>Significant difference between the Natural doction of the<br>significant difference between the Natural doction of the<br>significant difference between the Natural doction of the<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction 452 causes of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction adverse of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction adverse of the encodence of the concentration of adverse and<br>doction adverse of the encodence of the concentration of adverse adverse and<br>doction adverse of the encodence of the concentration of adverse adv   
   
   | 1599-741 (20) mitious of the extremolated MS2 was define allow nate measured during<br>measured and the second secon  
   
  | 13997-821 (2011) This of the encodenced MS2 was determinent pate measured during<br>the encode the target interactivity of the target tar  
   
  | 1599-741 (20) mitious of the extremolated MS2 was define allow nate measured during<br>measured and the second secon   
   
   | 1599-741 (20) mitious of the extremolated MS2 was define allow nate measured during<br>measured and the second secon  | 1597-7611 of Iroka, of the secondized MS2 well determined near measured during<br>between the discussion of the discussion of the instruction relate measured during<br>between of the limit on MACS00 air putfiler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>discussion of beyong in of the dir in the discussion of the over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the discussion of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and product sets clearly s  | 1597-81) of movin of the servolized VS2 wisi determinors are measured during<br>test of inscribution indexistic or test on the inscributions rate measured arising<br>between the Bimon MACS00 air puffler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>during the service of the test of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the oncertexistic decy test and the<br>project test calculation shows and exclusion of the concentration of airborne and<br>project test calculations.   | 1597-81) of movin of the servolized VS2 wisi determinors are measured during<br>test of inscribution indexistic or test on the inscributions rate measured arising<br>between the Bimon MACS00 air puffler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>during the service of the test of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the oncertexistic decy test and the<br>project test calculation shows and exclusion of the concentration of airborne and<br>project test calculations.  | 1597-81) of movin of the servolized VS2 wisi determinors are measured during<br>test of inscribution indexistic or test on the inscributions rate measured arising<br>between the Bimon MACS00 air puffler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>during the service of the test of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the oncertexistic decy test and the<br>project test calculation shows and exclusion of the concentration of airborne and<br>project test calculations.   | 15957-811 of how, of the servolized MS2 wis determinor rate measured during<br>task of nativational indexistion rate and the institutions rate measured at<br>the soft has a service of the service and the institution rate were<br>the soft and the sampling of the sin in the surface of the
service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the surface day test and the<br>product sets called yallows allowed on the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant sets of the set of the set of the soft set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the  | 1597-7611 of Iroka, of the secondized MS2 well determined near measured during<br>between the discussion of the discussion of the instruction relate measured during<br>between of the limit on MACS00 air putfiler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>discussion of beyong in of the dir in the discussion of the over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the discussion of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and product sets clearly s   | 15957-811 of how, of the servolized MS2 wis determinor rate measured during<br>task of nativational indexistion rate and the institutions rate measured at<br>the soft has a service of the service and the institution rate were<br>the soft and the sampling of the sin in the surface of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the surface day test and the<br>product sets called yallows allowed on the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant sets of the set of the set of the soft set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of
the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the  | 1597-7611 of Iroka, of the secondized MS2 well determined near measured during<br>between the discussion of the discussion of the instruction relate measured during<br>between of the limit on MACS00 air putfiler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>discussion of beyong in of the dir in the discussion of the over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the discussion of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and product sets clearly s   | 15957-811 of how, of the servolized MS2 wis determinor rate measured during<br>task of nativational indexistion rate and the institutions rate measured at<br>the soft has a service of the service and the institution rate were<br>the soft and the sampling of the sin in the surface of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the surface day test and the<br>product sets called yallows allowed on the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant sets of the set of the set of the soft set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the   | 15957-811 of how, of the servolized MS2 wis determinor rate measured during<br>task of nativational indexistion rate and the institutions rate measured at<br>the soft has a service of the service and the institution rate were<br>the soft and the sampling of the sin in the surface of the service a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the surface day test and the<br>product sets called yallows allowed on the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets called prior allowed and the<br>significant sets of the set of the set of the soft set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>set of the set of the  | 1597-7611 of Iroka, of the secondized MS2 well determined near measured during<br>between the discussion of the discussion of the instruction relate measured during<br>between of the limit on MACS00 air putfiler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>discussion of beyong in of the dir in the discussion of the over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the discussion of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the
concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and product sets clearly s  
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-7611 of Iroka, of the secondized MS2 well determined near measured during<br>between the discussion of the discussion of the instruction relate measured during<br>between of the limit on MACS00 air putfiler. These are over a 2-hour period. The<br>discussion of beyong in of the dir in the discussion of the over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the discussion of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows a net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and net-ducid or the concentration of airborne and<br>product sets clearly shows and product sets clearly s  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1599-78.10 million of the encodenced MS2 web detection rate measured during<br>measurements and an encodence of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1599-78.10 million of the encodenced MS2 web detection rate measured during<br>measurements and an encodence of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-81, joi micro of the execution of the second and the second a   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81, joi micro of the execution of the second and the second a  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1599-78-10 million of the encounced MS2 wiel defact alian rate measured during<br>term of the hard initiativities of the data term easies were<br>the use of the limits MKCS00 and pri in the datafier over a 2-hour periodic<br>adjectment and users and of the limit term initiative strength and<br>significant and carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore and<br>data MS2 carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore and<br>data MS2 carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore and<br>data MS2 carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore and<br>data MS2 carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore and<br>data MS2 carry shows a reduction of the concentration of advectore in<br>Based on the measured textRelation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Shows and the shows and the shows and the shows in the table basion.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1595-76.11 on these or the encounced MS2 web determines user measured advanced to the second lead with the seco   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1599-74.10 miss. of the servoiced MS2 wid difference and measured during between bits and the starts of the measured during between bits interview of the the activation of the servoice o  
   
   
   
   
  | 15997-81.0 million of the encodenced MS2 wild deferminent outer measured during<br>text of instal motion indexistion in text and the measurement of the sense<br>text of the Jance MACSO der partielle. The answer of the sense were in-<br>significant and consistent of the discussion of the sense were indexisting of the aim of the sense<br>significant and consistent of the discussion of the discussi   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01 file     1599-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01 file  
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01 file     1599-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01 file   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1597-87.01 of https://doi.org/10.01/00/00000000000000000000000000000   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 13997-811 (2011) million of the exercisited MS2 wild deferminent size measured during<br>target of the hardward interactivities of the during measured during<br>the use of the hardward interactivities that the unaccreation measured and the<br>significant bias consistence of the during measurements where<br>the use of the hardward measurement is beautified even a bias<br>significant bias conservative a reduction of the constructions of a bias and<br>active MS2 assued by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivities of the MACGO. The reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivities of the MACGO. The the during the<br>Based on the measured inactivities of the MACGO. The the during the<br><b>Product attributions 1 hours 2 hours</b><br><b>Product attributions 1 hours</b><br><b>9</b> (99% ± 2.7%) (99.9 ± 0.0%)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1599-78-10 million of the encodenced MS2 wild deferminent rate measured during<br>rate of the hardward indextretion rate and them oneconvolution rates were<br>the use of the aim could any particular characterized and the second second<br>determined by searching of meanine between the Natrad decay text and any<br>regional text concernsor and reduction of the contention of air beneral<br>and the Natrad Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>text concernsor and reduction of the content of the text and<br>text text and the second second second Second Second<br>text text concernsor and reduction of the content of the text and<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NACSOL the reductions in<br>Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>Product attribution Second Second Second Second Second<br>Product attribution Second Second Second Second Second<br>Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second<br>Second Second Secon   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-81, 10 micro if the exceedured WS2 wild deficit allow safe measured during the measured matrix and the measured during the use of the limits MACSO to are in the catabor over a 2-haor period definition of the measured measurement of the soft of the soft of the limits of the soft of the so   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1597-87.0) of these of the excessionless WS2 will deficit aliano take measured during<br>takes that the history interval takes the measurement of the same wave<br>takes that the history interval takes the measurement of the same wave<br>significant and consistent of the distribution of the conservation of the same takes<br>takes that the distribution of the same takes takes the same takes<br>takes that the measurement of the conservations and<br>active MS2 caused by the same partice. The MACSOL there exclusions in<br>takes do the measurement inactivities of the conservations and<br>active MS2 caused by the same partice. The MACSOL there exclusions in<br>takes do the measurement inactivities of the conservations of the take behavior.<br>The duct attributions are same takes and the same take behavior.<br>Product attributions are taken and<br>applies tables are partice.<br>The product attributions are taken and<br>and the same takes the take takes the take behavior.<br>The take takes the take takes the take takes takes the take behavior.<br>The takes takes the take takes takes takes takes takes takes the take behavior.<br>The takes ta  | 1597-81,00 mices of the encounced WG2 wild defermine start measured during<br>beams of the lamost micestration and the measurement of measurement of the<br>second of the lamost MACSOB of an uniter. The measurement of the same starting of the lamost the measurement of the lamost test design of the lamost test design test and the measurement of the lamost test design the lamost test design the lamost test design the lamost test design test and the lamost test design test test and test found in the table beaver. The found test design test design test test and test test design test test test test test design test test test test test test test design test test test test test test test tes   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  | | | | | | |
  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| between the nations MGCS00 air ponifer. These individual Sectors Parkor penido. The<br>determined and considered difference between the learner orward sector test and the<br>individual sector determined on the concentration of a whore and<br>address MSC accessed by the set punifier.<br>Based on the measured inscribent endication of the MACS00, the reductors in<br>who and in injections are concluded and are found in the table below:<br>Product attribution in the set of the MACS00, the reductors in<br>Machine the set of the set of the set of the Sectors in<br>Product attribution in the set of the set of the Sectors in<br>Reduction, <u>80% 80% 90% s</u> 23% 90.55%<br>Reduction, <u>80% 80% 90% s</u> 20, 92 40/7 2, 93 ± 0.71   | between the salaries MIGGSID or purifier. These indications are how periods. The same of  | between the stating witching or purifier. These initiality 2 show period. The stating of the air in the data of the state is that a data of the state is the state of the s  | between the statings NACSO 00 are purified. These inelastics 2 shown periods. The statistics of the star statistics of the star statistics of the star statistics are started as a start and consected attractions of the star started started start and consected attractions of the consectence and each started st   | between the names, NACSO 00 are purptied. These intelligences as those periods. The development of the air in the data of the second of the s   
   | between the names MASS0 gar purptier. These initializes a strain period. The density of the arise of the strain period. The density of the arise of the density of  
  | between the names NACSO are profiler. These instances 2 hours period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data 2 show period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data the Natural decay test and the sampling the data in the sampling test are in the data of the dat  
   | between the name by MCSDB air purplier. These indexions 2-hour period. The summary by another period, the summary by another period of the air in the summary base of  | between the names NACSO are profiler. These instances 2 hours period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data 2 show period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data the Natural decay test and the sampling the data in the sampling test are in the data of the dat  
  | between the name by MCSDB air purplier. These indexions 2-hour period. The summary by another period, the summary by another period of the air in the summary base of  | between the names NACSO are profiler. These instances 2 hours period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data 2 show period. The determined by sampling of the a'r in the data the Natural decay test and the sampling the data in the sampling test are in the data of the dat   
   | between the same set AGS0 are purptier. These indexises 2-hour period. The set and the same set of the same s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the same set AGS0 are purptier. These indexises 2-hour period. The set and the same set of the same s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the names MASC0 air purpties. These initializes a strain period. The dealer distribution of the air in the data strain period. The dealer distribution of the  | between the names MACSO are profiler. These indicates the set of the same set  
   | between the names MACSO are profiler. These indicates the set of the same set   
  | between the names MACSO are profiler. These indicates the set of the same set  | between the names NACSD0 are purptier. These inelastics as shown period. The distance of by sampling of the all in the tables as shown period. The distance of by sampling of the all in the table tables distance test and tables are all tables as a shown period. The distance of the distance test and tables are all tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test are also as a shown in the distance test are also  | between the matrices NACSO are provide. These initializes 2-hour period. The
distance of by sampling of the air in the distance as hour period. The distance of by sampling of the air in the distance test and the distanc   | between the matrices NACSO are provide. These initializes 2-hour period. The distance of by sampling of the air in the distance as hour period. The distance of by sampling of the air in the distance test and the distanc   | between the names NACSD0 are purptier. These inelastics as shown period. The distance of by sampling of the all in the tables as shown period. The distance of by sampling of the all in the table tables distance test and tables are all tables as a shown period. The distance of the distance test and tables are all tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test and the distance test and tables are also as a shown in the distance test are also as a shown in the distance test are also  | between the assume MACSQ0 are purptied. These indications as those period. The isotropy of the air in the assume that the second secon  | between the assume MACSQD are purptier. These instructions 2-show period. The issue of early sampling of the air in the distribution 2-show period. The second purptiers are consistent affective to the constructive star of the product star (clearly show a reductive star (clear show a clear   | between the facility MACSD of a profiler. These initiality and the same period. The determines the same period. The determines the same period. The determines and consistent difference between the National Version and Ver  | between the same setup. Success any purplex. These indexions 2-hour period. The same setup is the same setup is a same setup is the same  | between the same setup. Success any purplex. These indexions 2-hour period. The same setup is the same setup is a same setup is the same  | between the names MACSD0 are purptier. These instances a how period. The<br>issue and only sampling of the a'r in the data the Matural decay test and the<br>significant and consistent affectives in or the consensation of actions and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purptier. The MACSD0, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured instances and the instances and the table the<br>matural decay and the significant of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>the data in the reductions are to all the the table before:<br>The data is the measured instances of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br><b>Product attributes of the significant of the MACSD0</b> , the reductions in<br>the data is the measured instance of the MACSD0. The table before:<br>The data is the table of the measured in the measurement of<br>the data is the table of the table of the measurement of<br>the data is the table of the table of the table before:<br>The data is the table of the measurement of the table before<br>the measurement of the table of the table of the table before.<br>The measurement of the table of the table before<br>the table of the table of the table of the table before<br>the table of the table of table of the table before<br>the table of table o   | between the assume MACSO are purptier. These instructions 2-show period. The issue and only systeming of the air in the discussion 2-show period. The segmentation and assume and the segmentation of an elements that of decay text and the product set (decay) these a refunctions of the discussion of an element of the discussion of an element of the discussion of the discu   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nations, NACSO and purpties. These indexives a shorp period. The deterministic of the arise of the shore period. The deterministic and consistent difference between the short period. The deterministic and consistent difference between the doministic short end period. The
deterministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end period. The doministic short end period is the doministic short end  | between the failing in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>timed as the limit in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>determined for consistent difference between the huse are very text and the<br>product text certain shows a reduction of the concentration of arborne and<br>area MSS caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>many and independencies are calculated and are found in the table <u>Bhorne</u><br>and independencies are calculated and are found in the table <u>Bhorne</u><br>and independencies.   | between the nature wACSD air purpties. These instructions is the work of the immed wACSD air purpties. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamber over a 2 sharp period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamber over a 2 sharp period. The<br>share was an exact the other and the construction of all others and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purpties.<br>Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MACSD, the reductions in<br>the other other and the share of the the share the<br>purpties of the measured inscriptions are caused and an other table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nature wAGS0 air purpties. These indicatives is hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hours and<br>any sampling and an outsident of the construction of allowine and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purpties.<br>Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>the low monthlowing we calculated and one (purpties and the table below:<br>a same same same same same same same sam   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature wAGS0 air purpties. These indicatives is hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hours and<br>any sampling and an outsident of the construction of allowine and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purpties.<br>Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>the low monthlowing we calculated and one (purpties and the table below:<br>a same same same same same same same sam  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nature wAGS0 air purpties. These indicatives is hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hours and<br>any sampling and an outsident of the construction of allowine and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purpties.<br>Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>the low monthlowing we calculated and one (purpties and the table below:<br>a same same same same same same same sam   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature wAGS0 air purpties. These indicatives is hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 24 hours and<br>any sampling and an outsident of the construction of allowine and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purpties.<br>Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>the low monthlowing we calculated and one (purpties and the table below:<br>a same same same same same same same sam  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the national structure of the structure of the<br>determined and consistent difference between the human termined and the<br>indicate termined whome a reduction of the concentration of areborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfile.<br>Based on the measured instructure efficiency of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>many and individuality and and are structure as<br>and in log-indications are actualized and are found in the table<br>burst<br>and in log-indications are actualized and are found in the table<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the failing in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>timed as the limit in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>determined for consistent difference between the huse are very as the the<br>product stars clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of arborne and<br>area MSS caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>many and independencies are calculated and are found in the table <u>baser</u> .<br><b>Busy 1 Diverse</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the failing in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>timed as the limit in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>determined for consistent difference between the huse are very as the the<br>product stars clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of arborne and<br>area MSS caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>many and independencies are calculated and are found in the table <u>baser</u> .<br><b>Busy 1 Diverse</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the failing in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>timed as the limit in MGSOB air purifier. These inflations are sub-<br>determined for consistent difference between the huse are very as the the<br>product stars clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of arborne and<br>area MSS caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>many and independencies are calculated and are found in the table <u>baser</u> .<br><b>Busy 1 Diverse</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the national structure of the structure of the<br>determined and consistent difference between the human termined and the<br>indicate termined whome a reduction of the concentration of areborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfile.<br>Based on the measured instructure efficiency of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>many and individuality and and are structure as<br>and in log-indications are actualized and are found in the table<br>burst<br>and in log-indications are actualized and are found in the
table<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst<br>burst   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second   | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second  | between the nation, MLCSD out purpties. These instructions as hour period. The<br>determined we do that improve the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature, MACSD air purpties. These instructions is the matching was and purpties. These instructions is the determined by sampling of the air in the camber over a 2 sharp being. The determined by sampling the matching of the air in the the consertextion of allowine and watch MACS account of allowine and watch MACS account by the air purpties. Based on the masured instructions are detailed and are (purpting in the details with the matching are considered and an explosion in the base how the matching was and the matching are considered and are to be matching are considered and are to be the matching are considered and are considered and are considered and are considered and are consid  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nature war.500 air purifier. These indicatives have period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 2-bave period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamble over a 2-bave data was an<br>around the sampling of the air purifier.<br>Based not be measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>the low more functions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a same data was an another same data was an another same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a same data was an another same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a bave table below the same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a bave table below the same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a bave table below the same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a bave table below the same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below:<br>a bave table below the same data<br>below the measured inscriptions are calculated and are (nord in the table below):<br>a bave table below the same data<br>a bave table below the same data<br>a bave table below table  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature, MACSD air purpties. These instructions is the matching was and purpties. These instructions is the determined by sampling of the air in the camber over a 2 sharp being. The determined by sampling the matching of the air in the the consertextion of allowine and watch MACS account of allowine and watch MACS account by the air purpties. Based on the masured instructions are detailed and are (purpting in the details with the
matching are considered and an explosion in the base how the matching was and the matching are considered and are to be matching are considered and are to be the matching are considered and are considered and are considered and are considered and are consid  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nature, MACSD air purpties. These instructions is the matching was and purpties. These instructions is the determined by sampling of the air in the camber over a 2 sharp being. The determined by sampling the matching of the air in the the consertextion of allowine and watch MACS account of allowine and watch MACS account by the air purpties. Based on the masured instructions are detailed and are (purpting in the details with the matching are considered and an explosion in the base how the matching was and the matching are considered and are to be matching are considered and are to be the matching are considered and are considered and are considered and are considered and are consid   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the names NACSD0 are purptier. These instructs a how period. The<br>symmetry symmetry of the air in the tauth to have a construct the symmetry of the air in the<br>symmetry symmetry of the air purptier<br>symmetry of the symmetry of the construction of a before and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purptier.<br>Based on the measured sequences of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured sequences of the MACSD0. The table behavior  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural nucleosition air purifier. These inactivation of partial. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour test and the<br>significant and consistent i anduction of the concentration of authorne and<br>product test dearly shows a temperature.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural answer of an end of the state of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural micro MASC000 air purifier. These inactivation in work of the micro MASC000 air purifier the nature over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the nature over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the Natura's decay task and the<br>significant and consistent directivene between the Natura's decay task and the<br>significant and consistent directive to the concentration of arborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural muscless of an purifier. These indications of the second the time the use of the indication of the sci in the denames over a 2-shore precised. The<br>determined by sampling of the sci in the denames over a 2-shore precised. The<br>significant and considerance between the Natural decay test and the<br>significant sci constraints are sciencized on the concentration of the content of the<br>active MS2 caused by the size purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural muscless of an purifier. These indications of the second the time the sec of the limit of the second the chamber over a 2-shore precised. The<br>determined by sampling of the set in the chamber over a 2-shore precised. The<br>significant and constraints, a structure of the content of the content of the<br>product text clearly shores a reduction of the content of the<br>active MS2 caused by the site purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural much of the second secon   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature Inc.Cog0 air purifier. These index/wator the net of the Timotogo air purifier. These index/wator period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling and and considered difference between the Natural decay tead the<br>product test. Gently shows a provide the same and<br>product test. Gently shows a provide provide the same and<br>active MSC caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the nature Inc.Cog0 air purifier. These index/wator the net of the Timotogo air purifier. These index/wator period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling and and considered difference between the Natural decay tead the<br>product test. Gently shows a provide the same and<br>product test. Gently shows a provide provide the same and<br>active MSC caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural surgestion air purifier. These inactivision period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered are determined by the sample of the<br>Product test deathy shows a period. The sample of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural surgestion air purifier. These inactivision period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered are determined by the sample of the<br>Product test deathy shows a period. The sample of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature Inc.Cog0 air purifier. These index/wator the net of the Timotogo air purifier. These index/wator period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling and and considered difference between the Natural decay tead the<br>product test. Gently shows a provide the same and<br>product test. Gently shows a provide provide the same and<br>active MSC caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
  | between the natural micesopa air purifier. These inactivision of period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent and determine between the Natural decay tend the<br>product test clearly shows a regulation of the concentration of autome and<br>active MSC accessed by the air purifier.  
   
   | between the natural machines of the provider of the machines of the provider of the test of the larmon Maccio are in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>significant and consistent a reduction of the concentration of authorne and<br>product test clearly shows a the purifier.   
   
  | between the national indication air purifier. These inactivation of partial. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent, and exclusion of the concentration of authorne and<br>product test clearly shows a primer.   
   
   | between the natural machines of the provider of the machines of the provider of the test of the larmon Maccio are in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>significant and consistent a reduction of the concentration of authorne and<br>product test clearly shows a the purifier.   
   
  | between the natural machines of the provider of the machines of the provider of the test of the larmon Maccio are in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour petra and the<br>significant and consistent a reduction of the concentration of authorne and<br>product test clearly shows a the purifier.   | between the natural index 300 air putifier. These inactivation (record to the<br>fue side of the since MACS00 air putifier. These inactivation (record a<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a
reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a reduc                                      | between the natural index of purifier. These inactivation income the<br>he use of the simco MACSOD air purifier. These inactivation income<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test.   | between the natural index of purifier. These inactivation income the<br>he use of the simco MACSOD air purifier. These inactivation income<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test.  | between the natural index of purifier. These inactivation income the<br>he use of the simco MACSOD air purifier. These inactivation income<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test Clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test.   | between the natural index 1000 air purifier. These index values in teaching the set of the simon MACS00 air purifier. These index values a set of the sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows  | between the natural index 300 air putifier. These inactivation (record to the<br>fue side of the since MACS00 air putifier. These inactivation (record a<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test
clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a reduc   | between the natural index 1000 air purifier. These index values in teaching the set of the simon MACS00 air purifier. These index values a set of the sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows  | between the natural index 300 air putifier. These inactivation (record to the<br>fue side of the since MACS00 air putifier. These inactivation (record a<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over
a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a reduc   | between the natural index 1000 air purifier. These index values in teaching the set of the simon MACS00 air purifier. These index values a set of the sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows   | between the natural index 1000 air purifier. These index values in teaching the set of the simon MACS00 air purifier. These index values a set of the sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product
test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows are clearly shows  | between the natural index 300 air putifier. These inactivation (record to the<br>fue side of the since MACS00 air putifier. These inactivation (record a<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a reduc   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural index 300 air putifier. These inactivation (record to the<br>fue side of the since MACS00 air putifier. These inactivation (record a<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a reduc   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural micesopa air purifier. These inactivision of period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent and determine between the Natural decay tend the<br>product test clearly shows a regulation of the concentration of autome and<br>active MSC accessed by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural micesopa air purifier. These inactivision of period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and consistent and determine between the Natural decay tend the<br>product test clearly shows a regulation of the concentration of autome and<br>active MSC accessed by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the natural surgestion air purifier. These inactivision period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by
sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered are determined by the sample of the<br>Product test deathy shows a period. The sample of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the natural surgestion air purifier. These inactivision period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered are determined by the sample of the<br>Product test deathy shows a period. The sample of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature, MASDB out profiler. These indications in the end of the lines, MASDB out profiled. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamber over a 2 show profiled. The<br>determined by sampling of the air in the clamber over a 2 show profile. The<br>product text clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of automore and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based in the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSBD, the reductions in<br>the lines of the same strength and the same strength and the table below.<br>The same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength and the same strength and the same strength and the<br>same strength   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature, NACS00 air purifier. These inactivations have present the nature of the air purifier of the air in the datameter over a 2-hour period. The<br>determined by annualize of the air in the datameter over a 2-hour period. The<br>significant and considered difference between the Nature data, test and the<br>product test detry shows a reduction of the constraintion of autome and<br>active NS2 cased by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the sign of the sign of the sign of the sign of the test of the test of the<br>sign of the test of the sign of the sign of the test of the test of the<br>sign of the test of the sign of the sign of the test of the test of the<br>sign of the test of the sign of the sign of the test of the<br>sign of the test of the sign of the sign of the test of the<br>sign of the test of the sign of the sign of the test of the<br>sign of test of test of the sign of test of   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the nature, which go a purplier. These is natives as hour period. The<br>determined with a simplify of the ari in the danable of used leady task the danable of the simplifier<br>data and the simplifier of the arise of the concentration of arborne and<br>softw MSZ caused by the air purplier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in<br>sign and in Signetic calculated and are found in the table <b>1 burn</b><br><b>3 burn 2 burn 3 burn</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the assume MACSO are profiler. These instactions 2-shour period. The discretion of the area of the second  
   
   
   
   
  | between the same pMCSD air purfiler. These instructions 2 hour period. The<br>issue and only sameling of the air in the table table durates are those period. The<br>significant and consistent difference is of the consentation of airborns and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfiler. The
MACSD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSD, the reductions in<br>Samed state measured instruction efficiency of the MACSD, the reductions in<br>Samed state measured instructions are shown in the table behavior.<br>The durat attructions are subject on a priviler<br><b>Product attructions 1 hour 1 hours 3</b> hours<br><b>9</b> of \$90 ± 0.056.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the same pMCSD air purfiler. These instructions 2 hour period. The<br>issue and only sameling of the air in the table table durates are those period. The<br>significant and consistent difference is of the consentation of airborns and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfiler. The MACSD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MACSD, the reductions in<br>Samed state measured instruction efficiency of the MACSD, the reductions in<br>Samed state measured instructions are shown in the table behavior.<br>The durat attructions are subject on a priviler<br><b>Product attructions 1 hour 1 hours 3</b> hours<br><b>9</b> of \$90 ± 0.056.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the names MACSD0 are purptier. These instances a how period. The<br>issue and only sampling of the a'r in the data the Matural decay test and the<br>significant and consistent affectives in or the consensation of actions and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purptier. The MACSD0, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured instances and the instances and the table the<br>matural decay and the significant of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>the data in the reductions are significant on the table before:<br>The data is the significant of the significant of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>Fractical attributions are an and the significant of the MACSD0, the reductions in<br>the data is the significant of the significant of the significant of the<br>Product attribution of the significant of the significant of the<br>matural significant of the significant of the<br>matural significant of the matural significant of the<br>significant of the significant of the significant of the<br>significant of the significant of the<br>significant of the significant of<br>significant of the significant of<br>significant of the significant of<br>significant of<br>signifi   
   
   
   
   
   
   | between the same NACSO are purifier. These initiality a characteristic of the same of the   
   
   
   
   
   | between the same MACSO are purptier. These instances a how periods. The<br>lead of all by same participation of the air in the same shares a show periods. The<br>significant and conserver differences on the conservation of airborns and<br>active MS2 cased by the air purptier. The MACSOL the enductions in<br>Based on the measured instances during the MACSOL the enductions in<br>the same instances instances and an enduction on the table below:<br>the same instances instances and the same size found in the table below:<br>the same instances and the same size found in the table below:<br>the same instances and the same size found in the table below:<br>the same instances are apprecisive and the same size of the same sis same size of  
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the factor with the second or purplies. These instructions as hown period. The determines the second seco  
   
   
   
   
   
  | between the assume MACSQ0 are purptied. These indications as those period. The isotropy of the air in the assume that the second secon  | between the names MACSO are profiler. These indicates the set of the same set   |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
   
                        |  
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| the use of a by amonging of the air in the chartler Natural decay test and the<br>desimation and considered inference between interpretation of arbitrary and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the construction of arbitrary and<br>and the NSC clearly always a reduction of the NSC S00. the reductions in<br>% and in log-reductions are conclused and are found in the table below:<br>NS and NSC S00. The NSC S00. The NSC S00. The NSC S00. The<br>Product stiftished in the NSC S00. The S00. The<br>NSC S00. The NSC S00. The NSC S00. The<br>NSC S00. The NSC S00. The NSC S00. The<br>NSC S00. The NSC S00. The NSC S00. The<br>NSC S00.   | the use of the purposed of the  | the use of the aurogram of the air in the chartless dataset leaves that all decay fees and the<br>advantage and consistent effective between extentions of anisome and<br>active MS2 categories by the air purifies<br>table MS2 and the maximum and the consentrations of anisome and<br>active MS2 categories and the set of the MASSO, the reductions in<br>Read to the messarely inactivation efficiency of the MASSO, the reductions in<br>Read to the messarely inactivation of messare and an the table below:<br><b>Product attributions 1 hour 2</b> hours <b>3 hours</b><br><b>Read: 1</b> hours <b>29</b> % <b>9</b> % <b>9</b> % <b>9</b> % <b>8</b> .0%<br>Read: <b>1</b> hours <b>1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>1</b> | the use of the sampling of the air in the character during a large view of the sampling of the air of the during the discourse of there are break on the concentration of airborne and the model that clear the same view of the sampling of the sampling. The same view of the sampling of t   | the disk of the paragraphic of the air in the character status a lease y test and the<br>model of the paragraphic status of the status and the<br>model that characteristic status of the status of the<br>model that characteristic status of the<br>model that the status of the<br>model status of the status of the<br>model status of the   
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the charities taking a leasy test and the<br>simulation test of consistent difference based on the same and the<br>product test clearly shows a real content. The same and the<br>read on the measured inscription of the MACSON, the reductions in<br>the air of the simulation of the same and the same and<br><b>Product attribution</b> $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$  
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartle's obtained accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between earlier and the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of<br>the same of the sampling of the sampling of<br>and in the measured instructions of the sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sa   
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the character outstand accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between advances of the constraint of accers and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>accels. We calculate by the air purplication of the concentration of anknown and<br>accels. We calculate the same concentration of anknown and<br>accels and to the measured instructions of the MacGoo, the reductions in<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours:</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours.</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours.</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 </b>                               | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartle's obtained accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between earlier and the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of<br>the same of the sampling of the sampling of<br>and in the measured instructions of the sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sa  
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the character outstand accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between advances of the constraint of accers and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>accels. We calculate by the air purplication of the concentration of anknown and<br>accels. We calculate the same concentration of anknown and<br>accels and to the measured instructions of the MacGoo, the reductions in<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours:</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours.</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours.</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 2 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 hours 1 and 1 hours 1 </b>                               | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartle's obtained accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between earlier and the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of the<br>arrows that the sampling of the constraints of a sampling of<br>the same of the sampling of the sampling of<br>and in the measured instructions of the sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sampling of the sampling of<br>sampling of<br>sa  
  | the use of this sampling of the air in the chartle's outural accept test and the determinent of converses of therease between a determinent of converses of therease between a determinent of converses of the determinent of converses of the determinent of the d  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of this sampling of the air in the chartle's outural accept test and the determinent of converses of therease between a determinent of converses of therease between a determinent of converses of the determinent of converses of the determinent of the d  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the disk of the paragraphic of the air in the chariter which a decay fees and the<br>mediaticat test clearly shows a regime to constrain the aircone and<br>extend test clearly shows a routine. The second second second second<br>test of the second second second second second<br>mediates and the second second second second second<br>regime test second second second<br>regime test second second second second<br>regime test second second<br>regime test second second<br>regime test second<br>re  | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of key test and the product of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of the sampling of th  
   | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of key test and the product of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of the sampling of th   
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of key test and the product of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of the sampling of th  | the use of this sampling of the air in the character duration discrete feets and the<br>deterministic and consistent difference between and the<br>active MS2 causes of their area between and<br>active MS2 causes of the single-transmission of anternaria and<br>matching the single-transmission and<br>active transmission and<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br><u>Reduction</u> , 90 spin ± 90 spin ± 2.3% 99 ± 0.5%<br><u>Lap-reduction</u> 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.071<br>(part 10)  
  | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartle's outsuit al accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between events of an adverse and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anisome and<br>active NS2 caused by the air publics. The advectory of the McGR00, the reductions in<br>figure 10 the ensemble functional active of the McGR00, the reductions in<br>Figure 10 the ensemble in the state base belows:<br><b>Product stribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>Reduction, <u>By</u> 50 ± 0, 23 ± 0, 39 ± 0, 25 \pm 0, 2 | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartle's outsuit al accery test and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between events of an adverse and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anisome and<br>active NS2 caused by the air publics. The advectory of the McGR00, the reductions in<br>figure 10 the ensemble functional active of the McGR00, the reductions in<br>Figure 10 the ensemble in the state base belows:<br><b>Product stribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>Reduction, <u>By</u> 50 ± 0, 23 ± 0, 39 ± 0, 25 \pm 0, 2 | the use of this sampling of the air in the character duration discrete feets and the<br>deterministic and consistent difference between and the<br>active MS2 causes of their area between and<br>active MS2 causes of the single-transmission of anternaria and<br>matching the single-transmission and<br>active transmission and<br><b>Product attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br><u>Reduction</u> , 90 spin ± 90 spin ± 2.3% 99 ± 0.5%<br><u>Lap-reduction</u> 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.071<br>(part 10)   | the use of the auxoping of the eri in the charble over the automatic end of the subscription of the end of the automatic end of the subscription of automatic end of the constraints of automatic end of the end  | the use of the aurophong of the error in the character data and users test and the significant data and the sis and the significant data and the sis and the significant d  | the data of the sampling of the air in the charities status al eacy test and the<br>interfactors and consistent difference baselines and the same and<br>product test clearly shows a reaction. If the constructions of adverse and<br>active MS2 caused by the sampling of the MACRO, the reductions in<br>space of the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>product attribution of the sampling of the MACRO, the reductions in<br>Reduction, which are applied by a sampling of the sampling<br>Reduction, and the same and the same and the same and the<br>Reduction of the sampling of the sampling of the sampling of the<br>Reduction, and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>Reduction, and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and<br>the same and the same and  | the use of this sampling of the air in the character outside access tests and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between the nutritional access tests and the<br>services test clearly shows a reduction of the conservation of anterner and<br>access tests clearly shows a reduction of the conservation of anterner and<br>access tests are accessed by the air purplet. The access test are<br>product attributions are clearable and a true table before.<br><b>Product attributions 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1</b> hours <b>2</b> and <b>3</b> | the use of this sampling of the air in the character outside access tests and the<br>determinist and consistent difference between the nutritional access tests and the<br>services test clearly shows a reduction of the conservation of anterner and<br>access tests clearly shows a reduction of the conservation of anterner and<br>access tests are accessed by the air purplet. The access test are<br>product attributions are clearable and a true table before.<br><b>Product attributions 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1</b> hours <b>2</b> and <b>3</b> | the use of the surgering of the set in the character duration of the surgering of the set in the character duration of actions of the surgering of the surgerin                          
  | the use of the sillocation of the ein in the chamber over a scenar test and the<br>significant scenarios of the source the Natura scenar test and the<br>significant scenarios of the source test scenarios of the source and<br>active Natura scenarios of the source test scenarios of the source scenarios<br>scenarios of the site scenarios of the source test scenarios in<br>tests of the measured inactiveton efficiency of the Nac300, the reductions in<br>tests of the measured inactiveton efficiency of the Nac300, the reductions in<br>tests of the measured inactiveton efficiency of the Nac300, the table below:<br>the scenarios are scenarios and the source of the source of the table below:<br>Product attributions on the site of 99% ± 2.05%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the analoging of the air in the chamble over the standard test standard   | the disk of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid a decay fees and the<br>supprised and documents of the disk of the superised of the superised of the disk of the dis   | the use of this jumping of the air in the chamble output of the start  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the die of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid acceptes, and the<br>sector of the jumping of the air in the character of the sector of an accepted and<br>product sets clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>acceler MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the metazanet inscritevitien efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in<br>sector sets of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the die of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid acceptes, and the<br>sector of the jumping of the air in the character of the sector of an accepted and<br>product sets clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>acceler MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the metazanet inscritevitien efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in<br>sector sets of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the die of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid acceptes, and the<br>sector of the jumping of the air in the character of the sector of an accepted and<br>product sets clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>acceler MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the metazanet inscritevitien efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in<br>sector sets of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the
sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the die of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid acceptes, and the<br>sector of the jumping of the air in the character of the sector of an accepted and<br>product sets clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of anknown and<br>acceler MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the metazanet inscritevitien efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in<br>sector sets of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the<br>sector of the sector of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the disk of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid a decay fees and the<br>supprised and documents of the disk of the superised of the superised of the disk of the dis  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the disk of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid a decay fees and the<br>supprised and documents of the disk of the superised of the superised of the disk of the dis  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the disk of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid a decay fees and the<br>supprised and documents of the disk of the superised of the superised of the disk of the dis   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  
  | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.   | the use of the lancehold of the air in the character status al eacy fees and the<br>significant and consistent difference status and eacy fees and the<br>product test clearly status as repurifier.<br>Next MeS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instatus and end are found in the table before<br>and in log-requestions and status and and are tool and the based before<br>and an origination and a status and and are tool and the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of this jumping of the air in the chamble output of a start of the second of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the die of the jumping of the air in the character outsuid accery test and the<br>advantise and constrained difference to the constraint of advance and<br>acceler biological by the air purplica-<br>tactive MS2 caused by the air purplica-<br>tions of the air purplication of advance and<br>acceler biological by the air purplica-<br>tions of the air purplication of the Andrean biological biological<br>Based on the metasative inscription of the MACSO, the reductions in<br>Based on the metasative metasative and are found in the table biology.<br>Based on the metasative metasative and are found in the table biology.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of this jumping of the air in the chamble output of a start of the second of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of this jumping of the air in the chamble output of a start of the second of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the sumpling of the set in the charafter or status of texts and the<br>significant of the sumpling of the set in the status of texts of texts of text of texts of texts of texts of the sumplimit of the constraints of an electron and<br>active MS2 caused by the sin pullies. The set of text of the MACS00, the endeditors in<br>Based on the measured instruction of electrony of the MACS00, the endeditors in<br>Based on the measured instruction of electrony of the MACS00, the endeditors in<br>Based on the measured instruction of electrony of the MACS00, the endeditors in<br>Since and Since and Sin  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the initio days amplifying of the air in the chamber over a 2 host rest and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 host rest and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air punfier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hop part of the second determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hop part of the significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay text and the product text clearly shows are reduction of the concentration of airborne and product text clearly shows are reduction of the concentration of airborne and active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
 the use of the innormation of the air in the chamber over a 2 most set and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the Jindowski of the air in the chamber over a Z how the stand of the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the Jindowski of the air in the chamber over a Z how the stand of the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the junic/use of the air in the chamber over a 2 more part and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more part of the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of<br>airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of<br>airborne and<br>airborne and   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are eduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are educition of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are eduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are educition of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the simic of the air in the chamber over a 2 hours part of the similar of the sinteresis of the similar of the similar of the sinteresis of the s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the simic of the air in the chamber over a 2 hours part of the similar of the sinteresis of the similar of the similar of the sinteresis of the s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour per and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are eduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are educition of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of the concentration  
   
  | the use of the Jinko of the air in the chamber over a 2 hop part of the set and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay text and the<br>product test clearly shows are aduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   | the use of the Jindo Tomora and the air in the chamber over a 2 model of the sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 model  
   
  | the use of the Jinko of the air in the chamber over a 2 hop part of the set and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay text and the<br>product test clearly shows are aduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   | the use of the Jinko of the air in the chamber over a 2 hop part of the set and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay text and the<br>product test clearly shows are aduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  | the use of the jumping of the air in the chamber over a line over a determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a detay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction.  | the use of the Jindy sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more decay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the
concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows are declearly<br>product test sh                          | the use of the Jindy sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more decay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows are declearly<br>product test sh   | the use of the Jindy sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 more decay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test shows are declearly<br>product test clearly shows are declearly<br>product test sh  | the use of the Juncoin the air in the chamber over 2 where a set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over 2 and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a re   | the use of the jumping of the air in the chamber over a line over a determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a detay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction.   
   | the use of the Juncoin the air in the chamber over 2 where a set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over 2 and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a re   | the use of the jumping of the air in the chamber over a line over a determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a detay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction.   
   | the use of the Juncoin the air in the chamber over 2 where a set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over 2 and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a re  | the use of the Juncoin the air in the chamber over 2 where a set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over 2 and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of test clearly shows a re   | the use of the jumping of the air in the chamber over a line over a determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a detay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the jumping of the air in the chamber over a line over a determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a detay test and the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows a reduction.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of the concentration  
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the initio of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the set and the<br>determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2 hour part of the<br>significant and consistent difference between the Natral decay test and the<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on of the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of airborne and<br>product test clearly shows are adjusted on the concentration of the concentration   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the simic of the air in the chamber over a 2 hours part of the similar of the sinteresis of the similar of the similar of the sinteresis of the s  
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the simic of the air in the chamber over a 2 hours part of the similar of the sinteresis of the similar of the similar of the sinteresis of the s   
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of this sampling of the air in the character duration of active sampling of the duration of the duration of active sampling of the duration of active sampling of the duration of actives and active MS2 caused by the air publics.<br>Based on the measured instructions of actives of the MACSO, the reductions in active sampling of the duration of active sampling of the sampling of the MACSO, the reductions in a same same same same same same same sa   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the auroging of the air in the charble caused acrosy tests and the<br>advantised and considered fifthering between the functional damage and<br>product test clearly abrows a reduction of the constraints of airborne and<br>across the test caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured individual of fifthering the MACSOL the reductions in<br>a second and the second and the first of the MACSOL the reductions in<br>the second across the second and the first of the table before:<br>a second across the second and the first of the table before:<br>a second across the second across the second across the table before:<br>a second across the second across the second across the second across the<br>across the second across the second ac   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the die of the sampling of the air in the charitree taking a leasy test and the<br>significant and consistent difference basels on electronic and<br>around the sampling of the sampling of the sampling of the<br>MACM NS2 cases of the sampling of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the and in the restance install-state and are serviced in the table before<br>the same sampling of the sampling of the<br>same in the same same install-state and are serviced in the table before.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the analoging of the air in the charther over a decay test and the<br>significant consistent difference the Natura decay test and the<br>significant test clarify shows a reduction of the concentration of archiver and<br>active N22 caused by the air purifies<br>based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>Natura in log-reductions are clarify and an efficiency of the table below:<br>Natura in log-reductions are clarify and an efficiency of the table below:<br>Product attribution the natura of the product attribution of the product attribution the natura of the natura of the product attribution the natura of the nattribution of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the surgering of the set in the character duration of access the set of the surgering of the set in the character duration of access the set of the set o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the surgering of the set in the character duration of access the set of the surgering of the set in the character duration of access the set of the set o  
   
   
   
   
   
       | the use of the surgering of the set in the character duration of the surgering of the set in the character duration of actions of the surgering of the surgerin   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the auroping of the air in the charities during the set of the auroping of the air short the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | the use of the aurophong of the air in the charakter duration of the second of the constraints of a second of the   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the data of the sampling of the air in the character outstand accept test and the<br>distribution test consistent difference balance of the character outstand accept test and the<br>product test clearly shows a real-balance of the characteristic of a shown and<br>disclose S2 cases of the sampling of the shown of the shown and<br>disclose of the sampling of the shown of the shown in<br>such on the measured inscription of disclose the shown in<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown in<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown in<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of<br>the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the<br>shown of the shown of the shown of the shown of the shown  
   
   
   
   
   
  | the use of the auxoping of the eri in the charble over the automatic end of the subscription of the end of the automatic end of the subscription of automatic end of the constraints of automatic end of the end  | the use of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of key test and the product of the sampling of the air in the chartled output of the sampling of th   |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| significant and carry shows are electricin of the contemparative of the<br>reduct test carry shows are reduction of the contemparative of the<br>Model of the manual shows and the shows and the shows and the<br>shows and the log-reductions are calculated and are (build in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 3 hours</b><br><b>9</b> (9) \$4 - 5%<br>Reduction, %<br><b>9</b> (9) \$4 - 5%<br><b>1</b> - 2, 9 ± 0.71  | significant and cannot be sense that the dimension of th   | Significant as early shows a reduction of the contentmation of an ended that a control by the air purifies<br>and the VEC assessed by the air purifies<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the Nac2500, the ended tions in<br>Significant assessment in the set of the   | Simplificant an usery arows a neckcion of the concentration of an user of the second  | significant time carry shows a reduction of the contentionation are<br>where MS2 caused by the air purifies<br>based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500 are reductions in<br>the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500 are reductions in<br>the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500 are reductions in<br>the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500 are reductions in<br>Product attribution 1 theory = 20 bits 2 bits<br>Product attribution efficiency of the measurement<br>Log-reduction<br>(see 10) 0 cm 20 cm 2  
   | significant and usery shows a reaction of the contentation of the content of the  
  | Significant and early shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  
   | Significant and such shows a networks of the concentration of the conce  | Significant and early shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  
  | Significant and such shows a networks of the concentration of the conce  | Significant and early shows a reduction of the concentration of the con   
   | Significant and such shows a reduction of the concentration of the conc   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Significant and such shows a reduction of the concentration of the conc   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant situ carry shows a reduction of the contentation of a set of the contentation of the content  
  | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  
   | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con   
  | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  | Significant set outry shows a neckcion of the concentration of the conc  | Simplificant an usery shows a reduction of the contentation of a structure state of the structure structu   | Simplificant an usery shows a reduction of the contentation of a structure state of the structure structu   | Significant set outry shows a neckcion of the concentration of the conc  | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  | significant and using shows a reduction of the contentation of the content of th  | significant and using shows a reaction of the concentration of the conc  | Significant and solution themes an education of the concentration of th   
   | Significant and solution themes an education of the concentration of th   | significant are using shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifies<br>based on the measured neurostation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured neurostation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Sector 2 and the sector and the sector of the sector and<br><b>Product attributions</b> ( <b>Product attributions</b> ) ( <b>Product attribution</b> ) ( <b>Product attribu</b> | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier<br>based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MACS00 and<br>the shows and the shows and the shows and the shows and<br>Poddext attribution on the show of the System System<br>Poddext attribution on the System System System<br>System Statements and Statements and System<br>System Statements and Stateme   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and carry shows a reduction of the cohemismation of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Backdon the measured inactivation efficiency for the MACSOO, the reductions in<br>Seadon 3 the measured inactivation efficiency for the MACSOO, the reductions in<br>Seadon 3 the measured inactivation efficiency for the MACSOO, the reductions in<br>Seadon 3 the measured inactivation efficiency for the MACSOO, the reductions in<br>Seadon 3 the measured inactivation efficiency and the table below:<br>Seadon 3 the measured inactivation of the seadon and the seado  | significant and out-offeed sequences of the concentration of the<br>endowed test clearly shows an endowed on the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>1, and table are are also a   | significant and CUI-DADING a reduction of the concentration of all<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the the measured inactivation of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and CULACE density and an endowed on the concentration of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and CULACE density and an endowed on the concentration of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and CULACE density and an endowed on the
concentration of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and CULACE density and an endowed on the concentration of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and out-offeed sequences of the concentration of the<br>endowed test clearly shows an endowed on the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>1, and table are are also a  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-offeed sequences of the concentration of the<br>endowed test clearly shows an endowed on the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>1, and table are are also a   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-offeed sequences of the concentration of the<br>endowed test clearly shows an endowed on the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9, and in Soci-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>1, and table are are also a   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig  | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   | significant and out-relativity of the dependence of
the dependence of the<br>endow MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign of In-Go-reduction are calculated and are found in the sign of Sig   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and CUI-DADING a reduction of the concentration of all<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the measured inactivation of the measured inactivatinactivation of the measured inactivation of the measu              
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and CULACE density and an endowed on the concentration of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and CUI-DADING a reduction of the concentration of all<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the measured inactivation of the measured inactivatinactivation of the measured inactivation of the measu   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and CUI-DADING a reduction of the concentration of all<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the measured inactivation of the measured inactivatinactivation of the measured inactivation of the measu   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and outcast a reduction of the concentration of the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured reductable and are found in the table below:<br>a hours of the second table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considering above a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considered and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and courses are reduction of the concentration of the<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air pufifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and consistence and account of the concentration of the second seco  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and consistence and account of the concentration of the second seco   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considering a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considered and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considered and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considering and the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considering and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considered and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   | significant and considered hows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
  | significant and considerations are eduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   | significant and ourseless shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
  | significant and considerations are eduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   | significant and considerations are eduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   | product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an  | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   
  | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   | product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   
  | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   | product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an  |
significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an  | significant and consistences a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   | product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considered hows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considered hows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and considering and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and considering and a reduction of the concentration of an<br>product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and outparts a reduction of the concentration of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based as the reductions are caused label and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and outparts a reduction of the concentration of the<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based to how metalytics are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and covery shows are education of the concentration of a<br>motivate test clearly shows are reduction of the concentration of a<br>active MSZ caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measurement instruction efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and are<br>ward in log-reductions are<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward<br>ward   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant and curve shows a neckcolor of the concentration of a concentration of the concen  
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant are using shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purific-<br>sative MS2 caused by the air purific-<br>based on the measured near-outwate of Recisiony of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Received and the second state of the state of the second state<br>Product attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b> <u>BNUTS</u><br><b>Product</b> attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b><br><b>Product</b> attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant are using shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purific-<br>sative MS2 caused by the air purific-<br>based on the measured near-outwate of Recisiony of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Received and the second state of the state of the second state<br>Product attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b> <u>BNUTS</u><br><b>Product</b> attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b><br><b>Product</b> attribution <u>BNN</u> = <b>BNUTS</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant are using shows a reduction of the concentration of an<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifies<br>based on the measured neurostation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured neurostation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Sector 2 and the sector of the sector of the sector of the<br>Product attribution <u>Boyle and 9 Splits 2-75</u> 99 9 9 a 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant an usering shows a reduction of the contentionation and a state MACS00, the reductions in<br>sche MACS2 caused by the sir purifica-<br>based on the measured nactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured nactivation and a reduction that table beautions<br>in the log-reductions are contract in the schematic<br><b>Product attribution System 3</b> ( <b>Polymer 2</b> , <b>Polymer 3 Polymer </b>  
   
   
   
   
   
  | significant at each shows a reduction of the contentation of an<br>active MS2 caused by the in purific-<br>state MS2 caused by the in purific-<br>based on the measured nactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Each of the measured nactivation efficiency of the MACS00 the reductions in<br>Each of the measured nactivation efficiency of the MACS00 the reductions in<br>Product activations is not caused and found in the table De-<br>Product activation of the Section of Section 20 (Section 20 (Secti  
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and Uais shows a reduction of the concentration of the conc   
   
   
   
   
   
   | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con  | significant and curve shows a reduction of the concentration of the con   |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |             
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
| Product task cases by the eth pullifier.<br>Based on the measured narchusten afficiency of the MarcSoo, the reductions in<br>Sign and in operations are calculated and set (ound in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <u>80</u> , <u>80</u> , <u>80</u> , <u>90</u> , <u>81</u> , <u>30</u> , <u>80</u> , <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u>, <u>80, <u>80</u>, <u>80</u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u> | Induct that can be an purifier.<br>Based on the measured nativation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>ward in log-reductions are calculated and an found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Log-reduction</b><br>Log-reduction<br><b>Output</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b><br><b>Description</b> | Product left deam joint provides that the provides that the deam shows and the measured instativation deficiency of the MACSOO, the reductions in the measured instativation deficiency of the MACSOO, the reductions in the set of the measured instativation of the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 lower 2 lower 3 hours:</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>9 8 9 9 9 9 1 8 3 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>   | Product leaf cleanly and official provides that the provides that cleanly and the transmitted provides that the provides the transmitted provides that the provides the transmitted provides that the transmitted provides the transmitted provides that the transmitted provides the transmitted provides the transmitted provides that the transmitted provides the transmitted  | Product lengt Causel by the ale purifier.<br>Based on the measured inductive efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the other measured inductive efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the other measured inductive efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br><b>Product attributions 1 being 2 being</b><br><b>Product attributions 2 being 2 being</b><br><b>Product attributions 2 being 2 being</b><br><b>2 being 2 being</b><br><b>2 being 2 being</b><br><b>2 be</b>   
  | Product lead cases by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivety efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>the air of top-cases calculated and are found in the table based<br><b>Product attributions 1 hours</b><br><b>Product attributions 2 hours</b><br><b>2 hours</b><br><b>2 hours</b><br><b>3 hours</b> | Product text Cean in
purifier.<br>Based on the measured inscription difficuency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> here <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>6</b> 5% ± 2% <b>9</b> 5% ± 2.3%<br><b>29</b> ± 0.2%<br><b>10</b> ± 0.2% ± 0.2% <b>10</b> ± 0.2\% <b></b>  
   | product text clean is unruline.<br>Based on the measured instruction diffusion of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>taken in 0.9-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>1 3 boars</b><br><b>1 3 boars</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>  | Product text Cean in purifier.<br>Based on the measured inscription difficuency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> here <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>6</b> 5% ± 2% <b>9</b> 5% ± 2.3%<br><b>29</b> ± 0.2%<br><b>10</b> ± 0.2% ± 0.2% <b>10</b> ± 0.2\% <b></b>   
   | product text clean is unruline.<br>Based on the measured instruction diffusion of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>taken in 0.9-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 boars</b><br><b>1 3 boars</b><br><b>1 3 boars</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>  | Product text Cean in purifier.<br>Based on the measured inscription difficuency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>is and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> here <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>6</b> 5% ± 2% <b>9</b> 5% ± 2.3%<br><b>29</b> ± 0.2%<br><b>10</b> ± 0.2% ± 0.2% <b>10</b> ± 0.2\% <b></b>   
   | product text clean just by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inscrived on diffusion of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>so and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 3 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 3 burs</b><br><b>1 1 3 burs</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product text clean just by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inscrived on diffusion of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>so and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 3 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 2 burs</b><br><b>1 3 burs</b><br><b>1 1 3 burs</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product lengt Causing our particle.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the NAC300, the reductions in<br>the set of the measured instruction efficiency of the NAC300, the reductions
in<br>the set of the measured instruction of the set of th   | Product lead careful by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MacSion, the reduction in<br>the star is log-starting to the start of the start of the start of the<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Product a</b>   
  | Product lead careful by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MacSion, the reduction in<br>the star is log-starting to the start of the start of the start of the<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Product a</b>  
   | Product lead careful by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MacSion, the reduction in<br>the star is log-starting to the start of the start of the start of the<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Product a</b>  | Product text Geam year burnlier.<br>Based on the measured instruction difficuency of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>so and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table before:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>0 5 % 9 % 2 %</b><br><b>1 8 % 9 % % 8 %</b><br><b>1 9 % % 8 %</b><br><b>1 9 % % 1 %</b><br><b>1 1 9 % 1 %</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>  | Product lead to be a pure file.<br>Note NS2 cased by the air pure file.<br>Based on the measured instruction deficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>signal in 0; expecticosis ere calculate and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> here <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>6</b> 59% ± 29% ± 2.3% (9.3 ± 0.5%)<br>Lap-pediction 0, 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)   
   | Product lead to be a pure file.<br>Note NS2 cased by the air pure file.<br>Based on the measured instruction deficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>signal in 0; expecticosis ere calculate and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> here <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>6</b> 59% ± 29% ± 2.3% (9.3 ± 0.5%)<br>Lap-pediction 0, 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)   | Product text Geam year burnlier.<br>Based on the measured instruction difficuency of the NACS00, the reductions in<br>so and in 0g-reductions are calculated and are found in the table before:<br><b>Product attribution 1 2 burs</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>0 5 % 9 % 2 %</b><br><b>1 8 % 9 % % 8 %</b><br><b>1 9 % % 8 %</b><br><b>1 9 % % 1 %</b><br><b>1 1 9 % 1 %</b><br><b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>  | produit teld clean just teld   | produit test clean year of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MacSion, the reductions in<br>the measured instructions are clouded and are found in the table below:<br><b>a</b> and <b>a</b> in the <b>a b  <b>a b  a  <b>b a b  a  <b>b  a  <b>b  a  <b>b  a  b  a  <b>b  a  b</b></b></b></b></b></b></b>  | product lead caused by the air purifier.<br>Stack MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetant efficiency of the NAC500, the reductions in<br>the air In 0.5 encidences at the stack of the stack between the<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>M Sympler 19</b> , <b>9</b> , <b></b>  | Product Relations in
the air purifier.<br>Section 152 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>grand in Operations are calculated and all with the table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 90.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 7.2 × 2.5% 7.2 × 2.5%<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 7.2 × 2.5\% 7.2 × 2.5\% 7.2   | Product Relations in the air purifier.<br>Section 152 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>grand in Operations are calculated and all with the table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 90.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 7.2 × 2.5% 7.2 × 2.5%<br>Reduction, % 50% a 5% 90% ± 2.3% 7.2 × 2.5\% 7.2 × 2.5\% 7.2   | Vector         Vector<  | where the case of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>search of the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>the same below:<br>Search of the same search of the same search of the same search<br><b>Product attribution</b> and search of the same search of the same search<br><b>Search of the same search</b> of the same search of the same s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test case in a low and purplet.<br>Settine MS2 cased by the air purfier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the set of the set<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Porduct attribution 1 hour</b> of the 2.7(8) 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   | product test cleanly account of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement  | Product test clearly showed are purfiler.<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfiler.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>showed to low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly shows the air putifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source to low-enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Source 100 - Source 100  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly shows the air putifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source to low-enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Source and the source induction of the source of the so  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product test clearly shows the air putifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source to low-enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Source and the source induction of the source of the so   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly shows the air putifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source to low-enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Source and the source induction of the source of the so  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test cleanly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleanly account of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleanly account of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test cleanly account of the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of the measurement of the measurement of the measurement<br>Based on the measurement of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test cleanly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleanly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test cleanly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleanly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  | product test clearly across<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are
found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6 <sub>9</sub> and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly showed are puffier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source la low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product test clearly shows the air putifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air putifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source to low-enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Source and the source induction of the source of the so   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly showed are puffier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source la low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product test clearly showed are puffier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air puffier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source la low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test clearly and the air purifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>based on the measured inactivation of the measurement   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  | product test cleany shows an purifier   
   | product test clearly and a purifier   | product test clearly and a purifier  | product test clearly and a purifier   | product test cleanly shows any purifier  
   | product test cleany shows an purifier  | product test cleanly shows any purifier  
   | product test cleany shows an purifier  | product test cleanly shows any purifier   | product test cleanly shows any purifier  
   | product test cleany shows an purifier   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleany shows an purifier   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | product test clearly shown in purfiler.<br>active MS2 caused by the air purfiler.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>source the locar enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>a hours 3 hours   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly allocate and purple of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>product in lon-productions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   | roduct test clearly - and/second test for the air purifier.<br>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Sign and sign are sign and sign are sign ar  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product test clearly and by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Search of the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Search of the Search of the Sear  
   
   
   
   
   | Product lefat clean (-) accessed by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured
inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Sea and in log-mechanism are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>W</b> and in log-mechanism and <b>D</b> and  
   
   
   
   
   | Product lefat clean (-) accessed by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOD, the reductions in<br>Sea and in log-mechanism are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>W</b> and in log-mechanism and <b>D</b> and  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Vector         Vector<  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product lead cean   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product letter letter in unifier.           Based on the measured inscrivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>Search in log-metalutions are calculated and are found in the table below:           No and in log-metalutions are calculated and are found in the table below:           No and in log-metalutions are calculated and are found in the table below:           No and in log-metalutions are calculated and are found in the table below:           No and in log-metalution are calculated and are found in the table below:           No and No a   
   
   
   
   
   
   | product test cleanly by the air purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NaCS00, the reductions in<br>the and in log-reductions at the status of the NaCS00, the reductions in<br>Product attribution<br>Reduction, $\frac{N}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{$  
   
   
   
   
   
   | produit teld clean just teld   | Product lead careful by the are purifier.<br>Based on the measured inactivetion efficiency of the MacSion, the reduction in<br>the star is log-starting to the start of the start of the start of the<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Product a</b> |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   |   
   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  | | | | | | |
  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  
  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |   |  |   |
| active MS2 causes by use the extension efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in Based on the enductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>where the enduction of the enducti  | Active RS-C asside Up at Carl         The Carl State Up at Carl State   | active MPG 2 causes by the C-<br>Based on the measurement instructions efficiency of the MACSGO, the reductions in<br>ing and in log-reductions are calculated and are found on the table below:<br><b>Product attribution</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , <b>B</b> 99% ± 9% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.3%<br>Cap-reduction 0.977 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Cap-reduction  | Active MS-2 causes by the C=         Sector by the C=           Based on the measured microversition efficiency of the MACSDO, the reductions in<br>span of in tog-reductions are calculated and are tound in the table below;         Shours           Product attribution         1 hours         2 hours         90.9 ± 0.3%           Reduction, %         89% ± 0%         99% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Lag-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.04 indexhed   | active MS2 causes by the Causer of the MACSON, the reductions in Based on the machine material factors of the MACSON, the reductions in Based on the machine calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hours - Bohurs - B</b>   
   
  | where MS2 causes of the Causer of the MG2000, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation effication of the MG2000, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MG2000, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MG2000, the reductions in<br>Product attribution 1 hour = 9% b 2.3% and 9% b 2.5%<br>Reduction, % 9% b 2.6% 9% b 2.6% 2.9% b 0.7%<br>Log-reduction 0.9% b 2.6% 9% b 0.7% 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(base 10) - constant the UN-susceptibility for biotechrophage<br>in the MG2000 b 0.5% b 0.5\% b   | Backet ON, Coll         Coll         State  
  | active MS2 causes by doc-200         Based on the maximum fractivation efficiency of the MACSON, the reductions in spand in log-reductions is calculated and are found in the table below;         Based in the maximum fractivation efficiency of the MACSON the reductions in the maximum fraction of the m  | Backet ON, Coll         Coll         State  
   | active MS2 causes by doc-200         Based on the maximum fractivation efficiency of the MACSON, the reductions in spand in log-reductions is calculated and are found in the table below;         Based in the maximum fractivation efficiency of the MACSON the reductions in the maximum fraction of the m  | Backet ON, Coll         Coll         State   
  | active M2-Casabee view         Casabee         Description         Description <thdescription< th="" th<=""><th>active M2-Casabee view         Casabee         Description         <thdescription< th="" th<=""><th>active KPS causes by the Causer of the exception in Reads on the measurement in activation of the end of the measurement in the section of the end of the found on the table below:<br/>Note that the end of the end of the found on the table below:<br/>Product attribution 1 hour = 99% ± 2.3% = 99% ± 0.5% =<br/>Reaction, % = 0.9% ± 0.4% = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71
=<br/>Log-reflection 0.97 ± 0.24 = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71 =<br/>(base 10) = comment the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage and the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of table of the section of table of</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br/>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>many and in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br/>the set of the set of the<br/>Reduction, the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of th</th><th>active MS2 causes by each of the MACIBON the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inscrived on difference of the MACIBON the reductions in<br/>the and in dy-reductions are calculated and the found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4</th><th>active MS2 caused by the exact value of ficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Based on the resulted inactivation efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Weight and in four reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br/><b>Reduction</b>, <math>\theta_{10} = 0.5\%</math> (4) and <math>\theta_{10} = 0.5\%</math> (5) and</th><th>active MSZ sauses 0 in USE</th><th>active MSZ sauses 0 in USE</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br/>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br/><b>9</b>.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 causes up uncerner efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>so and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>Product attribution<br/>so the table below:<br/>99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 caused by uncertain efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>96 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 0</b>(96) ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>69 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in 50 million are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 below:<br/>99 below:</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the
Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated
and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in
the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 cause
by the call of the call of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>sectors are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>a hours</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the EPT</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MSZ caused by the anti-</th><th>active MSZ caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>man MC2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 cause up the activation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Security to the security of the</th><th>active MSZ causes by the end of the second s</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. E<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 cause up (un control officiency of the MAC500), the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product atribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product atribution a</b> (the set as the set of the</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% &amp; 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% &amp; 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br/>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br/><b>9</b>.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 (assess by ULC = 1<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>span dn inop-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution :</b><br/><b>B</b> 89% 8 8% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes up use the second s</th><th>active MS2 caused op dec 2 mini-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAGS00, the reductions in<br/>like and in fog-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Reduction</b>, %<br/><b>8</b>(9)% ± 25%<br/><b>9</b>(9) ± 2.5%<br/><b>9</b>(9) ± 0.5%<br/><b>1</b>(1) ± 0.5% <b>1</b>(1) ± 0.5% <b></b></th><th>active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br/>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>many and in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br/>the set of the set of the<br/>Reduction, the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of th</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th></thdescription<></th></thdescription<>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active M2-Casabee view         Casabee         Description         Description <thdescription< th="" th<=""><th>active KPS causes by the Causer of the exception in Reads on the measurement in activation of the end of the measurement in the section of the end of the found on the table below:<br/>Note that the end of the end of the found on the table below:<br/>Product attribution 1 hour = 99% ± 2.3% = 99% ± 0.5% =<br/>Reaction, % = 0.9% ± 0.4% = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71 =<br/>Log-reflection 0.97 ± 0.24 = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71 =<br/>(base 10) = comment the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage and the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of table of the section of table of</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th><th>active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%      
  99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br/>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>many and in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br/>the set of the set of the<br/>Reduction, the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of th</th><th>active MS2 causes by each of the MACIBON the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inscrived on difference of the MACIBON the reductions in<br/>the and in dy-reductions are calculated and the found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4</th><th>active MS2 caused by the exact value of ficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Based on the resulted inactivation efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Weight and in four reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br/><b>Reduction</b>, <math>\theta_{10} = 0.5\%</math> (4) and <math>\theta_{10} = 0.5\%</math> (5) and</th><th>active MSZ sauses 0 in USE</th><th>active MSZ sauses 0 in USE</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br/>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br/><b>9</b>.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 causes up uncerner efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>so and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>Product attribution<br/>so the table below:<br/>99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 caused by uncertain efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>96 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 0</b>(96) ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>69 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 and in 50 million are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>99 below:<br/>99 below:</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. End<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.4 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:<br/>9.5 below:</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7%
and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in
the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are</th><th>active MSZ clause up the Latter<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br/>7% are found are</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured
inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br/>Based on the Based on<br/>Based on the Ba</th><th>active MS2 cause by the call of the call of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>sectors are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>a hours</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the EPT</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MSZ caused by the anti-</th><th>active MSZ caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the anti-</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the Entry</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air purifier.</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>man MC2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the air putities</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2011</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 caused by the 2017</th><th>active MS2 cause up the activation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>Security to the security of the</th><th>active MSZ causes by the end of the second s</th><th>active MSZ causes up inc. E<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:</th><th>active MS2 cause up (un control officiency of the MAC500), the reductions in<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product atribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product atribution a</b> (the set as the set of the</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% &amp; 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% &amp; 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes 0 in user<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br/>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br/><b>9</b>.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MS2 (assess by ULC = 1<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br/>span dn inop-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Product attribution :</b><br/><b>B</b> 89% 8 8% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>active MSZ causes up use the second s</th><th>active MS2 caused op dec 2 mini-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAGS00, the reductions in<br/>like and in fog-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br/><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br/><b>Reduction</b>, %<br/><b>8</b>(9)% ± 25%<br/><b>9</b>(9) ± 2.5%<br/><b>9</b>(9) ± 0.5%<br/><b>1</b>(1) ± 0.5% <b>1</b>(1) ± 0.5% <b></b></th><th>active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br/>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>many and in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br/><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br/>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br/>the set of the set of the<br/>Reduction, the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br/>Inter-gendent of the set of th</th><th>where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br/>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br/>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br/>Region in Structure in Theory
of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br/>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t</th></thdescription<>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active KPS causes by the Causer of the exception in Reads on the measurement in activation of the end of the measurement in the section of the end of the found on the table below:<br>Note that the end of the end of the found on the table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour = 99% ± 2.3% = 99% ± 0.5% =<br>Reaction, % = 0.9% ± 0.4% = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71 =<br>Log-reflection 0.97 ± 0.24 = 0.93 ± 0.47 = 2.9 ± 0.71 =<br>(base 10) = comment the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage and the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of the section of the table of the section of table of the section of table of   | where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t  
   | where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t   
  | where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t  
   | active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Active RAS Causes by RC=C4         MacS00, the reductions in           Based on the maxement inscription efficiency of the MAcS00, the reductions in         MacS00, the reductions in           Mand in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below;         Shours           Product strubution         1 hours         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | active BS2 causes by the C=C         by the C=C         best of the maximum factorization afficiency of the MaCS00, the reductions in by and in tog-reductions in a calculated and are found in the table below;           We and in tog-reductions         1 hours         3 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         90 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         9% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.5%           Cop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>many and
in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br>the set of the set of the<br>Reduction, the set of the<br>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br>Inter-gendent of the set of th  | active MS2 causes by each of the MACIBON the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inscrived on difference of the MACIBON the reductions in<br>the and in dy-reductions are calculated and the found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> (1) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4   | active MS2 caused by the exact value of ficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Based on the resulted inactivation efficiency of the MACSO, the reductions in Weight and in four reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> hours <b>2</b> hours <b>3</b> hours <b>3</b> hours<br><b>Reduction</b> , $\theta_{10} = 0.5\%$ (4) and $\theta_{10} = 0.5\%$ (5) and | active MSZ sauses 0 in USE  | active MSZ sauses 0 in USE  | active MSZ causes 0 in user<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br><b>9</b> .9 ± 0.5%   
   | active MS2 causes up uncerner efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>so and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>Product attribution<br>so the table below:<br>99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by uncertain efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>96 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 0</b> (96) ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  | active MSZ causes up inc. End<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>69 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>99 and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>99 and in 50 million are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>99 below:<br>99 below:  | active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the
measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the Based on<br>Based on the Ba   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ causes up inc. End<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ causes up inc. End<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ causes up inc. End<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 and 100-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.4 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:<br>9.5 below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7%
are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table
below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated  | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% are calculated and 1% are calculated  | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are   | active MSZ clause up the Latter<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found and 1% are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% and 1% are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are found are found are found in the table below:<br>7% are found are  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the Based on<br>Based on the Ba  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused up the art value of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>the second in low-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the Based on<br>Based on the Ba  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 causes up the D - very second of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the Based on<br>Based on the Based on<br>Based on the Ba   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 cause by the call of the call of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>sectors are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>a hours  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the 2011  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the EPT  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the anti-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ caused by the anti-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ caused by the anti-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the anti-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the anti-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the anti-  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the 2017   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the 2017  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the anti-   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the 2011   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the Entry   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the anti-  
   
  | active MS2 caused by the Entry   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the Entry  | active MS2 caused by the air putities   | active MS2 caused by the air purifier.  
   |  |   |  |   
  |  |   
  |   |  | man MC2 caused by the air putities  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the air putities   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the 2011  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the 2011   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 caused by the 2017  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused by the 2017   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 cause up the activation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>Security to the security of the  
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ causes by the end of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ causes up inc. E<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>6% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>7% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MS2 cause up (un control officiency of the MAC500), the reductions in<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product atribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product atribution a</b> (the set as the set of the   
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ causes 0 in user<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% & 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ causes 0 in user<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>sign and in op-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% & 80% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
  | active MSZ causes 0 in user<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSO0, the reductions in<br>org, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution 8</b> 80% 84% 99% ± 2.3%<br><b>9</b> .9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 (assess by ULC = 1<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the reductions in<br>span dn inop-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Product attribution :</b><br><b>B</b> 89% 8 8% 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MSZ causes up use the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 caused op dec 2 mini-<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAGS00, the reductions in<br>like and in fog-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours</b><br><b>Reduction</b> , %<br><b>8</b> (9)% ± 25%<br><b>9</b> (9) ± 2.5%<br><b>9</b> (9) ± 0.5%<br><b>1</b> (1) ± 0.5% <b>1</b> (1) ± 0.5% <b></b>  
   
   
   
   
   
   | active MS2 causes of the CMS2<br>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>many and in log-reductions are caused and the found in the table before:<br><b>Product attribution 1</b> have a set of the MAC300, the reductions in<br>Reduction, the many and the set of the set of the MAC300, the reduction in<br>the set of the set of the<br>Reduction, the set of the<br>Inter-gendent of the set of the<br>Inter-gendent of the set of th  | where M2-Causes of the CM2-<br>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region in log-measurement inactivation efficiency of the MC-200, the reductions in<br>Region and the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200,<br>Region in Structure in Theory of the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the MC-200, the<br>Region is a structure in the MC-200, t   |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| % and in log-resultance         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  | No.         Description         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution<br>Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction<br>(bset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         0 yes           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Isop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ibse 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-reductation         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 80%         99% ± 82.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 80%         99% ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | We and in Og-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 2.3%         99 9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (0se ± 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%    
      Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bsse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bsse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24        
1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubian         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bsse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Sg and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Predact attribution         99 % ± 23 %         99 9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99 % ± 23 %         99 / 9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-reductions<br>Product attribution 91/6 ± 90/6 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)   
   | % and in log-reductions<br>Product attribution 91/6 ± 90/6 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)  
  | % and in log-reductions<br>Product attribution 91/6 ± 90/6 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)   | % and inog-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bste 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in
log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product diffution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product diffution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and inog-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bste 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Ino-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Ion-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-resultions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log 2 = 0.71         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         2 9 ± 0.71   | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         2 9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product attribution 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSub, the receiver<br>based on the measured inactivation and are found in the table below:<br>a based of the measurement of the measure   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the toble below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | a doe the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUU, the received   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | in a least in a ficiency of the MACSUD, the below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | in ation efficiency of the MACDUD, the real   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the MACDUD, the relation  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | at attention efficiency of the MACDUD, the relation  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | officiency of the MACDUO, the reason  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | officiency of the MACDUO, the real   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | in a structure of the MACDUD, but helewith   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | efficiency of the MACSUU, the term  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Doubt on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOU, the receipt  
   
   
  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the received  
   
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the television of the table below:   
   
  | Record on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSOU, die receive  
   
   | in a measured inactivation efficiency of the MACOU, the table below:  
   
  |   |  
  |   | active MS2 causes by the reductions in   | active MSZ caused by the anti-  | active MS2 caused by the server   
  | active MS2 caused by the all particular  | active MS2 caused by the air purificial   
  | active MS2 caused by the all particle  | active MS2 caused by the server   
   | active MS2 caused by the date per  | active risk come ,   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   | inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the relative  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product attribution 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99/9 ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-regulations 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in tog-resultants         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Ino-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-reductions<br>Product attribution 91/6 ± 90/6 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Reduction, % 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
| % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         85% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         85% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours           Product structuring         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         0 yes           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Isop-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ibse 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-reductation         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 80%         99% ± 82.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 80%         99% ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | We and in Og-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 2.3%         99 9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (0se ± 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%    
      Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bsse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bsse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24        
1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product aftruction         89% ± 8%         9.9% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 2.3%         99 9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99 % ± 80 %         99 % ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
  | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hear         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in
log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-resultation         1 hour         2 hours         9.9.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-reduction         1 hear         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Ino-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Ion-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | % and in log-resultions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log 2 = 0.71         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         2 9 ± 0.71   | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%         99% ± 2,3%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         2 9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product attribution 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | a up the measured inactivation count in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | a the measured inactivation encoder and in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | a up the measured inactivation count in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | a up the measured inactivation encloses of found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | a up the measured inactivation encloses of found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | a due measured inductives of a found in the table below.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | a so the measured inactivation encloses a found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  | a due measured inactivation efficiency or the table below:   
  | at union efficiency of the MACDOD, the had been   | ation officiency of the MACSOD, cit-  |  |   
   |  |  
   |  |  
   |   |  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   | at ation efficiency of the MAC300, the balance  
   
   
   
   
   
  | a she measured inactivation enciency found in the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product attribution 99% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductors 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99/9 ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-regulations 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in tog-resultants         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.7%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Ino-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 25%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bge 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Uppediate         Byth Stress         99% ± 2.5% <th>Degle attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  </th> <th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Degle at attributer         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.5%   <th< th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured
inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></th<></th></th></th></th> | Degle attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1 </th <th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1</th> <th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Degle at attributer         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.5%   <th< th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <=""
th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></th<></th></th></th> | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1   | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1 </th <th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1</th> <th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1<!--</th--><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ±
8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Degle at attributer         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.5%   <th< th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></th<></th></th> | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1         1   | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1 </th <th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th> <th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th> <th>Degle at attributer         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.5%   <th< th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %  
      89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></th<></th> | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Degle at attributer         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.5% <th< th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></th<> | Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         C (3%) <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product
attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc> | Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         C (3%) <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc> | Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         C (3%) <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71        
(base 10)</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th>Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours</th><th></th><th>a the measured inactivation count in the table below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:</th><th>a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br/>found in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br/>fourth in the table below:</th><th>a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:</th><th>a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:</th><th>a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:</th><th></th><th>Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%</th><th>Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4</th><th>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10</th><th>Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc></th></thc></thc></thc> | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± £.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71  
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 29 ± 0.71   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00   | Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 1. 1 1 hour 1. 2. 3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%  | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%  | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Based on the mana are calculated and are found in an 2 bours  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Based on the matters are calculated and are round in an 3 bours   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  | Based of the reductions are calculated and are to hours 3 hours  
   
   |   | a the measured inactivation count in the table below:   | a the measured inactivation enclosery found in the table below:   
   | a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC300, due to below:  | a the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reduction   | a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br>fourth in the table below:   | a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>found in the table below:   
  | a disc the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in   | a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in<br>found in the table below:   
  | a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br>fourth in the table below:  | a d up the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions at<br>fourth in the table below:   
   | a so the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACDUD, the table below:   
   
   
   
   
   | a due the measured inactivation efficiency of the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
  | a she measured inactivation ender a found in the table below:  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Based on the metastone are calculated and are found in a 3 hours   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 1 hour 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 80% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% ***   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 00   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 4   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         C (3%) <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" th=""></thc></thc></thc>   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  | | | | | |
  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |   
                  |   |
| Reduction, 50 2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo.         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs eduction<br>(bgs e10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ±
0.71   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vo.         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs eduction<br>(bgs e10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | Reduction, vo.         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs eduction<br>(bgs e10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Reduction, vs         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
  | Reduction, vs         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Reduction, vs         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vol         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo   
     0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vol         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bgs = 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
  | Reduction, 10 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  |   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  |   
                                    | Product attribution 1 1000 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.3%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.3%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 hour 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 novi 0.0% + 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |  |  |  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 1 1000 99% ± 2,3% 99.9 ± 0.3%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 1. 1 316 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  |  
  |   |   |  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJub, the below:<br>second is log_reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>3 hours   
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJub, the below:<br>second is log_reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>3 hours  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the below:<br>and is lon-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJub, the below:<br>second is log_reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>3 hours  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the below:<br>and is lon-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJub, the below:<br>second is log_reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>3 hours   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJub, the below:<br>second is log_reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br>3 hours  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACSUD, the below:<br>and is lon-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>3 hours</b>  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   | 1 hour 0.0% + 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vs         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 = 0.11   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   | Log-reduction 0.97 2 0.24<br>(base 10)  
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   
  | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)   
  | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.27<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.27<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br>(base 10)   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 04<br>(base 10)  
  | Log-reduction 0.97 = 04<br>(base 10)   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 04<br>(base 10)   | Log-reduction (0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction
0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction (0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14  
   | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 = 0.11  |   |   | 4.02 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |  |  
                                       |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |   |  |  |  |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions and 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions at hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions at hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions and 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions and 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   |   
   
  |  
   
   | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions == 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   | % and in log-reductions == 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  | Based on the measured inactivition efficiency of the MACD0. Unit the table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Broduct attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>add 2, 2,3% 99,9 ± 0.5%  
  | Based on the measured inactivition efficiency of the MACD0. Unit the table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Broduct attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>add 2, 2,3% 99,9 ± 0.5%  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJOU that table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in that table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>product attribution 1 hour og% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
  | Based on the measured inactivition efficiency of the MACD0. Unit the table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Broduct attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>add 2, 2,3% 99,9 ± 0.5%  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJOU that table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in that table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>product attribution 1 hour og% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
  | Based on the measured inactivition efficiency of the MACD0. Unit the table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Broduct attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>add 2, 2,3% 99,9 ± 0.5%   | Based on the measured inactivition efficiency of the MACD0. Unit the table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:<br><b>Broduct attribution</b> 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>add 2, 2,3% 99,9 ± 0.5%  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACJOU that table below:<br>% and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in that table below:<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 3 hours<br>product attribution 1 hour og% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions at a hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions and 1 hour 2 hours 99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, ~ 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 4.02 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 4.02 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 02 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0./1   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 4.02 ± 0.47 2.9 2 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 = 0.11  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24  | Log-reduction 0.97 = 04<br>(base 10)  |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  |   |   | |
  |  |  |  |   |   |   
   |   |   |   |   
   |   |   |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |   |  |   |
| Log-reduction 0.37 11   | (base 10)   | (base 10)  | (base 10)   
   | (base 10)   
   | (base 10) (base   | (base 10)   
   
  | (base 10)  | (base 10)   
   | (base 10)  | (base 10)  
   
  | (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | (base 10) (base  | (base 10) (base   
  | (base 10) (base  
   | (base 10) (base  | (base 10)  | (base 10)   
   | (base 10)   | (base 10)  | 0.0010   |   
  | Log-reduction 0.37   | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 = 0.11   | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47   |   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1 02 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, % 89.0 2.0 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.577   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.577  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.577   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 89.0 2.0 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, % 89.0 2.0 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 89.0 2.0 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 89.0 2.0 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 40                                  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 40   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 40   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in tog-registration         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in tog-registration         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2,3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2,3%         29 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | %6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | %6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 40   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
  | %         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71   
  | %         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, the Certain Section 100, the Certain  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source <td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%</td> <td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source<td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%</td><td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%</td><td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source<th>%         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71</th><th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>%6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, % 0910 211 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.07</td><td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td></td><td></td><td>(base 10) (base 10) (base</td></td></td> | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source <td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%</td> <td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%</td> <td>Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source<th>%         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71</th><th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>%6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, % 0910 211 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.07</td><td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td></td><td></td><td>(base 10) (base 10) (base</td></td> | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Wr. Pccess           % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MACS00, Nr. Performance         Source         Source <th>%         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71</th> <th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th> <th>%6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</th> <td>%6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Reduction, % 0910 211 2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.07</td> <td>0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(base 10) (base 10) (base</td>  
   
   
   
   
   | %         and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99.9 ± 0.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | %6 and in log-regulation         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | %6 and in log-regulations         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5% 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 89.0 111 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 0910 211 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.07   
   
   
   
   
   
   | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
  |  | (base 10) (base   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  | | | | | |
  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| (base 10)   |   |  | the IV-susceptibility for doctoring with  
   | walkert the UV-susceptibility for baccoria virus.   
   | should and walkert the UV-susceptibility for the vaccinia virus.  | the ITV-susceptibility for doctoring winting  
   
  |  |   
   |   
  |  
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | the ITV-susceptibility for baccoup   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | a dwallart the UV-susceptibility for decompany wirth   
   | and walkert the UV-susceptibility for usersinia virus.  
  | at wolkert the UV-susceptibility for backworking wirth   
   | ad unalizer the UV-susceptibility for backward with  | the ITV-susceptibility for block-rep   |                 
   |   |  | (base xo)  | (base 10)   
  |  |   | Log-reduction 0.37  | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.24   
  | 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.97  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1.93 ± 0.47  | Reduction, % 39.10 2.9 ± 0.71   
                                    | Reduction, % 3976 1 211 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product activity         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.11           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product activity         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.11           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product activity         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.11           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 39.10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 3976 1 211 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 50 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 50 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 50 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, 10 2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, % 3976 1 211 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 3976 1 211 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 39.10 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 3976 1 211 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3%   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 96 and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reductions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resultance         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resultance         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resultions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resultions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         10           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%  
   
   | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.5%   
   
  | Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
  | Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   | Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%   | Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71   | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>%, and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71  | Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the NAC200, lot become<br>with and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table become<br>Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours         3 hours           Reduction, %b         89% # 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9.9 ± 0.5%         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%  
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resolutions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resolutions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resultions         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution 89% ± 8% 99% ± 2.3% 10 ± 2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 057/0 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 03.0 - 2.1 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | 1.93 ± 0.47  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.24   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.44   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.44  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.24   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction  
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.37   
   
   
   
   
   
   | (hase 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | (base 10)  | tion to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virus.  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Decemption virus.  | to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bucketup   | to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.  | to kowalski* and Walker to a stee enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.  
   |   
   |   | to kowalski* and Walker to a stee enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.  
   
  | the Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-sdeceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.   | inter and walkert the UV-susceptibility is an vaccinia virus.   
   | statis and walkert the UV-susceptibility for precipia virus.  
  | inter and walkert the UV-susceptibility is an vaccinia virus.  
  | watchie and Walkert the UV-susception of virus varcinia virus.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | to knowalski* and Walker: the or the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | to Kowalski* and Walker  
   |   
  | serveloped virus, vaccinia virus,  
   | to Kowalski* and Walker to the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.  | to knowalski* and Walker: the or the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.  | to traveleti*
and Walkert the uv-sdsceptional virus, vaccinia virus.  | and walkert the UV-susceptibility to be unerinia virus.   | the IV-susceptibility for bactering with   |  |  
   | (base 10)  | (base 10)   | (base 10)   | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 0.11<br>(base 10)  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgs=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
                                       | Reduction, %         B3 # 2 + 1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Description         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Log-reduction         0,97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Description         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Log-reduction         0,97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Description         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.1.1           Log-reduction         0,97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgs=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         B3 # 2 + 1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 70         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, 70         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, 70         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, %         B3 # 2 + 1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         B3 # 2 + 1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgs=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         B3 # 2 + 1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Øg. and in lög-rejectude         1 hour         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         2 hours           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digse 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Solution         1 hour         2 hours         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         9 mix 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Solution         1 hour         2 hours         2 hours           Product stribution         1 hour         9 mix 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | og         and         in operation         in  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 yet 2.5%           Preduct at Minutation         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 yet 2.5%           Preduct at Minutation         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)  
      0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)  
   
   
  | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)   
   
   | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)  
   
  | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)   
   
   | Product attruction         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         1           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)  
   
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 not state           Product aftriculuion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hear         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hear         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | % and in log-reduction         1 hear         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product aftriculution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ±
0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716   
   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716  
   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greatering are classified and a solution of the measurement<br>product attribution in later a solution of the measurement<br>Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.736           Restarction, 90 (97 ± 0.24), 1.93 ± 0.477         2.9 ± 0.716   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALLON, sales before,<br>insertial in-greaterizes are calculated and<br>product attributions are calculated and<br>Realization, 90 Syst ± 20<br>Realization, 90 Syst ± 20<br>(1995 ± 0.01)         3 hours<br>90% ± 2.3%         9.0 ± 0.5%           Lip-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lip-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 not state           Product after<br>Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 not state           Product after<br>Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-reduction         1 hour         2 hours         9 not state           Product after<br>Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | % and in log-resolution         1 hour         2 hours         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Product at Thrubinion         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         9 g.g. ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Dase 100         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1           Reduction, %         89% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%         1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         By N = 1.1         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         By N = 1.4         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(bgst=10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 0.1<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction ().97 ± 0.14<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
  | (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | (base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
   |   
  |   |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   |   | | |
   |  |  |  |   |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
| According to Roman the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>the enveloped<br>the enveloped<br>to degrade the<br>susceptibility for the  | According to know the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped to degrade the  |  |   | According to Roman and an expectibility for the enveloped a darios to degrade the   
   
   | According to Roman and a suscentibility for the enveloped a day ice to degrade the  |   
   
  |  |   
   |  |  
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   |   
  |  |   
  |  |   
   |   | the Kowalski* and Walker to a support virus, vaccinia virus.   | tion to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.   | to unallight the UV-susceptibility for bacterioping virtual  | (base 10) (base  | (base 10) (base   
   | (base 10)   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 control (base 10) control to transfer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage  
   | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | Reduction, vo         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>Operative         0.99% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  </td><td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>% and in log-resultion 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product attributes<br/>Reduction, % By% ± 8% 9 9% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>op         and         Insure         2 hours           Product attribution         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>mg and in log-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>mg         and         Inspector         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the
measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></td></td></td>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <td>Operative         0.99% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  </td> <td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>% and in log-resultion 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product attributes<br/>Reduction, % By% ± 8% 9 9% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>op         and         Insure         2 hours           Product attribution         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>mg and in log-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>mg         and         Inspector         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before        
BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></td></td>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Operative         0.99% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <td>% and in log-resultion 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product attributes<br/>Reduction, % By% ± 8% 9 9% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>op         and         Insure         2 hours           Product attribution         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>mg and in log-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>mg         and         Inspector         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%  <td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%     
     Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></td>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | % and in log-resultion 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product attributes<br>Reduction, % By% ± 8% 9 9% ± 2.3% 99.9 ± 0.5%<br>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(byse 10) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | sp. and in log-features         1 hour         2 hours           Product attribution         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Registration, %         99% # 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction, %         99% # 2.4%         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.99 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | op         and         Insure         2 hours           Product attribution         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         89% 8.8%         99% 8.2.3%         99.9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | mg and in log-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | mg         and         Inspector         2 hours           Preduct attribution         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.5%)           Reduction, %         89(% ± 2%)         99(% ± 2.3%)         99.9 ± 0.7%)           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Operative         BigHis ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td>   
   | Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<> | Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71 
         (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<></td></th<> | Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <th< td=""><td>Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3%   <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association
        1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<></td></th<> | Degle attribution         0.95% ± 8%         99% ± 2.3% <th< td=""><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><th>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</th><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of</td><td>Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B</td><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><th>We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</th><td>Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc></td></th<> | We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   | We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   | We and in Og-resource         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of  | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of   | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of  
  | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of   | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of   | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of  | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-DOL beam         Based on the measured instructions of the table beam           % and in log-relations         the construction of the second of   | Based on the measured instruction officiency of the MAC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BMC-300, before         BNorr         Bnorr         Product attributes are stored in the table before         Bnorr         B   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and in Gy-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 2%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Marcin Inol-resolution         1 hour         2 hours           Preduct attribution         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99% ± 23, 36, 99,99 ± 0.5%         99,9 ± 0.7%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Degradie         Applie         B (9%)         B (9%)         C (3%)         C (3%) <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" th=""> <thc (3%)<="" <="" td=""><td>Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>(base 10)<br/>(base 10)</td><td>to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils</td><td>the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.</td><td>According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the</td></thc></thc></thc>  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         association         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         0550 - 0.1         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.44<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24<br>(base 10)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | (base 10)<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | (base 10)<br>(base 10)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | to utsiliert the UV-susceptibility for bactering virils  
   
   
   
   
   
  | the vowalski* and walkert the UV-susceptioned virus, vaccinia virus.   | According to kon the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the  |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  | | | | |
  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Hence, the indicated contract least similar to the efficacy again to officacy   |   | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptionicy to MAC500 UV-C device to degrade the  | MS2 is lower than the UV-susception to tested MAC500 UV-C device to degrade the   
   | MS2 is lower than the overall the tested MAC500 UV-C device to degrad   
   | MS2 is lower than the UV-subcopie to total MAC500 UV-C device to doglade the  | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptionicy to the C500 UV-C device to degrade the   
   
  | According to Konn the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped the  | According to Kowalsky and Susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped v  
   | According to Kowalaski' and Wolker<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped
virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with<br>the enveloped virus vacuus with the enveloped virus, vacuus with the enveloped virus vacuus vacuus virus vacuus va                                    | According to Kowalsky and Susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>MC2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>MC2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus and<br>MC2 is lower the  
   | According to Kowelski use and the susceptibility for the enveloped virus, volume the MC2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped Virus, volume to degrade the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | According to than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptionity to MAC500 UV-C device to degrade the   
  | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptionity to MAC500 UV-C device to degrade the  
   | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the factor UV-C device to degrade the  | MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for und envice to degrade the  
   | According of than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped device to degrade the  | According to know the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped vices, to degrade the  
  | According to Kowalski' and workshilly for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vacuum whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether<br>Mc2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus whether the enveloped virus whether the enveloped virus whether the enveloped vi   | According to Kowalski* and Walker in the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>Mcc is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus, vaccinia virus, vaccinia virus   | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptions to drive the<br>weak of the susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>weak of McSoo UV-C device to degrade the  | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bocketoped virus, vaccinia virus.   | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* up excentibility for the enveloped wirds, vaccinia
virus.  | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* up excentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.   | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* to suscentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.   | Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.1-4<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert  
   | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.14<br>[base 10]<br>According to Kowalaki* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalaki* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalaki* and Walkert  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 to the format of the second   | Reduction, %         a 9 × 2 · · ·         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalsk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According virus, vaccing vi  | Restuction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert         neweight billy for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         99%         # 5%         99% # ± ± ± ± ±           Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Light-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         99%         # 5%         99% # ± ± ± ± ±           Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Light-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         99%         # 5%         99% # ± ± ± ± ±           Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Light-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           According to Kowellable and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         a 9 × 2 · · ·         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalsk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According virus, vaccing vi  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Restuction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert         neweight billy for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  
   | Reduction, **         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71  | Restuction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert         neweight billy for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Restuction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert         neweight billy for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         a 9 × 2 · · ·         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalsk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According virus, vaccing vi   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Restuction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walkert         neweight billy for betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attributer         895%         ± 5%         99%         ± 2         •         <  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attributer         895%         ± 5%         99%         ± 2         •         <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         99% ± 8%         99% ± 23%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base ±0)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowlakić and Valkert the UV-susceptibility for bacterity/hage         According to Kowlakić and Valkert the UV-susceptibility for bacterity/hage   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attributer         895%         ± 5%         99%         ± 2         •         <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $φ_{1}$ and in (9) retrotted at 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product at 90 ± 0.5%<br>Radiotion, % 80 ± 0.8% ± 0.9% ± 2.3%<br>Log retotted 0, 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.33 ± 0.47 ± 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(base 10)<br>Recording to Konstals <sup>2</sup> and Rustern the UV-succeptibility for backetrophage<br>Recording to Konstals <sup>2</sup> and an inversibility for the enveloped bility for the enveloped the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $v_{0}$ and in top-texture 1 hear 2 hears<br>Product a structure 1 hear 3 hears<br>Restorm, $v_{0}$ = 99/s ± 2.3 is 99/s ± 2.3 is 99/s ± 0.5 is<br>Log-reduction
0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best ± 0)<br>Constant 4 hears 1   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $v_{0}$ and in top-texture 1 hear 2 hears<br>Product a structure 1 hear 3 hears<br>Restorm, $v_{0}$ = 99/s ± 2.3 is 99/s ± 2.3 is 99/s ± 0.5 is<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best ± 0)<br>Constant 4 hears 1   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\sigma_{0}$ and in togettextual 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product a function $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ (build $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ (build $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ (build $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$<br>Reaction $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ (build $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{-1}$ (build   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $9_{11}$ and in $9_{12}^{-12}$ curve $1$ hear $2$ hears<br>$P_{12}$ dut at Without $9_{12}^{-12}$ ( $9_{12}^{-12}$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $9_{11}$ and in $9_{12}^{-12}$ curve $1$ hear $2$ hears<br>$P_{12}$ dut at Without $9_{12}^{-12}$ ( $9_{12}^{-12}$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $w_{0}$ and indy-tension         1 hour         2 hours $y_{0}$ at $z_{0}$ $y_{0}$ $z_{0}$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $w_{0}$ and indy-tension         1 hour         2 hours $y_{0}$ at $z_{0}$ $y_{0}$ $z_{0}$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attributor         89%         ± 5%         99% ± ± ± 3%         - ∞         = ∞         0         10         10 <td>Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m        </td> <td>Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m        </td> <td>Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m        </td> <td>Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m        </td> <td><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage</td> <td><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage</td> <th><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage</th> <th><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage</th> <th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br/>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the
state before:<br/>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of</th> <th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br/>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br/>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of</th> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br/>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br/>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of</td> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br/>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br/>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of</td> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br/>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br/>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br/>to an efficiency of the state of</td> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br/>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br/>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br/>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas</td> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br/>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br/>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br/>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas</td> <td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br/>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br/>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br/>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas</td> <th><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the</th> <th><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the</th> <th><math>w_{0}</math> and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 <math>\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%</math>           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the</th> <td><math>w_{0}</math> and indy-tension         1 hour         2 hours         <math>y_{0}</math> at <math>z_{0}</math> <math>y_{0}</math> <math>z_{0}</math> <math>z_{0}</math></td> <td>Product attributes         89%         ± 5%         99% ± £.2.%        </td> <td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0,97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 1.0)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Valler't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         0.97 ± 0.24</td> <td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (taste 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage</td> <td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Log           (base 10)         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped on the derinde the derinde the</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.27<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.14<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.14<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Welkert</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.1-4<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert</td> <td>Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walker† the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* to suscentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.</td> <td>Log-reduction<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walker† the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* to suscentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.</td> <td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backetoped virus, vaccinia virus.</td> <td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susception of virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.</td> <td></td> | Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m   
   
  | Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m  
   
   | Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m   
   
  | Product atmoscol         99% ± 50         99% ± 2.2 m  
   
   
                   | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage  | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage  | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage  | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Charles         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to toomsidu's advancement but UV-successfullist for bacteriophage         Non-successful to the envirophage   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br>and
the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 state before<br>the and in tege-receives are decided and are found in the state before:<br>and the state state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state before the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of the state of the state of the state before<br>to an efficiency of the state of   
  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the ML2.06 table borry<br>to an only encourse set excludes and are board in the state base borry.<br>Based in tige-receives set excludes and are board in the state base board<br>and a state state based in the state of the state base based in the state base based in the state based bas   
   
   
   
   
   | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47
        2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $w_{0}$ and not relatively         1 hour         2 hours         9 $\beta_{0} \pm 0.5\%$ Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         99.9 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.9.3 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the townlash* and water: The UV-successfullity for bacteriophage         According to townlash* and wateretting for the enviroped to the enviroped the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | $w_{0}$ and indy-tension         1 hour         2 hours $y_{0}$ at $z_{0}$ $y_{0}$ $z_{0}$   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attributes         89%         ± 5%         99% ± £.2.%   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0,97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 1.0)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Valler't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         0.97 ± 0.24  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (taste 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Log           (base 10)         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowaksk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped on the derinde the derinde the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.27<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.14<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.14<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Welkert  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 - 0.1-4<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker† the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* to suscentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker† the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* to suscentibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backetoped virus, vaccinia virus.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susception of virus, vaccinia virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.   |   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  | | | | | | |
   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   
   |   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |   
            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |   |  |   |
|   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped  
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | MS2 is lower
than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped runs, vaccinia virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>encert, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficiary against enveloped   
  | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker1 the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested mACS00 the efficacy against enveloped   | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker1 the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>Haree, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tested of the tested t  | Log-relations<br>(daste 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>where the Intervention of the Ested MCS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the Intervention of the Inter  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped   | Log-reduction 0.37 * 0.2*<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowlakik* and Walkert the
UV-susceptibility for bacterlophage<br>According to Kowlakik* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacterlophage<br>is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus,<br>Max is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus,<br>there, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tener, the indicated efficacy of unimate to the effects organist enveloped<br>effects of the enveloped virus of the tested MACS00 VV-C device to degrade the<br>tener, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 VV-C device to degrade the<br>second second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 to<br>(base 10) Value 1 to University of the Constraint of University of the Constraint of University of   | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>    
    (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47        
2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00
UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the
Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10)
0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ±
0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state
basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   
   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the
Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t<
td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for
backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the
tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for
the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility
for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  
  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ±
0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93
<math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%           
Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C
device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions,
w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9        
0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        
According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  
  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ±
0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded
the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of
the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24 
       1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to
Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki'
and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71        
2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for
backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  
  | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ±
0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone
of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the
UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24  
      1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math>
0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to
the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the
UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  
  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math>
0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based
on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the
indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47        
2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion        
0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to
Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   
   | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr</td> <td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td> <td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47</td> <td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td> <td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td> <td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td> (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71       
 2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product
articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the
efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr         (bsr  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave and wave the U-subceptibility for the calculated bard wave subcertain wave.         1.93 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47           X02.3 ± 0.61         1.95 ± 0.47         0.94 ± 0.47         1.94 ± 0.47  | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t   | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped      
  3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested
MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w
0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According
to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.%
± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47 
       2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   
  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47        
2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the
UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47
2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base
10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability
for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math
display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to
Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and
Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93
<math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71          
According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower
than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr        
(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According
to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71     
     Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the
UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to
device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung
weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math>
0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the
UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the
indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math>
0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base
basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to
device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71   
     2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of
the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td>   
   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71       
 2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state
basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td>   
  | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According
to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to
Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and
Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td>   
  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71        
2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ±
0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td>   
   | (bsr< <td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47
2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9
± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37        
Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td>   | bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and
Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93
<math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the
UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<>   | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47   
   
   | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47  
   
  | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$
0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  
   
   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24
1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  
   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t  
   | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71 
       2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set
calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device
obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71   
     2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of
the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  
   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ±
0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47        
2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   
  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ±
2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the
tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9        
0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base
basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the
enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9        
0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction  
      0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   
   | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the
enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability
for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%           
Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00
UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td>   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9 
       0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state
basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td>  
  | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24      
  1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)       
</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td>  | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability
for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the
therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td>  | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9        
0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured
inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td>  
  | (bsr< <td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9     
   2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of
the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td>   
   | bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus,</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the
tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C
device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<>   | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9   
  | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9   | Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescent a virus, | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9   | Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47 </td <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td> <td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47      
  2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy
of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td> | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct  
   
   
   
   
   | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct | $\sigma_{0}$ and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second | $\alpha_0$ <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage    
    According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<> | $\alpha_0$ <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage  
        More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><th>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</th><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><td>Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br/><math>\eta_{0}</math> and an increasing set of the state base basers<br/><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br/><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br/>(b) and the set of the</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstativit<sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br/>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table table</td><td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)        </td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>Log-relations<br/>(taste 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for
bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br/>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device to device</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<>  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage | Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation | Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation | Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation  | Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   
   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | Based on the measured inscription efficiency of the MALDa, base basers<br>$\eta_{0}$ and an increasing set of the state base basers<br><b>Product articletions</b> set calculated and a found in the base basers<br><b>Readering</b> in the set of the state basers of the state basers<br>(b) and the set of the   | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $
\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Production         Sy% ± 5%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         According to knowliski* and weltert MACSOUV-C device to degrade the therefuelded the context to the direct and the therefuelded the context to the direct and the tot the device obligation of the device obligation | Reaction, % constant, 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(base10) 0.97 ± 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstativit <sup>®</sup> and Waiteri the UV-sizedpolitativity for backetrolytage<br>factors of the the UV-sizedpolitativity for the factors of the sized or politativity of the factors of the politative politativity of the factors of the factors of the the factor politative table to the effective politative table | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowlish*         and waitert the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed in the technologian developed in the UV-susceptibility for the technologian developed integration of the technologian developed integration developed integratintegratintegrategration developed integrategrategrategrategrategr | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped   | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped                                       | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped | Log-relations<br>(taste 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device | Log-relations<br>(taste 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped writes, vaccinita virus.<br>Home, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Hence the indicated the indicated the indicated Hence to device the indicated Hence to device           | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped   
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped  | Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy  |   |
| bacteriophage MS2 will be analist vaccinia virus allows for a claim SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped   
  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 0000 gainst enveloped  
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, tested macS00 UV-C be   
   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped  
  | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   
   | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, tested macS00 UV-C be | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested
macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, tested macS00 UV-C be  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, tested macS00 UV-C be  | MS2 is lower than the<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of tested macS00 UV-C between<br>Hence, tested macS00 UV-C be | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped   | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Buckeria virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped runs, vaccinia virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>encert, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficiary against enveloped  | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker1 the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested mACS00 the efficacy against enveloped   
   | (base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walker1 the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus,<br>Haree, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tested of the tested t  | Log-relations<br>(daste 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walker' the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>where the Intervention of the Ested MCS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the Intervention of the Inter  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped   | Log-reduction 0.37 * 0.2*<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowlakik* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacterlophage<br>According to Kowlakik* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacterlophage<br>is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus,<br>Max is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus,<br>there, the included efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tener, the included efficacy of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and the tested set of the tested MACS00 traveled<br>tested and tested tested tested tested and tested and tested and tested and tested<br>tested and tested tested tested tested tested tested and tested tested tested and tested tested tested tested testested<br>tested and tested  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 to<br>(base 10) Value of the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowakisk* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus.<br>How the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus.   | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math>
0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the
UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested
MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki'
and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and
Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage       
</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to
Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup>
and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24     
   1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than
the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for
the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According
to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction
0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9
<math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t<
td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the
enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, %
constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base
10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the
preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According
to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr  
      (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a
set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy
of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr        
(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki'
and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and
Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ±
0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped
wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td> 
       (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24     
   1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for
bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction       
 0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion        
0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base
10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77      
  2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71    
      Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97
× 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9      
  0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71    
      Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97
× 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction        
0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion        
0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base
10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td> | (bsr< <td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47        
2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47          
Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the
UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td> | bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr  
      (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup>
and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47          
Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the
UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<> | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Supre-state           Ling
restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling restriction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to the total wave of total wave of the total wave of t   | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10)
0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93
<math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in
the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for
bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting
roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction
efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated
efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone
of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9
<math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71      
  2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage        
the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the
Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr        
(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction        
0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and
Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71   
     2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>,
97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr        
(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki'
and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24
1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the
theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction
0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the
micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to
Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki'
and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both
the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00
UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't
the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert
the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9 
       0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage          
Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of
the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the
UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here,
the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71        
2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region
attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and
Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l
lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction
0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93
<math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9        
0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the
basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested
MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math>
0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector
Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%     
     Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and
Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td></td> | (bsr< <td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped        
3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for
the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the
Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the
Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter
MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to
Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<></td> | bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the
UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reactions, w 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.57 <math>\pm</math> 0.24 1.93 <math>\pm</math> 0.47 2.9 <math>\pm</math> 0.71<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br/>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br/>According t</td><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr&lt;</td>         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for
bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math
display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<> | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and
Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t   
   
  | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47   
   
   | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47  
   
  | Residention, in         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Upser duction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Open starting         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliski* and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the betteriophage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Month and Wasker1 the UV-susceptibility for the comparison of the starting roung weak weak the starting of the micro roung wind enveloped         3.9 ± 0.47         3.9 ± 0.47   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector
Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the   | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According
to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the
Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reactions, w 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.57 $\pm$ 0.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for beckeriophage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the Sector Mage<br>According to Koneldki' and Weiker't the UV-susceptibility for the Cone of the  | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability
for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforbage<br>According t | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S | Residention, %         csr-***         2.9         0.77         2.9         0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 2.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         (bsr         (bsr<  
   
   
   
   
   | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for
backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C
device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47     
   2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to
degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9    
    0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the
basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24   
     1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the
UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24       
 1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math
display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the
Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the
tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the
enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control
to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to
kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9
        0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in
close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated
efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for
backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the
indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9 
       0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer
log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr         (bsr         (bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and
Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional
attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the
tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td></td> | (bsr         (bsr         (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct
at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base
10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td></td> | (bsr< <td>(bsr&lt;<td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71       
 2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region
attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td></td> | (bsr< <td>bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to
Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production
        89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the
tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<></td> | bsr <t< td=""><td>Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br/>According to Konetikki<sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t</td><td>Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9</td><td>Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24     
   1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47<!--</td--><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td><td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200
UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></t<> | Reaction, % constant, 1.03±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>(best 10) 0.97±2.41.93±0.47 2.9±0.71<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the Scherforshape<br>According to Konetikki <sup>*</sup> and Waitert the Ur-suscipability for the S | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71
        2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9   | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9   | Production         89% ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         C = 2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowalski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage           More than the UV-susceptibility for the reveloped virus, vaccinal virus, rescription to the direct optimility to the first optility toptility to the first optimility to the first optimility t | Production         Sy% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         0.71         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9         2.9  
   
   
   
   
  | Synthetic         Theor         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Product attribution         1.1 hour         2 hours         99.9 ± 0.5%           Reduction, %         99.% ± 0.2%         99.9 ± 0.5%         99.6 ± 0.5%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Control to the conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47           Conversion         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47 </td <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second second</td> <td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10)
<b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the
tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<></td> | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the theorem is a set of the preduct at the preduct     | $\sigma_{0}$ and in log-feature in the user is a lower in the second   
   
   
   | $\alpha_0$ <t< td=""><td><math>\alpha_0</math> <math>\alpha_0</math> <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage  
      Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy
of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<></td></t<> | $\alpha_0$ <t< td=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage        </td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td>Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate</td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><th>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</th><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Regional attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 1</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>,
100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><td>Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br/>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br/><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b>0% ± 2.7%<br/><b>Region attribution 0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.7%<br/>(based 10) <b>0</b>, 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b>, 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b>, 94 ± 0.71<br/>(based 10) <b>1</b>, 100 <b>1</b>, 100</td><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><th><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></th><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td><td>Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-</td><td>Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage</td><td>Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user</td><td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski<sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br/>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br/>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>enveloped</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>Log-relation<br/>(base LiO)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg</td><td>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br/>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped</td><td>MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br/>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped</td><td>Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy</td></t<> | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
  | Production         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%            Repartition, %         89% ± 2%         99% ± 2.3%          2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71            According to knowliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage   | Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-Non-  | Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate | Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate | Production         89% ± 5%         99% ± 2.3%            Reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Accompting to knowlaski* and Waitert the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage         Non-Network and Waiter the UV-susceptibility for backeriophage           Kore, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate efficience of the Learter MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the tenere, the Indicate of the Terestrepole tenere of the Indicate of the Indingeo of the Indicate of the Indicate of the Indicate | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Regional attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 <b>1</b> , 1 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Regional attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 <b>1</b> , 1 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Regional attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 <b>1</b> , 1 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Regional attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 <b>1</b> , 1 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Region attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in
close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Region attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Region attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200, both the basis before:<br>% and in close to the second and a found in the basis before:<br><b>Should attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9</b> 0% ± 2.7%<br><b>Region attribution 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.7%<br>(based 10) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 <b>1</b> , 93 ± 0.47 <b>2</b> , 94 ± 0.71<br>(based 10) <b>1</b> , 100 | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   | Production         Sy% ± 2%         9% ± 2.3%            Reakcition, %         Sy% ± 2%         93% ± 2.3%            Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowliski* and weltert the UV-susceptibility for backer log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-log-  | Reduction, %         user-1-u         clip reduction           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (base100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           According to knowledvil+ and Wastert the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage           Model and the UV-susceptibility for theckstrophage         the susceptibility for theckstrophage  | Reduction, %         user = 1.1         user | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Incomposition           (base 10)         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage         According to Kowidski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the exceeded virus, vaccina virus.           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed enviced         According to the subscriptibility for MS200 UV-C device to degrade the Henrot, the Inclusted efficar of the insulars to the effective grades registed | Log-reduction 0.97 = 0.54<br>(base 10)<br>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wriss,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.5-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins,<br>where, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped     | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.0-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped wins, vaccina vins,<br>there, the inclusted efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>enveloped  
  | Log-relation<br>(base LiO)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg | Log-relation<br>(base LiO)<br>According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for batteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus.<br>Hare, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>Here, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to deg | According to Kowalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Backeria virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped truns, vaccinia virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C device to degrade the<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested to the efficicar galance enveloped | MS2 is lower than the efficacy of the tested MACS00 0V-C control of the tested MACS00 against enveloped<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped | Hence, the indicated chinese lengt similar to the efficacy against the efficacy |
| bacteriophage High and a gainst vaccinia virus allows to a Gain SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows to a Gain SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against
enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against
vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imilar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested initial to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | According to Kowikski's and Walkert the UM-susceptibility for the unkersis virus.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped<br>tener, the india fail efficacy of the tested MACS00 UV-C drace to degrade the<br>teners, the india fail of teners of the tested MACS00 UV-C drace to degrade the<br>teners, the india fail with the elevation and teners of the teners of the<br>teners of the teners of the tested MACS00 UV-C drace to degrade the<br>teners, the india fail of teners of the teners of the teners of the teners<br>teners of the teners of teners of teners of teners of teners of teners<br>watching virus. Here, teners of teners of teners of teners of teners<br>watching virus. Here, teners of teners of teners of teners of teners of teners<br>watching virus. Here, teners of teners<br>watching virus. Here, teners of  | (base 10)<br>According to Kowsiski' and Waster't the UP-susceptibility for betterioriphage<br>Mo2 is hower than the UP-susceptibility for MRCS00 UV-C device to degrade
the<br>there, the indicated efficient of the sufficiency of the sufficience of the<br>basteriophage MS2 and Bayment Veccina virus allows for a scalar developed<br>veccina virus. Cale of virus 40-exerct virus of the efficiency paint events<br>and the virus of the VecCov State Cov State Cov 1 and StateS-CoV-2)  | (base 10)<br>According to Konstaks <sup>4</sup> and Waster't the UP-susceptibility for besterior/page<br>Mo2 in lower than the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped true, success alread-<br>tenset, the indicated efficient of the sufficient of the enveloped true<br>basteriorphage MO2 and Bayment vectoria from Barton Constant<br>Success and an enveloped vector (e.g. MARS-Conf. SARS-Conf-2)<br>SARS-Conf. 2014   | Log-election<br>(see 8). The constant's and Wattern the UV-susceptibility for backsrophage<br>According to the second second second second second second second second<br>(second second second second second second second second second second<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for a damit for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsrophage M22 will be at least costs virus allows for addised<br>backsroph   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Waiter: the V-susceptibility for backeriophage<br>texts: the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers, the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers, the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate testers<br>testers are indicated with the explanate to the efficacy explanate testers are indicated to tester<br>with the tester of the tester of the tester of the tester of testers are indicated to testers and<br>testers are indicated to tester of testers and the tester of testers are indicated to tester<br>testers are indicated to testers are indicated to tester of testers are indicated to tester<br>testers are indicated to testers are indicated to tester of testers are indicated to tester are indicated to testers are indicated to te  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowakski
<sup>+</sup> and Waiterr the V-susceptibility for backeriophage<br>Resch, the indicate diffcacy of the tasted MACSO UF-C equate the<br>tasters, the indicate diffcacy of the tasted MACSO UF-C equand enveloped<br>backeriophage C2 will be a test at atmin to the diffcacy equand enveloped<br>backeriophage C2 will be a test atmin to the diffcacy equand enveloped<br>backeriophage C2 will be a test atmin to the diffcacy equand enveloped<br>backeriophage C2 will be a test atmin to the diffcacy equand enveloped<br>backeriophage Visues (C4, MARS-C40, SARS-C40-1 and SARS-C40-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2-44           According to knowlaski* and winker: the UV-susceptibility for basteriophage<br>Konstruction of the start of the service of the start of the service of the<br>ments, the indicated that is start attracted MACSOU IV-C device to degraped<br>basteriophage KSD: and the start of the start of the service of the start of the<br>suscina viras. Encorp against vectoria virus alloss for a clarity of the service<br>vectoria virus. Encorp against vectoria virus alloss for a clarity of the service<br>of the service of the service of the service vector vector vectorial<br>vectoria virus. Encorp against vectoria virus alloss for a clarity of the service<br>vectoria virus.  | Reaction, % or 2.4 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.2 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.94 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 0.75 \pm0.7 | Reaction, % or 24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 ± 2.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 ± 2.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for beckerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to the Schedulerol Accord and the Schedulerol Accord and the schedulerolyadje<br>bacterolyadje MC2 will be a start vaccular virus allows for a schedulerol and Sched-Colv-2)<br>vaccina virus. Experiment view for the Schedulerol and Sched-Colv-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 89% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Marconing, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to constatute and water the UV-susceptibility for backardynays<br>RC21 b, the indicated efficiency of the susceptibility for the susceptibility and water<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility for the susceptibility and the susceptibility<br>water and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, % 89% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Marconing, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to constatute and water the UV-susceptibility for backardynays<br>RC21 b,
the indicated efficiency of the susceptibility for the susceptibility and water<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility for the susceptibility and the susceptibility<br>water and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 89% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% # 8% 3940 E.T.T.<br>Ligorestantian, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Marconing, % 95% 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to constatute and water the UV-susceptibility for backardynays<br>RC21 b, the indicated efficiency of the susceptibility for the susceptibility and water<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility for the susceptibility and the susceptibility<br>water and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of<br>backardoniane. Water and the susceptibility of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % or 2.4 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.2 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.94 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 0.75 \pm0.7   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % or 24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 ± 2.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 ± 2.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for beckerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to konstakts <sup>4</sup> and waiter the Ur-subscriptulary for the schedulerolyadje<br>According to the Schedulerol Accord and the Schedulerol Accord and the schedulerolyadje<br>bacterolyadje MC2 will be a start vaccular virus allows for a schedulerol and Sched-Colv-2)<br>vaccina virus. Experiment view for the Schedulerol and Sched-Colv-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Residention, w         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komelaki* and Waiker! the UP-acceptibility for the Section/page<br>Mode to lower than the UP-acceptibility for MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the<br>theres, the indicated efficiency of the amountainer to the efficiency spatial enveloped<br>basteriophage M22 will bayment vaccinal virus allows for a claim<br>vaccinal virus.         1.98 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Residention, w         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komelaki* and Waiker! the UP-acceptibility for the Section/page<br>Mode to lower than the UP-acceptibility for MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the<br>theres, the indicated efficiency of the amountainer to the efficiency spatial enveloped<br>basteriophage M22 will bayment vaccinal virus allows for a claim<br>vaccinal virus.         1.98 ± 0.71   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Residention, w         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(base 100)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komelaki* and Waiker! the UP-acceptibility for the Section/page<br>Mode to lower than the UP-acceptibility for MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the<br>theres, the indicated efficiency of the amountainer to the efficiency spatial enveloped<br>basteriophage M22 will bayment vaccinal virus allows for a claim<br>vaccinal virus.         1.98 ± 0.71  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  | Reactions, we can see the second sec  | Reactions, we can see the second sec  | Reaction, % or 2 = 0.07 = 2.0 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.77 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for beckerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to the Schedulerol and the Schedulerol and according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, % or 2 = 0.07 = 2.0 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.77 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for beckerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to the Schedulerol and the Schedulerol and according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % or 2.4 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.2 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.9 $\pm$ 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 2.24 1.93 $\pm$ 0.47 2.94 0.71<br>(base 100) 0.75 $\pm$ 0.75 \pm0.7   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % or 2 = 0.07 = 2.0 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.77 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>(best 10) 0.75 = 2.2 = 1.03 = 0.47 = 2.9 = 0.71<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for beckerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to krowtakist <sup>*</sup> and waitert the UV-subscriptulary for the schedulerologikage<br>According to the Schedulerol and the Schedulerol and according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterologikage According to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol<br>bacterol according to the schedulerol according to the schedulerol  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.9 th         2.9 th         2.9 th           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski and Westert: HeU -wasceptibility for bedchipshage         Heuris the efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer was weeden available for bedchipshage           Merice, the indicational bed efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer due developed badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse enveloped badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse fervicepool badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy and RC3 will be efficacy and for efficacy values and enveloped values (e.g. MERS-C30, SMS-C04)- and SMS-C04-27   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.9 th         2.9 th         2.9 th           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski and Westert: HeU -wasceptibility for bedchipshage         Heuris the efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer was weeden available for bedchipshage           Merice, the indicational bed efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer due developed badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse enveloped badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse fervicepool badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy and RC3 will be efficacy and for efficacy values and enveloped values (e.g. MERS-C30, SMS-C04)- and SMS-C04-27  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bits         Byth ± Dist         Dist         2.3 min           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ±
0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.97 ± 0.71         1.98 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           (bitset 10)         0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98 ± 0.98  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.9 th         2.9 th         2.9 th           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski and Westert: HeU -wasceptibility for bedchipshage         Heuris the efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer was weeden available for bedchipshage           Merice, the indicational bed efficacy of the tested MACSO UN-C dealer due developed badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse enveloped badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy attainse to the efficacy againse fervicepool badteriophage RC3 will be efficacy and RC3 will be efficacy and for efficacy values and enveloped values (e.g. MERS-C30, SMS-C04)- and SMS-C04-27   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the two the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in the preduct at the two the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate to the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate to the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         89% ± 20%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3%         2.9         0.71           Reputchin, %         89% ± 20%         99% ± 2.3%         2.9 ± 0.71         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100         100        
100            
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.3 m           Karkeldin, Mi         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         Mixed mathematic state of the stat   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.3 m           Karkeldin, Mi         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         Mixed mathematic state of the stat   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.3 m           Karkeldin, Mi         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         Mixed mathematic state of the stat   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.3 m           Karkeldin, Mi         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         Mixed mathematic state of the stat   
   
  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate To the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate To the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate To the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konslask* and Wester't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Hours were therein the UP-succeptibility for the enveloped true, scenal variance           Hours, the Indicated Hackory Obstated MACSOU UV-C device to degrade the bacteriphage M2.4 Million to the Efficien gamma devices         Hours of the Indicate To the Efficien gamma devices           Warcing variance         MERS-Cold SMS-Cold SMS-Cold* and SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4         SMS-Cold* 2.4   
   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  
   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$   | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  | Based on the measured instruction efficiency of the MAL200 bits bits below;<br>$r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ and $r_{0}$ bits $r_{0}$  
   
   
   
   
  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalasi* and Weiter't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Movies the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs (e.9, #MES-coling SMS-Coling SMS-  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalasi* and Weiter't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Movies the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs (e.9, #MES-coling SMS-Coling SMS-   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalasi* and Weiter't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Movies the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs (e.9, #MES-coling SMS-Coling SMS-  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Main (b)-tension         Theory         Database           Product attribution         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         80% ± 20%         90% ± 2.3%         90.9 ± 0.3%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Classed         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalasi* and Weiter't the UP-succeptibility for bacteriphage         Movies the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs, seccina virous allows and the UP-succeptibility for the enviroped runs (e.9, #MES-coling SMS-Coling SMS-   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.3 m           Karkeldin, Mi         Byth ± Bth         99 th ± 2.3 m         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Karkeldin, Mi         Mixed mathematic state of the stat   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % or 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % or 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 201  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Log-reduction           According to knowlask* and winder: the UV-susceptibility for basteriophage         According to knowlask* and winder: the UV-susceptibility for basteriophage           Mode: The UV-susceptibility for the enviroped virus, vaccinal virus, the indicated basteriophage the the theory of the indicated basteriophage the the theory may be indicated by the the theory of the indicated basteriophage wirus (= A MHRS-Cut), SMS-Cort and SARS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowatski <sup>+</sup> and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility for backerisphage<br>Mission and the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission<br>tacteristic the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C separate enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccina virus efficacy against vaccinia virus alima set. Our Sanse Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowatski <sup>+</sup> and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility for backerisphage<br>Mission and the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission<br>tacteristic the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C separate
enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccina virus efficacy against vaccinia virus alima set. Our Sanse Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowatski <sup>+</sup> and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility for backerisphage<br>Mission and the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission and Mission<br>tacteristic the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C separate enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>backerisphage. Efficacy against vaccinia virus alima to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccina virus efficacy against vaccinia virus alima set. Our Sanse Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowalski <sup>+</sup> and Waiter: the V-susceptibility for backeriophage<br>texts: the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers, the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers, the Indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate the<br>testers are indicated efficacy of the tested MACSO UV-C explanate testers<br>testers are indicated with the explanate to the efficacy explanate testers are indicated to tester<br>with the tester of the tester of the tester of the tester of testers are indicated to testers and<br>testers are indicated to tester of testers and the tester of testers are indicated to tester<br>testers are indicated to testers are indicated to tester of testers are indicated to tester<br>testers are indicated to testers are indicated to tester of testers are indicated to tester are indicated to testers are indicated to te  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-elession<br>(see 8). The second seco  
   
   
   
   
  | Log-elession<br>(see 8). The second seco   
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to Kowikski's and Walkert the UM-susceptibility for backership virol.<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped chars to dragmade the<br>thered, the india for difference of the tested MACS00 IV-C drags to adapt the<br>testers, the india for all the exit estations insulations to end the effects which are<br>backership the test with the exit estation is used and the efficiency<br>vaccing winze. Efficacy against vaccing winze allows for SACS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than total efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-C control<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested imiliar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>users at a uneveloped virus (e.g., MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   
                                   | Hence, the inclusion of the at least similar to the efficacy against for efficacy<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy<br>vaccinia wirss. Efficacy against vaccinia wirss allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccina and anyeloged wirssel (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccina and anyeloged wirssel (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccina and anyeloged wirssel (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccina and anyeloged wirssel (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1)<br>vaccina and vaccina any vaccina and vacci  |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  | | | | |
  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |   |  |   |
| bacterioprage max file against vaccinia virus allows to b call and SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows to b call and SARS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize
enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Counter enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Counter enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at lenveloped<br>reaction avirus. Effective against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g., MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g., MESC-GV, SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenvelope       | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Counter enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Counter enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at lenveloped<br>reaction avirus. Effective against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g., MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g., MESC-GV, SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenvelope       | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCSU0 UV-C optimize enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enveloped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-C   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-C   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-C  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-C  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-Gov, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-C   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   | According to konstellet and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility forces vaccina visu.<br>NG2 is boom disclosed efficiency of the tested MACSD evidence to degrade the<br>testerschonge May 20 will be at least attraction of the tested MACSD efficiency against enveloped<br>vaccina visus. Efficiency against vaccination allows for a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (La, effects Cort, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | (base 10)<br>According to Korvaliski' and Waster's the UP-susceptibility for betterioriphage<br>M22 is lower than the UP-susceptibility for the reversed performance<br>memory, the indicated by the stated MACSOD UP-C device to degrade<br>bacteriority and the state of the stated MACSOD UP-C device to degrade<br>bacteriority and the state statement to the effective grade in the<br>performance. Thereby against vectorial was a frame to the effective grade in fore<br>statement of the statement vectorial was a frame of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement vectorial was a frame of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the
statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statem   | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki' and Walter't ne Ur-According to Konellaki's<br>More than the Ur-According to Konellaki's and the Ur-According to Konellaki's<br>More than the Ur-Accord the tested MACSOU Ur-C device to adjust<br>there, the indicated bit of the tested MACSOU Ur-C device to adjust<br>bactering the indication of the tested macSOU Ur-C device to adjust<br>bactering the tested marks that the test of the adjust the test of the adjust<br>bactering the test of the tested macSOU Ur-C device to adjust the<br>partical eleveloped viruses (4, a) MORC-601 SBAS-Colv-1 and SBAS-Colv-21  | Log-relations<br>(Loges 10).<br>According to Kowalski' and Welter't the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the installar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriophage MC22 will be at least call wirus allows for a standing for efficacy<br>vaccinal virus. Efficacy against e.g. MRSS_CoV_1 and SMAS*CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus on the   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowakski* and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage<br>RSL is low that the UV-susceptibility for the stepsile of the stepsile<br>state of the transferred for the tested is the effects' against enveloped<br>testeriophage VEV will be a less target wins values for each for effects'<br>vaccine varies. Effects' against varies was used to for each for effects'<br>vaccine varies. Effects' Against varies was varies after for each for effects'<br>vaccine varies. Effects' Against varies was varies after for each for effects'<br>vaccine varies. Effects' Against varies was varies after for each for each for each<br>vaccine varies. Effects' Against varies was varies after for each for each for each<br>vaccine varies. Effects' Against varies was varies after for each for  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Loss           Control to the workshift and Wattern the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the workshift and the UV-suscipability
for backetinghage           According to the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the the Western and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for the workshift and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the the Western and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Model and the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           Mod   | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou Torres of the<br>against all enveloped viruses (Co., MERC-ON), 28AS-CoV-1 and<br>SMSC-ON-29A   | Reaction, % Given 2, 1,93 ± 0.47 2, 2 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,77 ± 0,24 1,93 ± 0.47 2,24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,07 ± 0,24 1,93 ± 0.47 2,24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,07 ± 0,   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Reduction, %         80% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komaliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription For Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Reduction, %         80% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komaliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription For Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Reduction, %         80% ± 8%         Strink ± 24*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komaliski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription For Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of than the UV-susceptibility for Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.           ND2 is law of the Inscription avera.         RCI is law of the Inscription avera.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou Torres of the<br>against all enveloped viruses (Co., MERC-ON), 28AS-CoV-1 and<br>SMSC-ON-29A  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, % Given 2, 1,93 ± 0.47 2, 2 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,77 ± 0,24 1,93 ± 0.47 2,24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,07 ± 0,24 1,93 ± 0.47 2,24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0,07 ±
0,07 ± 0,  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  | Reaction, % Gint Control Contr   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, % Given 2, 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 77 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 194 1, 94 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 194  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against velocities are unal interfaced macSou UV-C device to arguing the<br>bacteriophane. Efficiency against
velocities are unal interfaced macSou Torres of the<br>against all enveloped viruses (Co., MERC-ON), 28AS-CoV-1 and<br>SMSC-ON-29A   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % Given 2, 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 77 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 194 1, 94 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 194   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         3.4         2.3         3.4 <td>Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in Opt-Excution 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product as 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Reduction, <math>v_{0}</math> by <math>v_{</math></td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br/>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(bits 10)<br/>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in Signification 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product a Verticity 1 hours 2 hours<br/>Readication, <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math> (<math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>) <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>, <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>,</td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>Production         B0% ± 0%         90% ± 2.3%         2.3 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.37 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konstaki* and Waiter't the Uv-saceptibility for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.07         1.06 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.04         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience
of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience</td> <td>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</td> <td>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</td> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLAR by Termson<br/>the set in the measured instructions are discubled and the term<br/>term of the measured instructions are discubled and the term<br/>Reduction, where the measurement of the measurement of the term of t</th> <th>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</th> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th</td> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>Production         Byth ± 8th         99% ± 2.3*n         2.9 ± 0.71           Restruction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderreduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalka't and Watert the UV-saceptimetry for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         1.071         1.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         1.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071</td> <td>Reduction, % 0.97 f. 2, 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 1.05 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstlast<sup>3</sup> and Wasker1 the UP-scategoliship for bacteriophage<br/>MO2 to lower than the UP-scategolism for the enveloped true, scenaria virus, scenar</td> <td>Reduction, % 0.97 P. 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>According to konvelasit* and Weiter: the UP-sub-possibility for beckeriophage<br/>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for beckering hard<br/>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for b</td> <td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         <math>1.93 \pm 0.47</math> <math>1.03 \pm 0.47</math>           According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped         According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped           Model and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the unit of the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the else and the</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br/>(safe 10)<br/>Recording to Kowskiki<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br/>Kosi to low the the UM-subceptibility for the stepsile strain a visual.<br/>Note: the indicated efficacy of the tested is the efficacy against enveloped<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the statistical statistica</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br/>Kosh alware than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br/>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br/>tradicational scale. Scale all as all scale and scale scale for efficiency<br/>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for efficiency<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale sca</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br/>Kosh alware than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br/>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br/>tradicational scale. Scale all as all scale scale in the efficiency of the<br/>scale scale scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the<br/>scale scale scale<br/>vaccing and scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale sca</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br/>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br/>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta</td> <td>Log-realisson<br/>(upset 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br/>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br/>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br/>against all enveloped
virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)</td> <td>Log-realisson<br/>(upset 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br/>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br/>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br/>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)</td> <td>According to konstellet and Weaker: the UV-susceptibility for any weak and weak of the environment of the en</td> <td>MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000</td> <td>Hence, the indicated will be at least similar to the efficacy object of the efficiency object of the second second</td>  
   | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in Opt-Excution 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product as 2 hours 2 hours<br>Reduction, $v_{0}$ by $v_{$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $v_{0}$ and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bits 10)<br>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\sigma_{0}$ and in Signification 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product a Verticity 1 hours 2 hours<br>Readication, $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ( $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ,   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and indegreements in the set of the set  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and indegreements in the set of the set   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         B0% ± 0%         90% ± 2.3%         2.3 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.37 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konstaki* and Waiter't the Uv-saceptibility for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.07         1.06 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.04         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07           Model         1.09 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.06 ± 0.07         0.07 ± 0.07  
   
   | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71          
Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience   
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience  
   
   | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience   
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         1.9 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Mol and the UV-susceptibility for the dengi UV-covice to degrade the detaching agene the efficiency agene. The effect of agenet the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the detaching the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience of the table MALO science to degrade the efficience  
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLAR by Termson<br>the set in the measured instructions are discubled and the term<br>term of the measured instructions are discubled and the term<br>Reduction, where the measurement of the measurement of the term of t   | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th   
  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th   | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set is large-enclosure set excludes and the set of the measurement of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and indegreements in the set of the set  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Byth ± 8th         99% ± 2.3*n         2.9 ± 0.71           Restruction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderreduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalka't and Watert the UV-saceptimetry for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.10 ± 0.072         0.14 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071         1.06 ± 0.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         1.071         1.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         1.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071           No.1         0.16 ± 0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071         0.071   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 0.97 f. 2, 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 1.05 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>According to konstlast <sup>3</sup> and Wasker1 the UP-scategoliship for bacteriophage<br>MO2 to lower than the UP-scategolism for the enveloped true, scenaria virus, scenar  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 0.97 P. 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24<br>According to konvelasit* and Weiter: the UP-sub-possibility for beckeriophage<br>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for beckering hard<br>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for b   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24 $1.93 \pm 0.47$ $1.03 \pm 0.47$ According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped         According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped           Model and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the unit of the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the else and the   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(safe 10)<br>Recording to Kowskiki <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kosi to low the the UM-subceptibility for the stepsile strain a visual.<br>Note: the indicated efficacy of the tested is the efficacy against enveloped<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual stations for adamt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and visual statis for a damt for the statistical statistica  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br>Kosh alware than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br>tradicational scale. Scale all as all scale and scale scale for efficiency<br>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for efficiency<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale sca   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br>Kosh alware than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br>tradicational scale. Scale all as all scale scale in the efficiency of the<br>scale scale scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the<br>scale scale scale<br>vaccing and scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale sca  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-realisson<br>(upset 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-realisson<br>(upset 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to konstellet and Weaker: the UV-susceptibility for any weak and weak of the environment of the en   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   | Hence, the indicated will be at least similar to the efficacy object of the efficiency object of the second  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  | | | | | |
  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
| bacterioprage max file against vaccinia virus allows to b call and SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows to b call and SARS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize
enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control environment<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control environment<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at lenveloped<br>vaccina virus. Effector against vaccina virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).   
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control environment<br>tence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control environment<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at lenveloped<br>vaccina virus. Effector against
vaccina virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)  | MS2 is lower than use efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bedreing-the indicated efficacy of the tested MXCS00 UV-C optimize enviroped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy optimize enviroped<br>efficiency optimized vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>vaccine write. Efficacy against vaccine virus allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at lenveloped viruses (e.g. MES2-GV).  
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)  
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   
   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MACSU0 UV-Control enveloped<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against at<br>efficacy against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV, SARS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-2)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)<br>against at enveloped viruses (e.g. MESC-GV)   | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000  
  | According to konstellet and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility forces vaccina visu.<br>NG2 is boom disclosed efficiency of the tested MACSD evidence to dergrade the<br>testerschonge May 20 will be at least attraction of the tested MACSD efficiency against enveloped<br>vaccina visus. Efficacy against vaccination allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against all enveloped visuas (La, effects CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)   | (base 10)<br>According to Korvaliski' and Waster's the UP-susceptibility for betterioriphage<br>M22 is lower than the UP-susceptibility for the reversed performance<br>memory, the indicated by the stated MACSOD UP-C device to degrade<br>bacteriority and the state of the stated MACSOD UP-C device to degrade<br>bacteriority and the state statement to the effective grade in the<br>performance. Thereby against vectorial was a frame to the effective grade in fore<br>statement of the statement vectorial was a frame of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement vectorial was a frame of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the<br>statement of the statement of the statem   | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki' and Walter't ne Ur-According to Konellaki's<br>More than the Ur-According to Konellaki's and the Ur-According to Konellaki's<br>More than the Ur-Accord the tested MACSOU Ur-C device to adjust<br>there, the indicational to the effect of the tested MACSOU Ur-C device to adjust<br>bacteriority and the test sets attained to the effect of the tested<br>bacteriority and the test sets attained to the effect of the tested<br>bacteriority and the test sets attained to the effect of the tested<br>bacteriority and the test sets attained to the effect of the tested<br>bacteriority and the tested the tested the tested the tested the tested<br>bacteriority and the tested the tested the tested the tested the tested<br>bacteriority and the tested the tested the tested the tested the tested<br>bacteriority and the test sets attained to the tested the tested the tested<br>bacteriority attained to the tested the tested the tested the tested<br>tested to the tested the tested the tested the tested the tested the tested<br>tested the tested the tested<br>tested to tested the test tested the tested t  | Log-relations<br>(Loges 10)<br>According to Kowalski' and Walter't the UV-susceptibility for becarbophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinal virus.<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy of the installar to the efficacy against enveloped<br>bacteriopage years. We lose electric advirus allows for a stain for efficacy<br>vaccinal virus. Efficacy against e.g. MRSS_CoV_1 and SMAS*CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus.  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta  
   | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(base 10)<br>According to Kowakski* and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for betteriophage<br>RSL is low that the UV-susceptibility for the stepsile of the stepsile<br>the indicated efficacy of the tested is the effects' against enveloped<br>bacteriophage VSZ will be a less target wins allow for a cosis for efficacy<br>against all enveloped virtuals (A, RRS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virtuals (A, RRS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2) and SARS-CoV-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Loss           Control to the workshift and Wattern the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to show that the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           According to show that the UV-suscipability for backetinghage         According to show that the UV-suscipability for backetinghage           More Tash the UV-suscipability for the eveloped to the theory of the tested MACSD UV-C spanet eveloped         Spanet eveloped           System Strategy States         Wile at least states to the site of a spanet eveloped           System Strategy States         VRSE-CoV-SLASE-CoV-1 and SRAS-CoV-2)   | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the enveloped true to the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-1)<br>against all enveloped virtues (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>MSR-CoV-2 and the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS   | Reaction, % Given 2, 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 77 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 194 1, 94 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 194  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big%
± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Reduction, %         8/9% ± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalisti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription Pro Inscripti Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro In  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Reduction, %         8/9% ± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalisti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription Pro Inscripti Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro In   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big% ± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Reduction, %         8/9% ± 8%         String ± 2.4*           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komalisti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for Inscription Pro Inscripti Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro Inscrind Pro Inscription Pro In  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the enveloped true to the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-1)<br>against all enveloped virtues (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>MSR-CoV-2 and the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, % Given 2, 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 77 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 24 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 75 ± 0.24 1, 93 ± 0.47 2, 194 1, 94 ± 0.71<br>(best 10) 0, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 94 ± 0, 194 1, 194  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also   
  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  | Reactions, we can also also also also also also also also  | Reaction, % Gint Control Contr   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, % Gint Control Contr   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % or 7 2 2 9 0.71<br>Log-reduction<br>(base 10)<br>According to Komiaka' and Waiker! the UP-acceptability for bacteriophage<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility the enveloped true, sectional available<br>MSD lower than the UP-acceptibility that MACSOU UV-C device to arguing the<br>theres, the indicational line at the safet or goal more for the enveloped<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the UP-acceptibility of the enveloped true<br>labeled primary and the enveloped true to the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-1)<br>against all enveloped virtues (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>MSR-CoV-2 and the enveloped true of (e.g. MSR-CoV-2) and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS-CoV-2 and<br>SRS   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, % Gint Control Contr  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%         2.3         3.4         2.3         3.4 <td>Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br/>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(bits 10)<br/>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d</td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br/>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br/>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br/>(bits 10)<br/>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br/>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in Signification 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product a Verticity 1 hours 2 hours<br/>Readication, <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math> (<math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>) <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>, <math>\sigma_{0}^{0}</math>,</td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>Production         B0% ± 0%         90% ± 2.3%         2.3 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.37 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konstaki* and Waitert the UV-saceptibility for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.07         1.06 ± 0.07           Molt and Waitert the UV-saceptibility for bacterisphage with<br/>the indicated efficacy of the tested MAMD series to degrade the<br/>effective operate the effects and more allows for a claim for efficacy<br/>against all enveloped wrises (n, 4.875-CoV, 5.875-CoV-2)         2.9 ± 0.71</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00 ±
0.00 ± 0.00</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00</td> <td>Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00</td> <td>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</td> <td>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</td> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</th> <th>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</th> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <td>Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br/>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen</td> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <th>We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br/>Product on the product of the product o</th> <td>We and indegreements in the set of the set</td> <td>Production         Bit White ± Bits         99% ± £ Jits         2.3 min         2.0 ± 0.71           Karduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1.01 ± 0.01         1.01</td> <td>Reduction, % 0.97 f. 2, 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 1.95 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br/>According to konstlast<sup>3</sup> and Wasker1 the UP-scategoliship for bacteriophage<br/>MO2 to lower than the UP-scategolism to the entropic physic, seccinal variant<br/>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants the entropic page) for entropic<br/>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants variants the entropic page) for entropic<br/>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants variants the entropic page) for entropic<br/>gainst all enterplay variants (4.9 MO2 cold StateS-colv-1 and StateS-colv-2).</td> <td>Reduction, % 0.97 P. 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br/>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24<br/>According to konvelasit* and Weiter: the UP-sub-possibility for beckeriophage<br/>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for beckering hard<br/>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for b</td> <td>Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         <math>1.93 \pm 0.47</math> <math>1.03 \pm 0.47</math>           According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped         According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped           Model and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the unit of the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the else and the</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br/>(safe 10)<br/>Recording to Kowskiki<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br/>Kosi to low the the UM-subceptibility for the stepsile strategies and a strategies<br/>the Indicated efficacy of the tested is the effects' against enveloped<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and wrus allows for a strain for ethicity<br/>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and wrus allows for a statis for ethics of<br/>signatis all employed virtuals (Log KRSS-CoV-1 and SAKS-CoV-2)<br/>signats all employed virtuals (Log KRSS-CoV-1 and SAKS-CoV-2)</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br/>Kosh lower than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br/>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint
enveloped<br/>tradicational scale. Scale all east as all scale scale in the efficiency<br/>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale sc</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br/>Kosh lower than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br/>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br/>tradicational scale. Scale all east as all scale scale in the efficiency<br/>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale scale<br/>scale scale sc</td> <td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br/>(losse 10)<br/>According to Kowshidt<sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br/>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br/>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta</td> <td>Log-realisson<br/>(upset 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br/>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br/>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br/>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)</td> <td>Log-realisson<br/>(upset 10)<br/>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br/>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br/>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br/>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br/>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)</td> <td>According to konstellet and Weaker: the UV-susceptibility for any weak and weak of the environment of the en</td> <td>MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000</td> <td>Hence, the indicated will be at least similar to the efficacy object of the efficiency object of the second second</td>  
   
   | Production         Bit White 20%         Diff W ± 0%         Diff W ± 0% <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""> <thdiff 0%<="" th="" w="" ±=""></thdiff></thdiff>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bits 10)<br>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in Optimization 1 hours 2 hours 1995 ± 0.5%<br>Reduct 3 Product 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Reduct 3 1995 ± 0.2%<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bits 10)<br>According to Growthalk* and Kanaer the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>NG2 is forentiable the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against a densities the enveloped the forentiage in the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various are UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the tested MAGS00 UV-C densities enveloped<br>bacteria yrus. Efficacy against various with the UH-Susceptibility for bacteria of the discussion of the d  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $\sigma_{0}$ and in Signification 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product a Verticity 1 hours 2 hours<br>Readication, $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ( $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ) $\sigma_{0}^{0}$ ,  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and indegreements in the set of the set   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and indegreements in the set of the set  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         B0% ± 0%         90% ± 2.3%         2.3 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.37 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konstaki* and Waitert the UV-saceptibility for bacterisphage         1.06 ± 0.07         1.06 ± 0.07           Molt and Waitert the UV-saceptibility for bacterisphage with<br>the indicated efficacy of the tested MAMD series to degrade the<br>effective operate the effects and more allows for a claim for efficacy<br>against all enveloped wrises (n, 4.875-CoV, 5.875-CoV-2)         2.9 ± 0.71  
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00   
   
   | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00  
   
  | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00
± 0.00   
   | Production         Bit % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3*0         2.0 ± 0.71           Reduction, %         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Iderection         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           No.10         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalkat* and Wakert the UV-susceptibility for backeniciphage         4.06 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ± 0.00 ±
0.00 ± 0.00  
  | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We
and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen   | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen   
  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen   | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLLER born.<br>The set in tig-measured instructions are discubled and the first organization of the measurement of the measuremen   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inder Televations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Product on the product of the product o  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and indegreements in the set of the set  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Bit White ± Bits         99% ± £ Jits         2.3 min         2.0 ± 0.71           Karduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         1.01 ± 0.01         1.01   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 0.97 f. 2, 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 1.95 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2, 2.8 ± 0.71<br>According to konstlast <sup>3</sup> and Wasker1 the UP-scategoliship for bacteriophage<br>MO2 to lower than the UP-scategolism to the entropic physic, seccinal variant<br>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants the entropic page) for entropic<br>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants variants the entropic page) for entropic<br>bacteriophage. (Theory against variants variants the entropic page) for entropic<br>gainst all enterplay variants (4.9 MO2 cold StateS-colv-1 and StateS-colv-2).  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, % 0.97 P. 2.4 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.4 ± 0.71<br>(base 10.0) 0.97 ± 0.24<br>According to konvelasit* and Weiter: the UP-sub-possibility for beckeriophage<br>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for beckering hard<br>MO2 allower than the UP-sub-possibility for b   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24 $1.93 \pm 0.47$ $1.03 \pm 0.47$ According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped         According to consultative and Witters The UV-susceptibility for backetinghaped           Model and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped wrast, else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the UV-susceptibility of backeting the else and the unit of the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the enveloped wrast (e.g. and the else and the   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(safe 10)<br>Recording to Kowskiki <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kosi to low the the UM-subceptibility for the stepsile strategies and a strategies<br>the Indicated efficacy of the tested is the effects' against enveloped<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and wrus allows for a strain for ethicity<br>subceptibility 200 kell be all statis and wrus allows for a statis for ethics of<br>signatis all employed virtuals (Log KRSS-CoV-1 and SAKS-CoV-2)<br>signats all employed virtuals (Log KRSS-CoV-1 and SAKS-CoV-2)   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br>Kosh lower than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br>tradicational scale. Scale all east as all scale scale in the efficiency<br>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale sc   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for bickteriophage<br>Kosh lower than the UV-susceptibility for the scale of the scale of the scale<br>scale of the tradicate efficacy of the tested is the effective agoint enveloped<br>tradicational scale. Scale all east as all scale scale in the efficiency<br>scale and scale scale scale scale scale scale scale for the scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale scale<br>scale scale sc  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.4-7<br>(losse 10)<br>According to Kowshidt <sup>+</sup> and Waiter't the UM-subceptibility for betteriophage<br>Kows is lower than the UM-subceptibility for the state-<br>standing of the UM-subceptibility for the state of the the state of the sta  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-realisson<br>(upset 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-realisson<br>(upset 10)<br>According to Kowalski* and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus<br>MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the developed virus of the MS2 is under electron to developed<br>bacteriophage MS2 will be at valid calculation to the efficant against enveloped<br>vaccina virus, Efficiency against e.g., MERS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>against all enveloped virus-10, e.g., MERS-CoV, SMRS-CoV-2)  
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to konstellet and Weaker: the UV-susceptibility for any weak and weak of the environment of the en   
   
   
   
   
   
  | MS2 is lower than use effective of the tested MACSU0 UV-C 000000000000000000000000000000000000   | Hence, the indicated will be at least similar to the efficacy object of the efficiency object of the second  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  | | | | | |
  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |   |  |   |
| backerophoge microsoft against vaccina virus allows and with a<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy against vaccina virus allows and with a<br>seconding to be defined virus (e.g. NRS-COV-30, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to the second virus of the second virus and the second virus of the<br>vaccina virus of the second virus of the second virus of the<br>value and Ab, Ethylicourte(TA, Science & TECHARLOR) / Vol. 41, NO. 15, 2007<br>1 Walke and Ab, Ethylicourte(TA, Science & TECHARLOR) / Vol. 41, NO. 15, 2007<br>1   | Ke2 all their indicates efficacy of the tested MAtus and crack spanine envisioned<br>subcrimologies XR will be all sets all numbers of the XRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, ARS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, SRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, SRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>will be all the XRS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CRE  | Ke2 all their indicates efficacy of the tested MAtus and crack spanine envisioned<br>subcrimologies XR will be all sets all numbers of the XRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, ARS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, SRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CoV, SRS-CoV-1 and SRS-CoV-2<br>spannia all on XRS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>will be all the XRS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-CREATERS-<br>CREATERS-CRE   | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the
ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO  
  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater Generation University of the MSR-CoV<br>Value and W. Uterwater Generation Statistics (SAR)<br>Value and Value an  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater Generation University of the MSR-CoV<br>Value and W. Uterwater Generation Statistics (SAR)<br>Value and Value an   
   
   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for endance<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed installant materials significant and second<br>values of the Nerset Coversion installant significant and the Nerset<br>Values and V. Uterwater Coversion installant significant and the Nerset<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion in the Nerset Coversion of the<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion in the Nerset Coversion of the<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion of the Nerset Coversion of the Nerset<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion of the Nerset Coversion of the  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater Generation University of the MSR-CoV<br>Value and W. Uterwater Generation Statistics (SAR)<br>Value and Value an   
  | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for endance<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed installant materials significant and second<br>values of the Nerset Coversion installant significant and the Nerset<br>Values and V. Uterwater Coversion installant significant and the Nerset<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion in the Nerset Coversion of the<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion in the Nerset Coversion of the<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion of the Nerset Coversion of the Nerset<br>Values and Schwater Market Coversion of the Nerset Coversion of the  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater Generation University of the MSR-CoV<br>Value and W. Uterwater Generation Statistics (SAR)<br>Value and Value an  
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set of the set and the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of th   
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)   
  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is
on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)  | KG2. Boller direkte efficacy of the tested MAbs acticacy against enveloped<br>stacticipacy and with the elevation attinuary satisfactor acquires dim for efficacy<br>against all encody and an and an and an anti-analysis of a star of a star<br>against all encody 14885-2016. SASE-Con-1 and SASE-Con-2<br>against all encoder 14885-2016. Instances Starting and<br>analysis with the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the                    | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set of the set and the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second
with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of th  | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set of the set and the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the set of the set of the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of the<br>second with the set of th  | KG2. Boller direkte efficacy of the tested MAbs acticacy against enveloped<br>stacticipacy and with the elevation attinuary satisfactor acquires dim for efficacy<br>against all encody and an and an and an anti-analysis of a star of a star<br>against all encody 14885-2016. SASE-Con-1 and SASE-Con-2<br>against all encoder 14885-2016. Instances Starting and<br>analysis with the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the starting of the<br>starting of the starting of the                    | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and SASSMONENDES. SERVICE & TCONDLOPY (VOL.41, NO. 15. 2007)  | According to Konstalet* and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility down, vaccinar avia,<br>Incl. Is low of the the UV-susceptibility for the conjunct (vaccine to degrade the<br>bacteriopage April 2014) the electrical bacteria of the degrade down and<br>subscriptionage April and electrical variants and end april and end<br>subscriptionage April 2014 (Section 2014) Section 2014<br>Vaccina varia, Emission Vansel (e.g. NRS-Col/, SAR-Col/: and SRS-Col/.2)<br>approximation to Color 14685-2018<br>* sounds for URS-Ref 14685-2018<br>* sounds for URS-Ref 14685-2018<br>* Waiter and G. Mondonethras, Statutica a Honey Zole<br>* Statutica and Statutica a Honey Zole<br>* Statutica a Honey Zo | (base 10)<br>According to Koneldski' and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for betteriorphage<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility for the energy of the susceptibility for<br>the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility<br>applies at the energy of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the suscepti  | (base 10)<br>According to Konetiski* and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for besterioriphage<br>(base) as the indexteribility for the energy of the service to degrade the<br>bester, in the indexter deficient of the tester than the effect of the service to degrade the<br>tester, includes deficient of the tester of the service to degrade the<br>bester, includes the service tester of the service to degrade the<br>degrade till enveloped virtuals (e.g., HEBS-CoV, SASE-CoV, and SASE-COV-2)<br>according to SOSE (HEBS-200)<br>* second W. Utravieto Generation (e.g., HEBS-CoV, SASE-CoV, and SASE-COV-2)<br>according to SOSE (HEBS-200)<br>* second W. Utravieto Generation (e.g., HEBS-CoV, SASE-CoV, and SASE-COV-2)<br>Walker and G. Windowskita, Statistica & ISCHROLOGY (VIC), 41, No. 15, 2027   
   | Log-relations<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Lo  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimmar to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g., NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2016<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instandon bacteriophage 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused instandon Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts intriused and Vaccion 1 and Sense<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts and sense interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts affactory (Vol. 41, IRO. 15, 2007  | Log-reduction 0.9.9 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>(KS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>NS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>hence, the indicated affactor tasks similar to the effects against enveloped<br>vaccina virus webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>according to DSYN 1485-2016.<br>* social virus UN-subject for the SMS-CoV Accord and SMS-CoV-2<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-2)<br>* social virus UN-subject for the SMS-CoV Accord and SMS-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV-2)<br>* webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV) (e.g. NS5-CoV) (e.g.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Lip-preduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Performance           According to constall* and Wolkern the UV-susceptibility or the constrainty of the temporture of temporture of the temporture of temp   | Relation, % 0.97 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the Uv-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the<br>subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped<br>virsa in the UV-subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the UV-subset of the UV-susceptibility of the UV-subset o   | Relation, %         Given Put           Ligg-reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Marcoring to Konalasi,* and Waters the Un-susceptibility for backeriphage         Science         Science         Science           Size lawer than the Un-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to deprate the bacteriphage         Science         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints         Bit Constraints         Science  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see todespition)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see todespition)   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for the super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder that the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see to despition)         Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-pholicity for bacteriophage (see todespition)  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, % 0.97 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the Uv-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to
adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but not subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the<br>subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped<br>virsa in the UV-subset of the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virsa in the UV-subset of the UV-susceptibility of the UV-subset o  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         Given Put           Ligg-reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Marcoring to Konalasi,* and Waters the Un-susceptibility for backeriphage         Science         Science         Science           Size lawer than the Un-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to deprate the bacteriphage         Science         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints         Bit Constraints         Science  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realized and the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realized and the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realized and the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is
lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP
/ VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>test of the enveloped viscous (E.g. MSG-Col, SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DSPA 1488-2018.<br>* somatik W. Utravieta Gennolos Instance. Springer 2019<br>* Weiter and K. Windowski M. Straffice a * ICOMOLIOP / VIDL-41, Ibo 15, 2027   | Relation, %         Given Pair         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeriphage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, adjust to the effective virus and science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Scincomolicontecontervence         Scince   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         Given Pair         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeriphage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, adjust to the effective virus and science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Scincomolicontecontervence         Scince   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, % 0.97 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the Uv-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but instance effects of the test enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>hetter, but instance effects of the test wind allows capital test<br>instance of the test of the test wind allows capital test of the<br>suscent of the DSP 1485 2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale (c.g. MRS-Cov) East SASE-CovI-28<br>ascenting to DSP11485.2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale for the inveloped on test of the test of te   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         Given Pair         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeriphage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Bit Constraints to
UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-susceptibility for the memologic virus, adjust to the effective virus and science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints to UN-science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Bit Constraints         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Scincomolicontecontervence         Scince   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h ± this         99 h ± 2 h · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/h ± th/h         99/h ± 2.9-in         2.9-in           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaski* and Weitert Ibau Vo-succeptibility for bacteriophage         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         2.9 ± 0.71         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425         1.90 ± 0.47           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
                                  | Production         Big/H ± BHs         B/H ± L = 10           Coll reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         5.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Coll reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Walkert Bis         1.04 carectepibility for bacteriophage         1.06 carectepibility for bacteriophage           Ki21 is lower tame but -susceptibility in a forther convertinged virus, vaccine average the bacteriophage tame but -susceptibility wirus and tame but -susceptibility wirus and tame bacteriophage. Susce average the MCSO UV-Coll code: to degrad the bacteriophage and tame bacteriophage average average average average the bacteriophage average a   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/h ± th/h         99/h ± 2.9-in         2.9-in           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaski* and Weitert Ibau Vo-succeptibility for bacteriophage         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         2.9 ± 0.71         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425         1.90 ± 0.47           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | No.         All hour         Description         Description         Description         Point a 2-20         Point a 2-20         Point a 2-20         Point a 2-20         Control to the second and th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | as and in Oper-Bockmann 1 hours 2 hours<br>Decasion, we have a set of the set of the operation of the set of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | as and in Oper-Bockmann 1 hours 2 hours<br>Decasion, we have a set of the set of the operation of the set of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $0_{10}$ and $m_{10}$ the theorem is a lower in the second secon  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $a_1$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W     80% ± 20%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     90% ± 2.3%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     0.97 ± 0.24     1.91 ± 0.47       According to somewate*     40 Wolker THe UV-susceptibility for backworks with the somewater that the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped matching of the test of the tes   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $a_1$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W     80% ± 20%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     90% ± 2.3%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     0.97 ± 0.24     1.91 ± 0.47       According to somewate*     40 Wolker THe UV-susceptibility for backworks with the somewater that the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped matching of the test of the tes  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a set of the   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a set of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/lk ± 8%         99% ± £.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Color-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalast* and Walkert the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage Medices to degrade and the Unit acceleration of the Unit accelerat   
   
   
   | Product attrivue         Big/h ± Big/h         99/h ± 2.3*n         2.3*n           Lig-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Kig-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Walkert Big Vousscrephbility for bacteriophage Mices         1.06 ± 0.05  
   
  | Product attribution         Big/lk ± Big/lk \pm Big/l  
   
   | Production         Byth = BW         GPM = 294         Z = 394           Log-reduction         097 ± 024         193 ± 047         Z = 89.71           According to Koneliski*         and Wealert the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage         Model to the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage           K22 is lower than the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility for bacteriophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility for bacteriophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage           Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the Statestrophage         Model to the UN-susceptibility of the  
   
  | Product attribution         Big/lk ± Big/lk \pm Big/l  
   
   | In and indeficient in the set of   | In and indeficient in the set of   | In and introjection the second secon   | In and introjection the second secon  | Back do the masurel wardware in directly of the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the masure of the mas   | Back do the masurel wardware in directly of the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the masure of the mas  | Back do the maximum functivation efficiency of the MALCOLAR behavior.<br>Product Structures are excluded and the maximum function of the maximum functi  | Back do the masurel wardware in directly of the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the masure of the mas  
   | Back do the maximum functivation efficiency of the MALCOLAR behavior.<br>Product Structures are excluded and the maximum function of the maximum functi  | Back do the masurel wardware in directly of the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the masure of the mas   | Back do the masurel wardware in directly of the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the MLCDA table beam.<br>Product structures are excluded and the masure of the mas  | Back do the maximum functivation efficiency of the MALCOLAR behavior.<br>Product Structures are excluded and the maximum function of the maximum functi   
   
   
   
   
   
   | In and indeficient in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   | We and inspectimentations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ ,   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and inspectimentations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ ,  
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a set of the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophage Mices to degrade and the Unsucceptibility for the methods direct on degrade and bacteriophage Mices and Back similar to the efficace against ender the efficiency against ender the back efficiency and the Mices Out (2.9 ± 0.71)           * soundare to Unsucceptibility for the efficiency against ender the effici   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalduit* and Waterer the UM-susceptibility for backer/onlyang         Science         Science           Sig is lower than the UM-conceptibility for the memolode Virsa, to adjust the test of test  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         user to the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Lop-perform         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Control           According to isocalastic and Walkert the UV-susceptibility or isocalized process vaccina avec.         Non-performance of the table of the environment of   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>According to Konvalski* and Weiter' the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Kozi Is low the two HV-susceptibility or bacteriophage<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy back grimmar to the efficacy against enders to degrade the<br>bacteriophage April 2004 and winal loss for a classific efficacy against enders<br>with a vitametopod virus (e.g., NESS-Cor). SuS-Cori-1 and Sense-Cori-2)<br>according to DS-(H14685-2016)<br>* according to DS-(H14685-2016)<br>* work with unsaved Cemorals intrinsion functional sympto-<br>tion (Sense) (VIII-1)<br>* Wader and General Intrinsion Extended. Spring VIII-1<br>* Wader and General Intrinsion Extended. Spring VIIII-1<br>* Wader and General Intrinsion Extended. Spring VIIII-1<br>* Wader and General International International Internation International Inter  
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Horse, the indicated affactor plast similar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade
the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br>vaccount withowedged viruses (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS261 H485-2016<br>* constant vs. Utravised Commode animumo Intendous Signing end<br>* Walker and Ge, MIXMOMENDA, EXERCISE & TCOMOLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Horse, the indicated affactor plast similar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br>vaccount withowedged viruses (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS261 H485-2016<br>* constant vs. Utravised Commode animumo Intendous Signing end<br>* Walker and Ge, MIXMOMENDA, EXERCISE & TCOMOLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimmar to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g., NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2016<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instandon bacteriophage 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused instandon Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts intriused and Vaccion 1 and Sense<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts and sense interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts affactory (Vol. 41, IRO. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-tellocari<br>(Jase 10).<br>Recording to Kowelski <sup>®</sup> and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MCS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the everyped virus, vaccinguides the<br>telence, the indicates of the based MCS30 UV-Ceoker an everyped<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the SCS CoV-test of SCS CoV-<br>scale SCS (SCS) (SC  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation<br>(base 10).<br>(base 10).<br>(bas  
   
   
   
   
  | According to Konstalet' and Watter' the UV-susceptibility for usy sectors avail.<br>Next Is have them the UV-susceptibility for the regold V/C double to deprive the<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads to the efficact against enveloped<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads with values for a fault for each order<br>values avail. Entrady variants (e.g. NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>againstige to DSOR 14685-2018.<br>* sounds the Utravised Cemical Intelligence 2018<br>* Walker and G, Mindonethol S, SERIELE & TCLINGLOPY (VOL. 41, IIO, 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.  
   | Hence, the InduceS2 will be at least similar to the effects a dumin for efficiency<br>bacteriophysics. The second wind all second wind all second secon  |   |   |  
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   |   
   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  | | | | | | |
  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |   |  |   |
| bacteriophage inclusion gains's vaccina virus allows un curve<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy against vaccina virus allows un curve<br>according to DS/PE1 14085-2018.<br>* Konstak W. Ustraute Commonical installation Handbook. Springer 2019<br>* Walker adk K. BWHOOMBATAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY / Vol. 41, HO. 15, 2007  | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO   | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO  | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the
ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO | No.2. Bound identities efficacy of the tested MALSU and crack payant developed<br>stactorizing with X2 will be at less at intro and starting as a start for efficiency<br>against all encoded and and an analysis of the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>against all encoded to the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-CoV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 and SARS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-CoV-1 ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>water and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1 ABS-COV-1<br>against and the ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-COV-1<br>ABS-CO  
  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater General Insulance Software 2004<br>1 Walker and General Insulance 2004<br>1   | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater General Insulance Software 2004<br>1 Walker and General Insulance 2004<br>1  
   
   | No.1. Investmentate effectory of the tested MALsa self-tax sparse developed<br>instantionape year will be a less at main run assissor for examine for endosyn<br>vaccina vrane. Efficacy sparse (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>sparset at emotypoint (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>second by United Second Instantion Instancious Symprevs (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2)<br>will be and by Microsoft (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>CoV-2) (e.g., SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>will be and by Microsoft (e.g., SARS-CoV-2) (e.g., e.g., e.g.  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater General Insulance Software 2004<br>1 Walker and General Insulance 2004<br>1  
  | No.1. Investmentate effectory of the tested MALsa self-tax sparse developed<br>instantionape year will be a less at main run assissor for examine for endosyn<br>vaccina vrane. Efficacy sparse (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>sparset at emotypoint (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>second by United Second Instantion Instancious Symprevs (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2)<br>will be and by Microsoft (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>CoV-2) (e.g., SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>will be and by Microsoft (e.g., SARS-CoV-2) (e.g., e.g., e.g.  | KG2. Boller disettes efficacy of the tested MALSuperior adjust eveloped<br>statisticatiopage M22 will be a less at manual and tracks registed eveloped<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy applies to a, MSR-CoV, 1 and SAR-CoV-1<br>against at encoders to a, MSR-CoV, SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-CoV-2<br>against at encoders to a MSR-CoV and SAR-CoV-1 and SAR-<br>sound W. Uterwater General Insulance Software 2004<br>1 Walker and General Insulance 2004<br>1   
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and<br>the set and the se   
  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.  
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled
Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>water and the University Emission Service Networks (SASS-CoV-2)<br>water and the University Emission Service Service SASS-<br>Valles and Konford Market Scaling 2018. In SCIENCE 2 Introductor / vol. 41, NO. 15. 2007.  | KG2. Boller direkte efficacy of the tested MAbs acticacy against enveloped<br>stacticipacy and with the elevation attinuary satisfactor acquires dim for efficacy<br>against all encody and an and an anti-an attinuary for a classification of the<br>against all encody 14885-2016. Association and a state of the<br>accurate VL testavete Generation Instance Signification and<br>Number 400, 14885-2016.   | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the
set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and<br>the set and the se   | Koza Bourn disettes efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexic spanis developed<br>stactionary and the set and announce and the set and the set and the<br>stactionary and the set and announce and set and the set and the set and<br>against all encoders in e.g., MSRS-Cov, 1 and SARS-Cov-1<br>against all encoders 1 e.g., MSRS-Cov, SARS-Cov-1 and SARS-Cov-2<br>avantation of the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and the set and the set and the set and<br>the set and the set and<br>the set and the se   | KG2. Boller direkte efficacy of the tested MAbs acticacy against enveloped<br>stacticipacy and with the elevation attinuary satisfactor acquires dim for efficacy<br>against all encody and an and an anti-an attinuary for a classification of the<br>against all encody 14885-2016. Association and a state of the<br>accurate VL testavete Generation Instance Signification and<br>Number 400, 14885-2016.   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-        | According to Konstalet* and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility down, vaccinar avia,<br>Incol & low of the the UV-susceptibility for the cost UV-cost to degrade the<br>bacterioptage Vac all to be a low cost of the table to the effects against enveloped<br>bacterioptage Vac all to be a low cost of vacuum variants for a low cost for degrade the<br>bacterioptage Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum<br>vaccina varia. Entrady variants (e.g. NRS-CoV, SAK-CoV+1 and SAK-CoV-2)<br>againstige to DOS/N14685-2018.<br>* sounds for UNKNOMMENDS. SUBJECt & TCONPLORY / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007<br>* Waiter and G. MONGMENDS. SUBJECt & TCONPLORY / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007  | (base 10)<br>According to Koneldski' and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for betteriorphage<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility for the energy of the susceptibility for<br>the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility<br>applies at the energy of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the suscepti   
  | (base 10)<br>According to Konetiski* and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for besterioriphage<br>(base) as the indexteribility for the energy of the service to degrade the<br>bests; the indexter deficiency of the tested Mode efficace grading developed<br>variable with the UV-susceptibility of the energy of the service to degrade the<br>bests; the indexter deficiency define tested Mode efficace grading developed<br>variable with the energy of the service of the service to degrade the<br>degrade till enveloped virtuals (e.g., HEBS-CoV, SASE-CoV, and SASE-COV-2)<br>according to SOSE (HBBS-200)<br>* second W. Utraviete Generalized Service a tricologic / Vol. 41, No. 15, 2027<br>* Walker and G. Wilkowskink, Statisfica * Ecologic/CoV / Vol. 41, No. 15, 2027   | Log-relations<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Lo  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimmar to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g., NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2016<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instandon bacteriophage 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused instandon Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts intriused and Vaccion 1 and Sense<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts and sense interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts affactory (Vol. 41, IRO. 15, 2007  | Log-reduction 0.9.9 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>(KS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>NS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>hence, the indicated affactor tasks similar to the effects against enveloped<br>vaccina virus webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SMS-CoV-1 and SMS-CoV-2)<br>according to DSYN 1485-2016.<br>* social virus UN-subject Interface and Interface 2017<br>* webged virus (RSNMONENDS, EXERCISE 1170-001.007 / VOL-41, INC 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Lip-preduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Performance           According to constal* and Wattern the UV-susceptibility or track-includes<br>RSL is uncertainty and the UV-susceptibility of the environment of the susceptibility of the environment<br>RSL is uncertainty of the track of the track of the track of the environment of the environment<br>biotecleropage Pack and the attract of the track of the
environment of the environment<br>vaccine and the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>vaccine and the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>vaccine and the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environment<br>of the environment of the environment of the environment of the environ  | Relation, % 0.97 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the Uv-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but instance effects of the test enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>hetter, but instance effects of the test wind allows capital test<br>instance of the test of the test wind allows capital test of the<br>suscent of the DSP 1485 2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale (c.g. MRS-Cov) East SASE-CovI-28<br>ascenting to DSP11485.2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale for the inveloped on test of the test of te   | Relation, %         Given Put         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UV-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           According to Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science     <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Sup  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Sup  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line           Restruction, %         Big/% # Big/%         Super-Line         Super-Line           Log-reduction         0.9 % 0.24         1.93 % 0.47         2.9 % 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Super-Line         Super-Line           MS2 is lower than the UV-super-pholicity for bacteriophage         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Moder of Micro-Super-Line        
Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Super-Line           Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Micro-Super-Line         Super-Line         Sup   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, % 0.97 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the Uv-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetter, but instance effects of the test enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>hetter, but instance effects of the test wind allows capital test<br>instance of the test of the test wind allows capital test of the<br>suscent of the DSP 1485 2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale (c.g. MRS-Cov) East SASE-CovI-28<br>ascenting to DSP11485.2018.<br>* sometal the inveloped virsale for the inveloped on test of the test of te  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         Given Put         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UV-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           According to Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science     <  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of   | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  | Realization, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>realistic strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped-<br>sequence strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped<br>second strength of the enveloped realistic strength of the enveloped real strength of the enveloped realistic strength of  | Relation, %         Given Put         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UV-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           According to Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science     <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         Given Put         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UV-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           According to Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science     <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, % 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetrod, the instance effectory of the teleform of the enveloped virsa, the adjust teleform<br>bacteriological set of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped v  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         Given Put         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UV-susceptibility for the memologic virus, to adjust the intervence         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Heads         Mark Televence         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           According to Science         Science         Science         Science         Science           Science         Science         Science         Science         Science         Science     <  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/h ± th/h         99/h ± 2.9-in         2.9-in           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaski* and Weitert Ibau Vo-succeptibility for bacteriophage         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         2.9 ± 0.71         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425         1.90 ± 0.47           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h ± th/h         99/h ± 2.9-in         2.9-in           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaski* and Weitert Ibau Vo-succeptibility for bacteriophage         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         2.9 ± 0.71         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425         1.90 ± 0.47           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/H ± BHs         B/H ± L = 10           Coll reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         5.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Coll reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Walkert Bis         1.04 carectepibility for bacteriophage         1.06 carectepibility for bacteriophage           Ki21 is lower tame but -susceptibility in a forther convertinged virus, vaccine average the bacteriophage tame but -susceptibility wirus and tame but -susceptibility wirus and tame bacteriophage. Susce average the MCSO UV-Coll code: to degrad the bacteriophage and tame bacteriophage average average average average the bacteriophage average a  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h ± th/h         99/h ± 2.9-in         2.9-in           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaski* and Weitert Ibau Vo-succeptibility for bacteriophage         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         2.9 ± 0.71         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.90 ± 0.47         1.90 ± 0.425         1.90 ± 0.47           Kitz Link         Big/h = 2.9-in         Big/h = 2.9-in         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.91 ± 0.425         1.91 ± 0.415         1.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | No.         Theor         Description         Description         Description         Point a 2-bit March         Poin   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | as and in Oper-Bockmann 1 hours 2 hours<br>Decasion, we have a set of the set of the operation of the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | as and in Oper-Bockmann 1 hours 2 hours<br>Decasion, we have a set of the set of the operation of the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $0_{10}$ and $m_{10}$ the theorem is a lower in the second secon   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $a_1$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W     80% ± 20%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     90% ± 2.3%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     0.97 ± 0.24     1.91 ± 0.47       According to somewate*     40 Wolker THe UV-susceptibility for backworks with the somewater that the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped matching of the test of the tes  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $a_1$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W     80% ± 20%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     90% ± 2.3%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     0.97 ± 0.24     1.91 ± 0.47       According to somewate*     40 Wolker THe UV-susceptibility for backworks with the somewater that the UV-susceptibility for the average of the table top-somewater that the UV-susceptibility for the average of the table top-somewater the backworks with the UV-susceptibility for the average of the table top-somewater the backworks with a some some somewater the backworks with a some some somewater top-somewater top-somewateret top-somewater top-somewateret top-some   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/lk ± 8%         99% ± £.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Color-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalast* and Walkert the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage Medices to degrade and the Unit acceleration of the Unit accelerat  
   
  | Product attrivue         Big/h ± Big/h         99/h ± 2.3*n         2.3*n           Lig-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Kig-reduction         0.57 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Walkert Big Vousscrephbility for bacteriophage Mices         1.06 ± 0.05   
   
   | Product attribution         Big/lk ± Big/lk \pm Big/l   
   
  | Product attribution         Big/lk ± Big/lk \pm Big/l  
   
   | Product attribution         Big/lk ± Big/lk \pm Big/l   
   
  | In and indeficient in the set of   | In and indeficient in the set of   | In and indeficient in the set of   | In and indeficient in the set of  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0 | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ±
0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ±
0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.07 ± 0.0  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0   | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0  | Noted on the measured instruction efficiency of the MALCOLARE body<br>media in log-rescultance are excluded and the matching of the matching<br><b>Product strubution 1 hour 2 hours</b><br><b>Bary Construction 9</b> (99% ± 2.3%) <b>9</b> (9.± 0.5%)<br><b>Construction 0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47) <b>2</b> , 9.± 0.7(1.15%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.47%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 (1.93 ± 0.16%)<br><b>Construction 1</b> , 0.97 ± 0.24 ± 0.15 ± 0.07 ± 0.0   
   
   
   
   
   
   | In and indeficient in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and inspectimentations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ ,   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and inspectimentations 1 hour 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ ,  
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, % point ± 2 Januar<br>Lower-existing is a second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophage Mices to degrade and the Unsucceptibility for the methods difficult of the Start Bits and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophage Mices to degrade and bacteriophage Mices and Bits and Start Start Bits difficult of the Start Bits and Start Bits  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalduit* and Waterer the UM-susceptibility for backer/onlyang         Science         Science           Sig is lower than the UM-conceptibility for the memolode Virsa, to adjust the theory of the science of th  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(see 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalasit* and Water the W-susceptibility for the advertisibility<br>(see 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Lop-perform         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.37         Control           According to isocalastic and Walkert the UV-susceptibility or isocalized program with the control of the sensitive of the sensit sensitinte sensitive of the sensitinte sensitive of the sensiti  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>According to Konvalski* and Weiter't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Kozi Is low the two HV-susceptibility or bacteriophage<br>Hence, the indicated efficacy back grimmar to the efficacy against enders to degrade the<br>bacteriophage Hyper and Head Similar to the efficacy against enders<br>with a strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom<br>strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom strandom<br>sconding to DSR1 (H4855-2001, SASS-Conv1 and SARS-Conv2)<br>according to DSR1 (H4855-2001, SASS-Conv1 and SARS-Conv2)<br>Hyper and Roy (MMONINUTA, SCIENCE & HCLINGLOOV / VOL.41, ING. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Horse, the indicated affactor plast similar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing unit of the HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing to DS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing to DS2 and against vaccing vaccing to HS35 Coll - and SA65 Coll - 2<br>according to DS261 H4855 2016<br>* sounds virus/sete Gemeals animum handbox/. Springer 2017<br>* Walker and Ge. WARDANDERS, EXERCIS & TCLINGLOP ( VOL 41, INC 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>Horse, the indicated affactor plast similar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing unit of the HS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing to DS2 and against vaccious virus alloss for a coll effactor<br>vaccing to DS2 and against vaccing vaccing to HS35 Coll - and SA65 Coll - 2<br>according to DS261 H4855 2016<br>* sounds virus/sete Gemeals animum handbox/. Springer 2017<br>* Walker and Ge. WARDANDERS, EXERCIS & TCLINGLOP ( VOL 41, INC 15, 2007   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimmar to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g., NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2016<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instandon bacteriophage 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused instandon Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts intriused and Vaccion 1 and Sense<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts and sense interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts affactory (Vol. 41, IRO. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-tellocari<br>(Jase 10).<br>Recording to Kowelski <sup>®</sup> and Waltert the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MCS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the everyped virus, vaccinguides the<br>telence, the indicates of the based MCS30 UV-Ceoker an everyped<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the effects of solar developed<br>bacteriophage/SCS will be at tests similar to the SCS CoV-test of SCS CoV-<br>scale SCS (SCS) (SC  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation<br>(base 10).<br>(base 10).<br>(bas  
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to Konstalet' and Watter' the UV-susceptibility for usy sectors avail.<br>Next Is have them the UV-susceptibility for the regold V/C double to deprive the<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads to the efficact against enveloped<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads with values for a fault for each order<br>values avail. Entrady variants (e.g. NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>againstight to DSARS (14685-2018).<br>* second the utersate Generation Includes: Signate 2018<br>* Watter and G. Mindonethol. Statistics & TCOR0LOPY (VOL.41, IIO, 15, 2007)   
   
   
   
   
   
  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-  | Hence, the InduceS2 will be at least similar to the effects a dumin for efficiency<br>bacteriophysics. The second wind all second wind all second secon  |   |  
  |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   
   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | | |
   |   |  |  |  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |   |   |  
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |   |  |   |
| bacteriophologie carbon spanist vaccinia virus allows su v<br>vaccinia virus. Ericacy against vaccinia virus allows su v<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g., MRS-CoV-1and SARS-CoV-1<br>according to DS/RE1 14985-2018.<br>« Konalaki W. Utanukre Comissioal instalistica Handbook. Springer 2009<br>« Konalaki W. Utanukre Comissioal instalistica Handbook. Springer 2009<br>• Wake and K. EMPKOMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY / Vol. 41. NO. 15. 2007   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007  | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007  
   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007  
   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007  | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for
enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007   
   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tester e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-<br>coverable Vacational enclosed resulting in transmission for the enclosed<br>enclosed Vacational enclosed resulting and the enclosed of the<br>Values and KonforderR03. SEXING: a KCOR01.00V / VOL-41, IIO. 15, 2007   | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the second software and the<br>second transfer demonstration in transfer tested in Strategies and<br>a Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007<br>1 Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007  
  | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the
SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the second software and the<br>second transfer demonstration in transfer tested in Strategies and<br>a Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007<br>1 Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007  | No.1. Bioler Indirette efficacy of the tested MALsa andrexis against envisional<br>bacteriorape with 20 will be a less at main run assissor for examine for enclosed<br>vacation varies. Efficacy against e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>against all enclosed tested in the second software and the<br>second transfer demonstration in transfer tested in Strategies and<br>a Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007<br>1 Walker and G. Microsoften (SSIRSCe & ICOR01.007 / VOL-41, IIO, 15, 2007   
   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran allows for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector. 41, No. 15. 2027  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran allows for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector. 41, No. 15. 2027  
  | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   
   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   
   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   
  | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027  | KS1: Is lower indicate efficacy of the table MAIss efficace against enveloped<br>isotheringing by 2014 low et also all many ran atlances for examine for efficacy<br>vaccina vrate. Efficacy against e.g., NRS-CoV, 3 and SAS-CoV-2<br>against all efficient efficiency and the second second second<br>against efficiency and the second second second second second<br>second vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>of the second vector second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second second second<br>values and vulners for the second second vector vector 41, 80, 15, 2027   | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus and/rax spanse enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murra values for a could in for efficacy<br>vacation varie. Efficacy spanse is on NRS-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>spanse at all encycled Valles 2018. In SASS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2<br>ward with the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV<br>and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-COV and SARS-        | According to Konstalet* and Waiter: the UV-susceptibility down, vaccinar avia,<br>Incol & low of the the UV-susceptibility for the cost UV-cost to degrade the<br>bacterioptage Vac all to be a low cost of the table to the effects against enveloped<br>bacterioptage Vac all to be a low cost of vacuum variants for a low cost for degrade the<br>bacterioptage Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum Vacuum<br>vaccina varia. Entrady variants (e.g. NRS-CoV, SAK-CoV+1 and SAK-CoV-2)<br>againstige to DOS/N14685-2018.<br>* sounds for UNKNOMMENDS. SUBJECt & TCONPLORY / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007<br>* Waiter and G. MONGMENDS. SUBJECt & TCONPLORY / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007  | (base 10)<br>According to Koneldski' and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for betteriorphage<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility for the energy of the susceptibility for<br>the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) as the index of the susceptibility of the energy of the susceptibility<br>(base) and the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility<br>applies at the energy of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of
the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the susceptibility of the suscepti  | (base 10)<br>According to Konetiski* and Waiter't the UV-susceptibility for besterioriphage<br>(base) as the indexteribility for the energy of the service to degrade the<br>bester, in the indexter deficient of the tester than the effect of the service to degrade the<br>tester, includes deficient of the tester definition of the service to degrade the<br>second in ourse, effect of the service tester definition of the<br>application of the service definition of the service test of the<br>second in ourse, effect 4488-2004. Second 54, 1988-54, 2004. Second 54, 2004.<br>* second with UKINGHEMIA, Statisfica & IEGENELLOP (VIC), 41, No. 15, 2007  | Log-relations<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Losse 10).<br>(Lo  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimmar to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g., NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2016<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instandon bacteriophage 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused instandon Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts intriused and Vaccion 1 and Sense<br>* Wasker and Generals intriused interacts interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts and sense interaction Sense 2019<br>* Wasker and Generals interacts affactory (Vol. 41, IRO. 15, 2007  | Log-reduction 0.9.9 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walkert the UV-susceptibility for becteriophage<br>(KS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>NS2) Is lower than the UV-susceptibility of the enveloped virus, vaccina virus,<br>hence, the indicated affactor tasks similar to the effects against enveloped<br>vaccina virus webged virus (e.g. NRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DSYN 14885-2016. SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DSYN 14885-2016. SASS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>* social virus UN-stander Demosible Instances. Springer 2019<br>* Walker and Roy, MOMANDENS, EXERCISE & TCLINGLOP ( VOL-41, INC 15, 2027   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
                                  | Lip-preduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.07         Description           According to constatial* and Wolkern the UV-susceptibility or track-includence<br>NCL is used to the UV-susceptibility of the environment of the environment<br>NCL is used to the environment of the track of the track of the environment<br>bacteriorage Rev2 will be at less of used to use will bacteriorage Rev2 will be at less of used to variable the<br>bacteriorage Rev2 will be at less of used to variable of the call for efficience<br>vacation varia. Efficience years (e.g., NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>against at 0.024 (H485-2016).           * worked to used to use the call to the track of the service XRR<br>* worked to used to the track of the track of the service XRR<br>* worked to used to the track of the track of the service XRR<br>* worked to used to the track of the track of the service XRR<br>* worked to the track of the track of the track of the service XRR<br>* worked to the track of t  | Relation, % 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetrod, the instance effectory of the teleform of the enveloped virsa, the adjust teleform<br>bacteriological set of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped v   | Relation, %         Given the         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeringhage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service of the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the eveloped virtual (e.g., MRSE-Gui, and SKRS-Cui-1 and SKRS-Cui-2 and SKRS-C  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Superconduction           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage         Monosci and the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and the stretching and the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Superconduction           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage         Monosci and the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and the stretching and the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and t   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, %         Big/% # Big/%         Superconduction           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konsidal* and Walker the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage         Monosci and the UV-susceptibility for backetiophage           MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and the stretching and the UV-susceptibility for the stretching and t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, % 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetrod, the instance effectory of the teleform of the enveloped virsa, the adjust teleform<br>bacteriological set of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped v  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         Given the         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeringhage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service of the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the eveloped virtual (e.g., MRSE-Gui, and SKRS-Cui-1 and SKRS-Cui-2 and SKRS-C  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Realization, where the U-susceptibility for bedreficible of the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least
similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term  | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprance the<br>terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the terms of the<br>space of the terms of terms of terms of terms of the terms of term   | Reactions, w 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(best 10)<br>According to Konaldak <sup>4</sup> and Weitert the UV-susceptibility for bedeteriophage<br>RS2 is lower faunt the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped requires the<br>Hetter, brance MS2 will be at least similar to the weiter of capitor to exprand the<br>second of the test of the enveloped requires the enveloped<br>regional weiter of the enveloped region of the enveloped region of the<br>second weiter of the enveloped region of the enveloped region of the enveloped<br>regional weiter of the enveloped region of the enveloped region of the<br>second weiter of the enveloped region the enveloped region of the enveloped<br>in while and 60, without the stratege as tracked weiter of the enveloped region of the<br>table and 60, without the enveloped region of the enveloped region of the<br>second weiter of the enveloped region the enveloped region of the e   | Relation, %         Given the         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeringhage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service of the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the eveloped virtual (e.g., MRSE-Gui, and SKRS-Cui-1 and SKRS-Cui-2 and SKRS-C   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         Given the         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeringhage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service of the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the eveloped virtual (e.g., MRSE-Gui, and SKRS-Cui-1 and SKRS-Cui-2 and SKRS-C   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, % 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>Log-reduction 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Kowakala* and Waker the UV-susceptibility for backeriolyage<br>MS2 is low of bank the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virsa, to adjust the<br>Hetrod, the instance effectory of the teleform of the enveloped virsa, the adjust teleform<br>bacteriological set of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the enveloped virsa in the MS2 of the enveloped virsa in the enveloped v  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         Given the         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Ling-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalasity and Waters the UN-susceptibility for backeringhage         Science         Science           Kitz I lower than the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the service of the service that the UN-susceptibility for the average that the eveloped virtual (e.g., MRSE-Gui, and SKRS-Cui-1 and SKRS-Cui-2 and SKRS-C  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/h ± this         99 h ± 2 h · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h ± this         99 h ± 2 h · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Big/H ± BHs         B/9H ± Z=X <sup>3</sup> Z ≥ Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z = Z =  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h ± this         99 h ± 2 h · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | No.         Theor         Description         Description         Description         Point a 2-bit March         Poin   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | as and in Oper-Bockmann 1 hours 2 hours<br>Decasion, we have a set of the set of the operation of the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | w and in Operticities in the set of the s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $a_{0}$ and $m_{0}$ (bit the lattice)     1 heart     2 hearts       Declarizion, $m_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ Light enducing $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ $g_{0}g_{0}$ According to formatel* and Noter: The UV-susceptibility for backetopility and the set of t  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $q_{0}$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ User-encludin $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ User-encludin $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ $g(y_{0}, \pm y_{0})$ According to soundaria' and Wolker't the UV-susceptibility for back-soundaria waits.       KS1 is low indicated efficacy of the tested MAIs backeting and waits.       Matching and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting and the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.       Matching and Wolker and Wolker and Wolker's the UV-susceptibility for backeting waits.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $a_1$ and in top-tension     1 hear     2 hears       Production, W     80% ± 20%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     90% ± 2.3%     99% ± 2.5%       User-endedim     0.97 ± 0.24     1.91 ± 0.47       According to somewate*     40 Worker: The UV-susceptibility for backworker with the somewater with the uncompared transmitted of the terretified for the enveloped mark to the enveloped mark tothe enveloped mark to the enveloped mark to the envelope   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/lk ± 8%         99% ± £.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Color-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalast* and Walkert the Un-susceptibility for bacteriophage Medices to degrade and the Unit acceleration of the Unit accelerat  
   
   
  | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophaye<br>Hotcls, the Indicated affactors tables similar to the efficience against ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs and the<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>socied wind to MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>wind with with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced with MS-20% MS-20% agai   
   
   | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophaye<br>Hotcls, the Indicated affactors tables similar to the efficience against ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs and the<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>socied wind to MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>wind with with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced with MS-20% MS-20% agai  
   
  | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophaye<br>Hotcls, the Indicated affactors tables similar to the efficience against ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs and the<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>bacteriophaye MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>vacced wind the MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>socied wind to MS-20% against Vacced wins alloss for a chairs ender<br>wind with with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with the MS-20% against Vacced wind backbox/Segmer and<br>with all the MS-20% against Vacced with MS-20% MS-20% agai   
   
   | Production         Big/h # 89h         99h # £.3%         2.9 ± 0.71           Kardotton, **         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Kardotton, **         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalastir and Walkert the Un-susceptibility for bacterilophaye<br>Hotcle to improve the Unit of the Unit of the Interview of the Unit of the Unitof the Unit of the Unit o   
   
  | h and integrited the set of th   | h and integrited the set of th   | h and integrited the set of th   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{9$ | Back do the maximum (instructions officiency of the MALSOL, size begins<br>instruct to the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officiency of the MALSOL, size begins<br>instructions are calculated as a first officiency of the MALSOL and t   | Back do the maximum (instructions officiency of the MALSOL, size begins<br>instruct to the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officiency of the MALSOL, size begins<br>instructions are calculated as a first officiency of the MALSOL and t  | Back do the maximum (instructions officiency of the MALSOL, size begins<br>instruct to the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first officient of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions)). A submittional as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Single as a first of the maximum (instructions) are calculated as a first of the maximum (instructions). Sin   
                    | Back of the maximum (instruction efficiency of the MALDA, table boord<br>instant in log-metacols are calculated as $2$ <b>boars</b><br><b>Production</b> ( <b>board attribution</b> ) <b>1</b> boars<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restructions</b> ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>and</b> ( <b>constant</b> )<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.5%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> , 99 ± 0.25%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> ,   | Back of the maximum (instruction efficiency of the MALDA, table boord<br>instant in log-metacols are calculated as $2$ <b>boars</b><br><b>Production</b> ( <b>board attribution</b> ) <b>1</b> boars<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restructions</b> ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>and</b> ( <b>constant</b> )<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.5%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> , 99 ± 0.25%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> ,   | Back of the maximum (instruction efficiency of the MALDA, table boord<br>instant in log-metacols are calculated as $2$ <b>boars</b><br><b>Production</b> ( <b>board attribution</b> ) <b>1</b> boars<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restructions</b> ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>and</b> ( <b>constant</b> )<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.5%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> , 99 ± 0.25%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> ,  
   | Back of the maximum (instruction efficiency of the MALDA, table boord<br>instant in log-metacols are calculated as $2$ <b>boars</b><br><b>Production</b> ( <b>board attribution</b> ) <b>1</b> boars<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restructions</b> ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>and</b> ( <b>constant</b> )<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.5%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> , 99 ± 0.25%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> ,   | Back of the maximum (instruction efficiency of the MALDA, table boord<br>instant in log-metacols are calculated as $2$ <b>boars</b><br><b>Production</b> ( <b>board attribution</b> ) <b>1</b> boars<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restructions</b> ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>and</b> ( <b>constant</b> )<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.5%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>0</b> , 97 ± 0.24 ( <b>constant</b> ) <b>2</b> , 98 ± 0.7%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> , 99 ± 0.25%<br><b>Reaction</b> , <b>restruction</b> ) <b>1</b> ,   
   
   
   
   
   
  | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{99/4}$ ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.9 m <sup>3</sup> (2.9 ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>(base distribution) $\frac{1}{9}$ (0.97 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47) $\frac{1}{2.9}$ ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>According to somehasit <sup>4</sup> and Watker: the UN-susceptibility for backworks with<br>Not 1.0 m <sup>3</sup> (1.6 m <sup>3</sup> ) (1  
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{99/4}$ ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.9 m <sup>3</sup> (2.9 ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>(base distribution) $\frac{1}{9}$ (0.97 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47) $\frac{1}{2.9}$ ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>According to somehasit <sup>4</sup> and Watker: the UN-susceptibility for backworks with<br>Not 1.0 m <sup>3</sup> (1.6 m <sup>3</sup> ) (1   
   
   
   
   
   
  | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{99/4}$ ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.9 m <sup>3</sup> (2.9 ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>(base distribution) $\frac{1}{9}$ (0.97 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47) $\frac{1}{2.9}$ ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>According to somehasit <sup>4</sup> and Watker: the UN-susceptibility for backworks with<br>Not 1.0 m <sup>3</sup> (1.6 m <sup>3</sup> ) (1  
   
   
   
   
   
   | h and in the thermation is a lower 2 hours<br>Production, $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{9}$ (bottom) $\frac{1}{99/4}$ ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.3 m <sup>3</sup> (99/4) ± 2.9 m <sup>3</sup> (2.9 ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>(base distribution) $\frac{1}{9}$ (0.97 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47) $\frac{1}{2.9}$ ± 0.7 m <sup>3</sup> )<br>According to somehasit <sup>4</sup> and Watker: the UN-susceptibility for backworks with<br>Not 1.0 m <sup>3</sup> (1.6 m <sup>3</sup> ) (1   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Big/h # 19%         99% # 2.9%         2.9%         2.9%           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Clog-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Acccording to Konalski* and Weitert Bits Unsucceptibility for bacteriophage Mices to degrade and the Unsucceptibility for the methods
deficiency and the Mices Output devices to degrade and bacteriophage Mices Output devices to degrade and bacteriophage Mices Mices Output devices to degrade and the Mices Output devices to   
   
   
   
   
  | Reaction, %         Given Pair           Log-reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski' and Water the Ur-susceptibility for backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Kowalski's and Water the Ur-susceptibility for the average of the backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Marcording to Kowalski's and Water the Ur-susceptibility for the average of the backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Marcording to Kowalski's and Water the Ur-susceptibility for the average of the backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Marcording to Kowalski's and Water the Ur-susceptibility for the average of the backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Marcording to Kowalski's average of the backrolynape         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07           Marcording the Cover average of the backrolynape         1.00
± 0.07         1.00 ± 0.07 <td< td=""><td>Reaction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br/>(sate 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski' and Water the UN-susceptibility for backer/onlyade<br/>(S2 to lower than the UN-conceptibility for the memolode virus, to acquire the<br/>backer/onlyade (S2 will be at least similar to the efficience a claim for efficience<br/>virus and the enveloped virus (Leg ANSE-Cov). and SASE-CovI-21<br/>according to DSPN 1485-2018.           * constati &amp; Enveloped virus(Leg ANSE-CovI-2008 CovI-21)<br/>according to DSPN 1485-2018.         * ANSE-CovI-2008 CovI-21<br/>according to DSPN 1485-2018.           * water W. Unraviet Common Instandor. Signer 2019         * Water and California (Leg ANSE-CovI-2007 / VICL-41, IRO. 15, 2027)</td><td>Log-production         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Performance           According to Konstak* and WolkerT He UV-susceptibility or Inscription and the UV-susceptibility of the environment of the service of the trade of the trade</td><td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br/>(lose 10)<br/>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br/>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br/>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br/>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE &amp; TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007</td><td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br/>(lose 10)<br/>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br/>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br/>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br/>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE &amp; TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007</td><td>Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br/>(lose 10)<br/>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br/>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br/>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br/>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br/>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE &amp; TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007</td><td>Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br/>(loges 10)<br/>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br/>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimater to the effactor against ender<br/>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br/>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g. NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br/>according to DS2N 14485-2018.<br/>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instances intrius/sense<br/>* Wasteria disk (MIXMOMENDA, SCIENCE &amp; TCLINGLOOF / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007</td><td>Log-relation (Jose 10) (Jo</td><td>Log-relation (Jose 10) (Jo</td><td>According to Konstalet' and Watter' the UV-susceptibility for usy sectors avail.<br/>Next Is have them the UV-susceptibility for the regold V/C double to deprive the<br/>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads to the efficact against enveloped<br/>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads with values for a fault for each order<br/>values avail. Entrady variants (e.g. NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>againstige to DSOR 14685-2018.<br/>* sounds the Utravised Cemical Intelaction Intelaction. Springer 2018<br/>* Walker and G. Mindonellow. Statistics &amp; HCLINGLOOP / VOL-41, HOL-15, 2007</td><td>NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus calcular spant enveloped<br/>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murrar values for a could inform fundary<br/>vacation varies. Efficacy spatial could be a subscription of the start of the spant at all encoders of the spant at all encoders of the spatial could be subscription. A subscription of the start of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscripting be subscription. Spatial could be subscripting be su</td><td>Hence, the molecular will be at least similar to the effects a durin for efficiency<br/>bacteriophical formation against variable wina ablance and the set of the set of</td></td<>  
   
   
   
   
   | Reaction, %         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction<br>(sate 10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Kowalski' and Water the UN-susceptibility for backer/onlyade<br>(S2 to lower than the UN-conceptibility for the memolode virus, to acquire the<br>backer/onlyade (S2 will be at least similar to the efficience a claim for efficience<br>virus and the enveloped virus (Leg ANSE-Cov). and SASE-CovI-21<br>according to DSPN 1485-2018.           * constati & Enveloped virus(Leg ANSE-CovI-2008 CovI-21)<br>according to DSPN 1485-2018.         * ANSE-CovI-2008 CovI-21<br>according to DSPN 1485-2018.           * water W. Unraviet Common Instandor. Signer 2019         * Water and California (Leg ANSE-CovI-2007 / VICL-41, IRO. 15, 2027)   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-production         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Performance           According to Konstak* and WolkerT He UV-susceptibility or Inscription and the UV-susceptibility of the environment of the service of the trade   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE & TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE & TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(lose 10)<br>According to Konalski* and Walker't the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>KD2 to love than the UV-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>Honce, the indicated affactor plants imitar to the effactor against enders the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a collection of degrade the<br>bacteriophage HS2 and against vaccount wins allows for a coll effactor<br>vaccount withore/back grinters (e.g. HRS5-CoV, SASS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS2R144855-2016.<br>* soundar W. Utrovated Cempolar Instance Instance. Springer<br>* Walker and G. WINNORMENDS, EXERCISE & TCLINGLOP ( VOL.41, INC. 15, 2007   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-relution 0.9.7 × 0.4-1<br>(loges 10)<br>Acccording to Konstaki* and Waster't the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>KC21 is lower tunne but-susceptibility of bacteriophage<br>Hostics, the indicated affactory fasts grimater to the effactor against ender<br>bacteriophage MS2 and against Vaccious virus alloss for a NaSE-Con-1 and Sense<br>Vaccious virus enderside (e.g. NRSE-Con, SauS-Con-1 and Sense-Con-2)<br>according to DS2N 14485-2018.<br>* constati virus/sele Gemicals intriused instances intrius/sense<br>* Wasteria disk (MIXMOMENDA, SCIENCE & TCLINGLOOF / VOL-41, INO. 15, 2007   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation (Jose 10) (Jo  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-relation (Jose 10) (Jo  
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to Konstalet' and Watter' the UV-susceptibility for usy sectors avail.<br>Next Is have them the UV-susceptibility for the regold V/C double to deprive the<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads to the efficact against enveloped<br>bacteriopage April 2014 the set leads with values for a fault for each order<br>values avail. Entrady variants (e.g. NERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>againstige to DSOR 14685-2018.<br>* sounds the Utravised Cemical Intelaction Intelaction. Springer 2018<br>* Walker and G. Mindonellow. Statistics & HCLINGLOOP / VOL-41, HOL-15, 2007   
   
   
   
   
   
  | NS2.1 Nove indicate difficury of the table MANus calcular spant enveloped<br>subchronipage Vall III be a last and murrar values for a could inform fundary<br>vacation varies. Efficacy spatial could be a subscription of the start of the spant at all encoders of the spant at all encoders of the spatial could be subscription. A subscription of the start of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscription of the spatial could be subscription. Spatial could be subscripting be subscription. Spatial could be subscripting be su  | Hence, the molecular will be at least similar to the effects a durin for efficiency<br>bacteriophical formation against variable wina ablance and the set of  |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  
  |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |   |  |   |
| bacterophane (Tricary equinit vaccine) wires allowed and the second seco  | No2 a forein indicate efficacy of the tested MACS00 Incompanies enveloped<br>backet, high Wall we at less that man for how for to a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visues (e.g. April 56 Con SARS-Con-1 and SARS-Con-2)<br>according to DS(PM 1488-S2018.<br>• waalwit with understeel claimonia histophica, Springer 2019<br>• waker and No, BWINDINEERING PERSON (VICL 4), Io. 15, 2007<br>• Waker and No, BWINDINEERING PERSON (VICL 4), Io. 15, 2007<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report on . 933322.   | No2 a forein indicate efficacy of the tested MACS00 Incompanies envisioned<br>testing, this way will be at less that many the top the set of call for effective<br>significant environment of the Sector Sector Sector and Sector 2014<br>according to DS(M1 4885-2018).<br>* examative luminative cleaning and testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector 2019<br>* the sector   | No2 a lower indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Uracy spante envigoed<br>backbonk the Walk with a lower indication to ensure for a claim for efficiency<br>apartial elimentary of the tester indication of the second second second<br>according to DSCR1 4883-2018.<br>• weakles with every efficiency aparticle environment environment<br>in walker and iso, textended environment environ. Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.<br>• Walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.   | No2 a lower indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Uracy spante envigoed<br>backbonk the Walk with a lower indication to ensure for a claim for efficiency<br>apartial elimentary of the tester indication of the second second second<br>according to DSCR1 4883-2018.<br>• weakles with every efficiency aparticle environment environment<br>in walker and iso, textended environment environ. Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.<br>• Walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.   
   
   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   
  | No:a lower disense discary of the tested MALSO Vica-regard enveloped<br>barries, the lower discarding of the tested MALSO Vica-regard enveloped<br>barries that with the less test attrained to the wirk or a claim for effective<br>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. April 5CoV), SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>* water the Vicande Common Section National-System 2019<br>* Waker and Ro, RWMINIMERS, STIENCE & TCONFLOOR / VICL. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   
   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   
   | No:a lower disense discary of the tested MALSO Vica-regard enveloped<br>barries, the lower discarding of the tested MALSO Vica-regard enveloped<br>barries that with the less test attrained to the wirk or a claim for effective<br>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. April 5CoV), SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>* water the Vicande Common Section National-System 2019<br>* Waker and Ro, RWMINIMERS, STIENCE & TCONFLOOR / VICL. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the  
  | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br>barrier, the barrier of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | No:a lower disense discov of the tested MAX.Source spatial enviroped<br>barries, this way will be at less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>apparent at levels will be a less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2008.<br>* waters will be approximate termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write the termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write termination termination termination<br>* the sproger sproger sproger sproger 2009<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 931322.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicas's against enveloped<br>backs, the Way will be at less timinar to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Carlo, SARS-Carl-1 and SARS-Carl-2)<br>econdim to DSCR1 1483-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).   
  | No:a lower disense discov of the tested MAX.Source spatial enviroped<br>barries, this way will be at less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>apparent at levels will be a less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2008.<br>* waters will be approximate termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write the termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write termination termination termination<br>* the sproger sproger sproger sproger 2009<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 931322.   
   | No:a lower disense discov of the tested MAX.Source spatial enviroped<br>barries, this way will be at less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>apparent at levels will be a less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2008.<br>* waters will be approximate termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write the termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write termination termination termination<br>* the sproger sproger sproger sproger 2009<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 931322.   | No:a lower disense discov of the tested MAX.Source spatial enviroped<br>barries, this way will be at less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>apparent at levels will be a less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2008.<br>* waters will be approximate termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write the termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write termination termination termination<br>* the sproger sproger sproger sproger 2009<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 931322.   
   | No.2 is lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.SUB victors against enveloped<br>backs, the set with the eff sets affiniar to here for a column for efficiency<br>against elementary victorial victorial victor and the set of a column for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate and<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water and R0, BWR/MONTERS, STERICE a TECHNOLOGY / VICL. 1, 16, 2027<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report on. 933322.  | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicas's against enveloped<br>backs, the Way will be at less timinar to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Carlo, SARS-Carl-1 and SARS-Carl-2)<br>econdim to DSCR1 1483-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).  
  | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicas's against enveloped<br>backs, the Way will be at less timinar to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the work or a claim for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Carlo, SARS-Carl-1 and SARS-Carl-2)<br>econdim to DSCR1 1483-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTIN, STERICE & TCHROLOGY (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).   | No.2 is lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.SUB victors against enveloped<br>backs, the set with the eff sets affiniar to here for a column for efficiency<br>against elementary victorial victorial victor and the set of a column for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate and<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water with universe termination handwide signate 2019<br>* water and R0, BWR/MONTERS, STERICE a TECHNOLOGY / VICL. 1, 16, 2027<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report on. 933322.  | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br>barrier, the barrier of the second   | According to kowalek <sup>14</sup> and Wailert the UV-susceptibility from average and the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped conversional value.<br>NOS is low disclosed efficacy of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested to degrade the tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested mACSO low conversion average tested wards of the tested macso low conversion average tested wards of the tested macso low conversion average tested macso low conversion average tested macso low conversion average tested to the tested test.   | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki* and Wasterr! the UP-susceptibility for betterioriphage<br>Mo2 is hower than the UP-susceptibility for MACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority, the indicated efficient of the susceptibility for WACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority and the subscriptibility for MACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority and the subscriptibility for MACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority and the subscriptibility for MACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority for MACSON and the subscriptibility for an effect of the<br>subscriptibility for MACSON and the subscriptibility for an effect of the<br>* waker and subscriptibility. Scriptic & * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *  | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki* and Walker't the UP-according to the betteriorphage<br>Mo2 in lower than the UP-according to the enveloped yrun, vaccinia virus,<br>thereit, be indicated effician of the according of the according to the<br>bacteriorphage MO2
will be according to the efficiency applies developed<br>with an annual efficiency of the According to the efficiency applies of the<br>according to MO2 will be according to the efficiency applies of the According to<br>second with uncertained viruses (e.g. Applies Cold, SARS-Cold, 20)<br>* waker and is, BNMROMENTA, SCIENCE & ICORAD, (OV / Vol. 41, BO. 15, 2007)<br>The full iteding procedures are presented in report no. 933322.  | Log-etoissen<br>(Losse 10)<br>Losse 10)<br>Losse 10, Losse 10,  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.41<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelasti* and Vasear the UP-susceptibility for backeninghape<br>Mi2s I also with the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccina the<br>Hence, the most back and the set least similar to the effective of the set<br>sectors and the UP-susceptibility of the enveloped virus, vaccina<br>the enveloped virus of the effective of the enveloped<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the effective of the enveloped<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the effective of the<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the effective of the<br>according to DS2 will be enveloped virus of 200, SAR-Co-CO-1 and SARS-CO-272<br>according to DS2 will be enveloped with accord site.<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped with the accord site of the enveloped virus of the<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped with the accord site.<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped with the accord site of the enveloped virus of the<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped with the accord site of the enveloped virus of the<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped with the accord site of the enveloped virus of the enveloped virus of the<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped virus of the enveloped virus of the enveloped virus of the enveloped virus of the<br>* according to DS2 will be enveloped virus of the enveloped virus   | Log-reduction         0.9 × 0.44           Closef 10)         0.9 × 0.44           Maccording to Koneskal* and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper         Maccording to Koneskal*           Maccording to Koneskal* and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper         Maccording to Koneskal*           Maccording to Koneskal*         and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper           Maccording to Koneskal*         and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper           Maccording to Social Science and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper         Maccording to Social Science and Water Social Science and Science Conv2           According to Social Convention the Science & REGINCON* (VGC-41, No. 15, 2007         Maccording to Social Science and Respective Action Science and Sciene and Science and Science and Sciene and Sciene and Sci  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Ligh-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Cat-<br>condition           According to consultative and wather: the UN-susceptibility for bacteriphage<br>MS is lower than the UN-susceptibility for the enveloped time, we considered<br>the UN-susceptibility for the enveloped time, we considered<br>to the UN-susceptibility for the enveloped time of the UN-susceptibility<br>susceptibility of UN-susceptibility for the enveloped time<br>time of the UN-susceptibility of the test of UN-susceptibility for the<br>susceptibility of UN-susceptibility of UN-susceptibility of UN-susceptibility<br>results at all enveloped times (e.g. AR-MS-Colub.582-Colub.1 and SARS-Colu-2)<br>according to DS/EH 14885/2018.           • smallski uniterated terminal and the test of UN-susceptibility o  | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second   | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.9 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber effection) 0.77 ± 0.2 1.9 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows
for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DS(FM 1485-2018).<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10% 0.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10% 0.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10%
0.2\% 10% 0.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.9 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber effection) 0.77 ± 0.2 1.9 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DS(FM 1485-2018).<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of
the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms of the U-susceptibility for bettering/magnetic terms, the indicated efficient of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the uncertainty of the U-susceptibility for terms of the U-susceptibility for the U-susceptibility f  | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade
the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Byth  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Bit M         Bit M <td>Product attribution         Byth         Byth</td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math>s_{0}</math> and in top-tencine 1 heart 2 hearts<br/>Freduct at the product <math>s_{0}</math> is a set of the product <math>s_{0}</math> is <math>s_{0}</math> i</td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in optimized employees the two product at the two product at two products at two produc</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in Stretuction 1 heart 2 heart 2</td> <td><math>\alpha_{0}</math> <math>\alpha_{0}</math>         &lt;</td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>Product attribution         Big % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%           Log = decision         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log = decision         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaki and Waker He Ut-sackregibility for the bacteriophage         Main and the sackregibility for the sackregibility for the bacteriophage           More Than the same acceptibility for the memory of the bacteriophage         Main and the back acceptibility for the sackregibility acceptibility acceptibility and the back acceptibility for the back at the back acceptibility for the back acceptibil</td> <td>Product attrivue         Boyle ± Biss         99% ± 2.3**           Lig-relation         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig-relation         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelation         4.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Kitz Signal Si</td> <td>Product attrivue         Byth         Byt</td> <td>Product attribution         Byte # Byte         Byte # L_2 = 0           Cardination        
0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           Control (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelladi * and Kulaert         Number 10 + Vascongebbility for beckering/haps           Marcine (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.71           Marcine (10)         0.95 ± 0.74         0.94 ± 0.72           Marcine (10)         0.95 ± 0.75</td> <td>Product attribution         Big Min ± Big         99 Min ± 23m           Lig = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10</td> <td>We and independent in the left of the second secon</td> <td>We and independent in the left of the second secon</td> <th>We and into the elements of the end of the end of the elements of the element</th> <th>We and independent the set of th</th> <th>Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not</th> <th>Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not</th> <td>Nade on the measured instruction officiency of the NALE table begin:<br/>Neglical in the measured instructions are classified and the second seco</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br/>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 ho</td> <td>Name on the measured instruction efficiency of the Nation table beam:<br/>Name of the preventions are calculated and the Nation table beam:<br/>Name of the National Name of the National Name of Na</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br/>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 ho</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br/>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br/>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br/>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br/>Note 1 hours 2 ho</td> <td>Name on the measured instruction efficiency of the Nation table beam:<br/>Name of the preventions are calculated and the Nation table beam:<br/>Name of the National Name of the National Name of Na</td> <th>We and independent independent in the later of the second second</th> <th>We and individual individual in the outry and the second second</th> <th>We and individual individual in the outry and the second second</th> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>Product attribution         Byth         Byth</td> <td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for bedration/stage         Susceptibility for the design of the susceptity for the design of the</td> <td>Relation, %         0.97 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.42         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to thoreholds*         and Waltert: The UV-susceptibility for the technologiane intercent to the susceptibility of the technologiane intercent technologiane</td> <td><math display="block">\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{ c c } \hline U_{0} + e_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0} \\ \hline U_{0} + e_{0}U_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0} &amp; U_{0}U_{0}U_{0} \\ \hline \\ </math></td> <td>Light 40         0.9 × 0.4*           Open 40.4*         0.4*      &lt;</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus of the<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the event pediate<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>is address of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus of the<br/>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the event pediate<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br/>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>is address of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelasti* and Water the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MO21 is lower than the UP-say of the test enveloped virus, vaccinar is<br/>hence, the most back and the set est estimate to the efforts of a software that<br/>bacching and the UP-say of the test estimate to the efforts of a software that<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software that<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a
software<br/>according to DS2 will be efforted to the software of the S35 - S50 -</td> <td>Log-tellos<br/>(José 18)<br/>Koroniging to Rowaldait<sup>2</sup> and Waltern the VM-susceptibility for becarripolage<br/>MS2 to low in each tello VM-susceptibility for the enveloped vision, to organize the<br/>indextrophage MS2 will be at least animal too allows for a damine for endpoint<br/>vacching vision of the Least animal too allows for a damine for endpoint<br/>according to technologi (MS2-2018).<br/>************************************</td> <td>Identification of the second s</td> <td>According to konstale<sup>4</sup> and Waiter: the UP-susceptibility form vaccina visu.<br/>NS2: Is low functional the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped cardiac to degrade the<br/>barrier, they have the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped cardiac to against enveloped<br/>barrier, they will be at less that inflame to the own for a signific enveloped<br/>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. ANGS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>according to DS/R1 4483-2018.<br/>* wakes and else, NMMROWERINE, SCHERCE at ECONFLOOP (VICL. 4), to 15, 2007<br/>* Wake and else, NMMROWERINE, SCHERCE at ECONFLOOP (VICL. 4), to 15, 2007<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report to .033322.</td> <td>No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br/>barrier, the barrier of the second second</td> <td>Hence, the final NE23 will be all least similar to the mittor in damin for effective<br/>bacchina runs. Entropy against vacabular (Sec. SARS-Corr.) and SARS-Corr.2)<br/>according to SC29 file deminical length file addaed. Springer 209<br/>* award net for thermosteristic a scenario (Yrst. 41, No. 15, 2007<br/>* award net for thermosteristic a scenario (Yrst. 41, No. 15, 2007<br/>* to add net for the scenario and presented in report no. 933322.</td>  
   
  | Product attribution         Byth   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $s_{0}$ and in top-tencine 1 heart 2 hearts<br>Freduct at the product $s_{0}$ is a set of the product $s_{0}$ is $s_{0}$ i  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in optimized employees the two product at the two product at two products at two produc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $\sigma_{0}$ and in Stretuction 1 heart 2   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\alpha_{0}$ <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Big % ± 9%         99% ± 2.3%           Log = decision         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log = decision         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalaki and Waker He Ut-sackregibility for the bacteriophage         Main and the sackregibility for the sackregibility for the bacteriophage           More Than the same acceptibility for the memory of the bacteriophage         Main and the back acceptibility for the sackregibility acceptibility acceptibility and the back acceptibility for the back at the back acceptibility for the back acceptibil  
   
   | Product attrivue         Boyle ± Biss         99% ± 2.3**           Lig-relation         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig-relation         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelation         4.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71         2.9 ± 0.71           Kitz Signal Si  
   
  | Product attrivue         Byth         Byt  
   
  | Product attribution         Byte # Byte         Byte # L_2 = 0           Cardination         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           Control (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelladi * and Kulaert         Number 10 + Vascongebbility for beckering/haps           Marcine (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.71           Marcine (10)         0.95 ± 0.74         0.94 ± 0.72           Marcine (10)         0.95 ± 0.75  
   
  | Product attribution         Big Min ± Big         99 Min ± 23m           Lig = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10  
   
   | We and independent in the left of the second secon   | We and independent in the left of the second secon   | We and into the elements of the end of the end of the elements of the element   | We and independent the set of th  | Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not  | Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the
Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not   | Nade on the measured instruction officiency of the NALE table begin:<br>Neglical in the measured instructions are classified and the second seco   | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 ho   | Name on the measured instruction efficiency of the Nation table beam:<br>Name of the preventions are calculated and the Nation table beam:<br>Name of the National Name of the National Name of Na  
  | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 ho  | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity beam.<br>Product attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>Reduction, 10 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 9 (9) a 2.3% 9 (9.4 a.0.5%)<br>Construction 0 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 1 hours 1 hours 1 hours<br>Construction 0 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 hours<br>Note 1 hours 2 ho   | Name on the measured instruction efficiency of the Nation table beam:<br>Name of the preventions are calculated and the Nation table beam:<br>Name of the National Name of the National Name of Na  
   
   
   
   
   | We and independent independent in the later of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and individual individual in the outry and the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and individual individual in the outry and the second  
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Byth  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for bedration/stage         Susceptibility for the design of the susceptity for the design of the  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         0.97 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.42         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to thoreholds*         and Waltert: The UV-susceptibility for the technologiane intercent to the susceptibility of the technologiane intercent technologiane   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{ c c } \hline U_{0} + e_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0} \\ \hline U_{0} + e_{0}U_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0} & U_{0}U_{0}U_{0} \\ \hline \\ $  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Light 40         0.9 × 0.4*           Open 40.4*         0.4*      <  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus of the<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the event pediate<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>is address of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus of the<br>Hered, but into the UK-subscriptibility for the event pediate<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the event pediate of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the<br>space of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>is address of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelasti* and Water the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MO21 is lower than the UP-say of the test enveloped virus, vaccinar is<br>hence, the most back and the set est estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>bacching and the UP-say of the test estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be efforted to the software of the S35 - S50 -   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-tellos<br>(José 18)<br>Koroniging to Rowaldait <sup>2</sup> and Waltern the VM-susceptibility for becarripolage<br>MS2 to low in each tello VM-susceptibility for the enveloped vision, to organize the<br>indextrophage MS2 will be at least animal too allows for a damine for endpoint<br>vacching vision of the Least animal too allows for a damine for endpoint<br>according to technologi (MS2-2018).<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Identification of the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   | According to konstale <sup>4</sup> and Waiter: the UP-susceptibility form vaccina visu.<br>NS2: Is low functional the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped cardiac to degrade the<br>barrier, they have the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped cardiac to against enveloped<br>barrier, they will be at less that inflame to the own for a signific enveloped<br>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. ANGS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4483-2018.<br>* wakes and else, NMMROWERINE, SCHERCE at ECONFLOOP (VICL. 4), to 15, 2007<br>* Wake and else, NMMROWERINE, SCHERCE at ECONFLOOP (VICL. 4), to 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report to .033322.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br>barrier, the barrier of the second   | Hence, the final NE23 will be all least similar to the mittor in damin for effective<br>bacchina runs. Entropy against vacabular (Sec. SARS-Corr.) and SARS-Corr.2)<br>according to SC29 file deminical length file addaed. Springer 209<br>* award net for thermosteristic a scenario (Yrst. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>* award net for thermosteristic a scenario (Yrst. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>* to add net for the scenario and presented in report no. 933322.   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  |   |   | | |
  |  |  |  |   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |   |  |   |
| backerophoge microsoft against vaccina virus allows and with a<br>vaccina virus. Efficacy against vaccina virus allows and with a<br>seconding to be defined virus (e.g. NeRS-COV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to the second virus (e.g. NeRS-COV, SARS-CoV-2)<br>vaccina vaccina   | No2 a forein indicate efficacy of the tested MACS00 Incompanies envisioned<br>testing, this way will be at less that many the top the set of call for effective<br>significant environment of the Sector Sector Sector and Sector 2014<br>according to DS(M1 4885-2018).<br>* examative luminative cleaning and testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector 2019<br>* the sector  | No2 a forein indicate efficacy of the tested MACS00 Incompanies envisioned<br>testing, this way will be at less that many the top the set of call for effective<br>significant environment of the Sector Sector Sector and Sector 2014<br>according to DS(M1 4885-2018).<br>* examative luminative cleaning and testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector testing to the sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector sector 2019<br>* waker and two textensions for the sector 2019<br>* the sector   | No2 a lower indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Uracy spante envigoed<br>backbonk the Walk with a lower indication to ensure for a claim for efficiency<br>apartial elimentary of the tester indication of the second second second<br>according to DSCR1 4883-2018.<br>• weakles with every efficiency aparticle environment environment<br>in walker and iso, textended environment environ. Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.<br>• Walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.   | No2 a lower indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Uracy spante envigoed<br>backbonk the Walk with a lower indication to ensure for a claim for efficiency<br>apartial elimentary of the tester indication of the second second second<br>according to DSCR1 4883-2018.<br>• weakles with every efficiency aparticle environment environment<br>in walker and iso, textended environment environ. Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion, textended, Springer 2009<br>• walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.<br>• Walker and iso, textended environment excelsion textended.   
   
   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   
  | No:a lower disense efficacy of the tested MALSO Vica-registrate enveloped<br>barries, the lower disense with the second vica-registrate enveloped<br>barries wine. Efficacy against vaccinia wina similar to the wife or a claim for efficiency<br>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. April 5C-0); SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• water with universe termination handowic springer 2019<br>• water with univers | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the   
   | No:a lower disense efficacy of the tested MALSO Vica-registrate enveloped<br>barries, the lower disense with the second vica-registrate enveloped<br>barries wine. Efficacy against vaccinia wina similar to the wife or a claim for efficiency<br>against eli enveloped visuas (e.g. April 5C-0); SARS-Col-1 and SARS-Col-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• water with universe termination handowic springer 2019<br>• water with univers | NG2 Boller incluster efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicus's spatial envirobed<br>bands, they are also will be all test all infants to have for a claim for efficiency<br>against elementary
of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be all test and the start of the start of the start of<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• waters will be start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>the start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the<br>water and the start of the<br>water of the start of the<br>test of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the  
  | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br>barrier, the barrier of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | No:a lower disense discov of the tested MAX.Source spatial enviroped<br>barries, this way will be at less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>apparent at levels will be a less that mains to be write no claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2008.<br>* waters will be approximate termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write the termination handowic sproger 2009<br>* waters will be write termination termination termination<br>* the sproger sproger sproger sproger 2009<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 931322.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vican- against enveloped<br>back-back back will be at lest similar to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Cong, SARS-Con-2 and SARS-Con-2)<br>econding to DSCR1 4683-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).  
  | No:a lower disense discus of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>basics, the second sec   
   | No:a lower disense discus of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>basics, the second sec  
  | No:a lower disense discus of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>basics, the second sec   | No.2 is lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.SUP views against enveloped<br>backs, the lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.Sup views against eleveloped<br>backs with the lower indication to the view for a disk in the efficiency<br>against eleveloped visues (e.g. April 500, SARS-Colv-1 and SARS-Colv-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• water with universe terminolation handwide signate 2019<br>• water with terminolation terminologies and the signate 2019<br>• water with terminolation terminologies and terminologies and the signate 2019<br>• water with terminologies are presented in report on .033322.   | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vican- against enveloped<br>back-back back will be at lest similar to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Cong, SARS-Con-2 and SARS-Con-2)<br>econding to DSCR1 1483-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).  
   | KG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALSO Vican- against enveloped<br>back-back back will be at lest similar to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario to the wirk or a claim for efficiency<br>against at enveloped visuas (e.g. Aprilario Cong, SARS-Con-2 and SARS-Con-2)<br>econding to DSCR1 1483-2018.<br>• walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• Walker and Ko, BWRKIMMERTR, STERICE & TCORROLLOW (VICL. 41)<br>• The full testing procedures are presented in report (no. 033322).  | No.2 is lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.SUP views against enveloped<br>backs, the lower indicated efficacy of the tested MAX.Sup views against eleveloped<br>backs with the lower indication to the view for a disk in the efficiency<br>against eleveloped visues (e.g. April 500, SARS-Colv-1 and SARS-Colv-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>• water with universe terminolation handwide signate 2019<br>• water with terminolation terminologies and the signate 2019<br>• water with terminolation terminologies and terminologies and the signate 2019<br>• water with terminologies are presented in report on .033322.   | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM constrained enviroped<br>barrier, the barrier of the second   | According to kowalek <sup>14</sup> and Wailert the UV-susceptibility from average and the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped conversional value.<br>NOS is low disclosed efficacy of the tested MACSO low conversion average tested to degrade the<br>testers, they are low test and tested MACSO low conversion average tested to degrade the<br>enveloped tested efficacy application to the wirk or a caline of effective<br>against all enveloped virtuals (e.g. ANGS-COV, SARS-COV-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4683-2018.<br>* watakit w. Utravieta tested tested tested to ASSA cover<br>* waker and R0, RNWROWERSE, Scrathic et (CONCLOR / VICL. 41, Io. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.  | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki* and Wasterr! the UP-susceptibility for betterioriphage<br>Mo2 is hower than the UP-susceptibility for MACSOD UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority, the indicated efficient of the susceptibility for Susceptibility<br>watchina virus. Each Biolane is the efficient particle and enveloped<br>batterioriphage MS2 and Biolane Vaccina virus allows for a stain and<br>watchina virus. Each Biolane SC 400, SSG 20-01, SSG 200, SSG 20-01,<br>applications to DSCR1 44685-2018.<br>* waker and is, BNMROMENTIN, SCIENCE & TCORN, DOY / VOL. 41, Hon. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933320.   | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki* and Walker't the UP-according to the betteriorphage<br>Mo2 in lower than the UP-acceptibility for MACSOU UP-C device to degrade the<br>batteriorships MO2 and its device the device of the seventide explosing<br>batteriorships MO2 and its device the device of the device to degrade the<br>batteriorships MO2 and its device the device of the device to degrade the<br>batteriorships MO2 and its device the device of the device to degrade the<br>batteriorships MO2 and its device the device of the device to degrade the<br>second with uncertained versus (e.g. April 85-Cold, SARS-Cold, 20)<br>* waker and 60, ENVMONMENTA, SCIENCE & ICCHROLOGY / VOL. 41, HO. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   
   | Log-etoissen<br>(Losse 10)<br>Losse 10)<br>Losse 10, Losse 10,  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelasti* and Water the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MO21 is lower than the UP-say of the test enveloped virus, vaccinar is<br>hence, the most back and the set est estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>bacching and the UP-say of the test estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be efforted to the software of the S35 - S50 -  | Log-reduction         0.9 × 0.44           Closef 10)         0.9 × 0.44           Maccording to Koneskal* and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper         Maccording to Koneskal*           Maccording to Koneskal* and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper         Maccording to Koneskal*           Maccording to Koneskal*         and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper           Maccording to Koneskal*         and Water the UV-submitty/Bollity for becken/paper           Maccording to Sole         and Sole           Maccording to Sole         and Sole           According to Sole         for the set set similar to the effects           According to Sole         for the sole           * advariant & enveloped virus/Sole         for the sole           * advariant & Envelopedvirus/Sole   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Ligh-reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         Catality           According to consellative and wather: the UV-susceptibility for bacteriphage         According to consellative and wather: the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped time, we changed the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped time, we changed the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped time of the envelo  | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second   | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10% 0.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10% 0.   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 09% # 8% 09% # 11% 29% 0.2% 19% 0.2% 10% 0.2\% 10% 0.  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the
UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can appreciate the U-subscription of the state of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subscription of the subscription of the subscription of the U-subscription of the U-subsc   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec   | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  | Reactions, we can be appreciated on the second sec  | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will
be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************         
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.77 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinohage<br>Recording to konstakti <sup>4</sup> and Walkert the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a claim for efficient<br>against at en DSCR1 vietBS2 2018.<br>************************************  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Byth: # Byth:         Byth: # Z=34         Z=34 <thz=34< th="">         Z=34         <thz=34< th=""></thz=34<></thz=34<>  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Byth: # Byth:         Byth: # Z=34         Z=34 <thz=34< th="">         Z=34         <thz=34< th=""></thz=34<></thz=34<>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Bit M         Bit M <td>Product attribution         Byth: # Byth:         Byth: # Z=34         <thz=34< th="">         Z=34         <thz=34< th=""></thz=34<></thz=34<></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td><math>s_{0}</math> and in top-tencine 1 heart 2 hearts<br/>Freduct at the product <math>s_{0}</math> is a set of the product <math>s_{0}</math> is <math>s_{0}</math> i</td> <td><math>v_{0}</math> and in optimized employees the two product at the two product at two products at two produc</td> <td><math>\sigma_{0}</math> and in Stretuction 1 heart 2 heart 2</td> <td><math>\alpha_{0}</math> <math>\alpha_{0}</math>         &lt;</td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll</math></td> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>Product attribution         Big % ± 9%         9% ± 2.3*a           Log = reduction         0.9*a         2.4*a         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log = reduction         0.9*a         0.4*a         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalakal and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and No.5 ± 0.07         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71           Model and No.5 ± 0.07         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71         1</td> <td>Product attrivue         Boyle ± Biss         99% ± 2.3*a         2.3 ± 0.7           Log-reduction         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Description         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According %         2.9 ± 0.74         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 10.         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.02</td> <td>Product attrivue         Byth         Byt</td> <td>Product attribution         Byte # Byte         Byte # L_2 = 0           Cardination         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           Control (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelladi * and Kulaert         10 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Ki</td> <td>Product attribution         Big Min ± Big         99 Min ± 23m           Lig = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10</td> <td>We and independent in the left of the second secon</td> <td>We and independent in the left of the second secon</td> <th>We and into the elements of the end of the end of the elements of the element</th> <th>We and independent the set of th</th> <th>Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not</th> <th>Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node
attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not</th> <td>Nade on the measured instruction officiency of the NALE table begin:<br/>Neglical in the measured instructions are classified and the second seco</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br/>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br/>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br/>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction officiency of the Nutrice table beam:<br/>Number of the second second</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br/>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br/>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br/>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br/>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br/>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br/>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br/>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br/>Node of the Node of the Node</td> <td>Node on the measured instruction officiency of the Nutrice table beam:<br/>Number of the second second</td> <th>We and independent independent in the later of the second second</th> <th>We and individual individual in the outry and the second second</th> <th>We and individual individual in the outry and the second second</th> <td>We and in 69-decident threads in the set of the set of</td> <td>Product attribution         Byth         Byth</td> <td>Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for bedrating/bage         Susceptibility for the design of the subscriptibility for the design of the bedrating/bage           Maccording to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for the design of the bedrating/bage         Susceptibility for the design of the</td> <td>Relation, %         0.97 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.42         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to thoreholds<sup>1</sup> and Waltert: The UV-susceptibility for the test-oriophage<br/>tisses, the includes efficiency of the set of the efficiency and environment<br/>bacteriophage KS2 will be at Least case that the test of the efficiency and environment<br/>vacional varia. Efficience of the efficiency and the efficience and the efficience and the set of the<br/>efficience and the test of the efficience and the set of the efficience and the efficience of the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the test of the efficience and the efficience and the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience and the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience of the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience and<br/>the efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience of the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience and<br/>the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>transmitter of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of the efficience of the efficience of the<br/>test of t</td> <td><math display="block">\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline U_{0} \gamma \pm U_{0} Z &amp; U_{0} </math></td> <td>Log-reduction         0.9 × 0.4*           Operation         0.9 × 0.4*           Operating to Konstallel* and Watershifts for the enveloped virus, vaccine the MCS2 II lover than the U-Nav of the enveloped virus, vaccine the most of the enveloped virus of the enveloped vir</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hence, the mean MC22 will be at least similar to the effective of the event<br/>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility for the event event<br/>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>is address are to, therefore the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br/>Hence, the mean MC22 will be at least similar to the effective of the event<br/>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility for the event event<br/>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>is address are to, therefore the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.</td> <td>Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.44<br/>(base 10)<br/>According to Konelasti* and Water the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br/>MO21 is lower than the UP-say of the test enveloped virus, vaccinar is<br/>hence, the
most back and the set est estimate to the efforts of a software that<br/>bacching and the UP-say of the test estimate to the efforts of a software that<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software that<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br/>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br/>according to DS2 will be efforted to the software of the S35 - S50 -</td> <td>Log-tellos<br/>(José 18)<br/>Koroniging to Rowaldait<sup>2</sup> and Waltern the VM-susceptibility for becarripolage<br/>MS2 is low in earlier to the VM-susceptibility for the earlier to earlier to<br/>the susceptibility of the susceptibility for the earlier to the susceptibility<br/>with the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br/>susceptibility of the sus</td> <td>Identification of the second s</td> <td>According to konstale<sup>4</sup> and Waiter: the UP-susceptibility form vaccina visu.<br/>NS2: Isolar discharte efficacy of the tested MACSO for the enviroped-cale to degrade the<br/>testice, they have the UP-susceptibility for the enviroped cale to degrade the<br/>testice, they are the set as all mains to the own for a caline of endograde<br/>against all enviroped visuas (e.g. ANGS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br/>according to DS/R1 4483-2018.<br/>* wakes and R0, RNMR048518, STERCE at ECONOLOGY (VIC), 410, 515, 2007<br/>* Wake and R0, RNMR048518, STERCE at ECONOLOGY (VIC), 410, 515, 2007<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report R0, 933322.</td> <td>No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM victors against enveloped<br/>barrier, the New York will be a less that mains to heav for a column for efficiency<br/>against all enveloped visues (E.e., New York, Column Statistics, Victor), and SAR5-Colv-21<br/>according to DS/R1 4883-2018.<br/>* water the Victoria Column Statistics in Statistics, Singler 2019<br/>* Waker and Ro, RWMINDHERM, STERPLE at TECHNOLOGY (VICL. 41, No. 15, 2007<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 033322.</td> <td>Hence, the final NE23 will be all least similar to the mittor in damin for effective<br/>bacchina runs. Entropy against vacabular (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br/>according to SEC_SIME commonstraints (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br/>according to SEC_SIME commonstraints (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br/>a seak rate to, theremosteristic, science, a recence of rule. 41, No. 15, 2007<br/>is applied and the second second second second second second second<br/>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.</td>  
   
  | Product attribution         Byth: # Byth:         Byth: # Z=34         Z=34 <thz=34< th="">         Z=34         <thz=34< th=""></thz=34<></thz=34<>   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $s_{0}$ and in top-tencine 1 heart 2 hearts<br>Freduct at the product $s_{0}$ is a set of the product $s_{0}$ is $s_{0}$ i  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in optimized employees the two product at the two product at two products at two produc  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $\sigma_{0}$ and in Stretuction 1 heart 2   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\alpha_{0}$ <   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Product attribution         Big % ± 9%         9% ± 2.3*a           Log = reduction         0.9*a         2.4*a         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log = reduction         0.9*a         0.4*a         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konalakal and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and Waker He Ut-scatterploining for bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model and No.5 ± 0.07         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71           Model and No.5 ± 0.07         1.93 ± 0.71         1.93 ± 0.71         1  
   
   | Product attrivue         Boyle ± Biss         99% ± 2.3*a         2.3 ± 0.7           Log-reduction         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Description         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According %         2.9 ± 0.74         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 10.         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.9 ± 0.24         0.9 ± 0.24           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.05         0.0 ± 0.02         0.0 ± 0.02           Digst 20.         0.0 ± 0.02   
   
  | Product attrivue         Byth         Byt  
   
  | Product attribution         Byte # Byte         Byte # L_2 = 0           Cardination         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           Control (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelladi * and Kulaert         10 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.97 ± 0.24         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.92 ± 0.21         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Kill (10)         0.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage         1.0 + VascongRobility for beckerlophage           Ki   
   
   | Product attribution         Big Min ± Big         99 Min ± 23m           Lig = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         29 ± 071           Light = relation         09 ± 024         Disate 10   
   
  | We and independent in the left of the second secon   | We and independent in the left of the second secon   | We and into the elements of the end of the end of the elements of the element   | We and independent the set of th  | Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not   
  | Node on the measured instructions of electricity of the Nutrice table bears.           Node of the processors are elicitade and the nutrice table bears.           Node attribution         1 hour         2 hours         9 hours           Accord attribution         0 hours         9 hours         9 hours           According to Koneliski' and Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         1 hours         1 hours           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Notes         Notes         Notes           Notes of the Induction of the Weiter Hei Veracespekting for bacteriparia         Notes         Not   | Nade on the measured instruction officiency of the NALE table begin:<br>Neglical in the measured instructions are classified and the second seco   | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node            
   | Node on the measured instruction officiency of the Nutrice table beam:<br>Number of the second  | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node   | Node on the measured instruction of floating of the Multicinuity base<br>Node of the presences are calculated and the Multicinuity base<br>Node attribution 1 hour 2 hours 9 hours<br>According to Konstak's and Weiter: Hie Veracegebility for bacteriaphare<br>Node of the Multicinuity of the Node of the Multicinuity of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node of the Node<br>Node of the Node  | Node on the measured instruction officiency of the Nutrice table beam:<br>Number of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and independent independent in the later of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and individual individual in the outry and the second   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and individual individual in the outry and the second  
   
   
   
   
  | We and in 69-decident threads in the set of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Byth  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reduction, %         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.2         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for bedrating/bage         Susceptibility for the design of the subscriptibility for the design of the bedrating/bage           Maccording to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for the design of the bedrating/bage         Susceptibility for the design of the  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Relation, %         0.97 ± 0.47         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.42         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to thoreholds <sup>1</sup> and Waltert: The UV-susceptibility for the test-oriophage<br>tisses, the includes efficiency of the set of the efficiency and environment<br>bacteriophage KS2 will be at Least case that the test of the efficiency and environment<br>vacional varia. Efficience of the efficiency and the efficience and the efficience and the set of the<br>efficience and the test of the efficience and the set of the efficience and the efficience of the<br>efficience of the efficience of the test of the efficience and the efficience and the<br>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience and the<br>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience of the<br>efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience and<br>the efficience of the efficience of the efficience and the efficience of the<br>efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience and<br>the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>transmitter of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of the efficience of the efficience of the<br>test of t   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline U_{0} \gamma \pm U_{0} Z & U_{0} $  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction         0.9 × 0.4*           Operation         0.9 × 0.4*           Operating to Konstallel* and Watershifts for the enveloped virus, vaccine the MCS2 II lover than the U-Nav of the enveloped virus, vaccine the most of the enveloped virus of the enveloped vir   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hence, the mean MC22 will be at least similar to the effective of the event<br>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility for the event event<br>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>is address are to, therefore the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-reduction 0.9 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for bacteriophage<br>MC21 is lower than the UK-subscriptibility for the eventleped virus, vaccinal<br>Hence, the mean MC22 will be at least similar to the effective of the event<br>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility for the event event<br>sectors in the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>is address are to, therefore the UK-subscriptibility of the UK-subscriptibility<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reduction 0.97 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelasti* and Water the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophage<br>MO21 is lower than the UP-say of the test enveloped virus, vaccinar is<br>hence, the most back and the set est estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>bacching and the UP-say of the test estimate to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software that<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be at least similar to the efforts of a software<br>according to DS2 will be efforted to the software of the S35 - S50 -  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-tellos<br>(José 18)<br>Koroniging to Rowaldait <sup>2</sup> and Waltern the VM-susceptibility for becarripolage<br>MS2 is low in earlier to the VM-susceptibility for the earlier to earlier to<br>the susceptibility of the susceptibility for the earlier to the susceptibility<br>with the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the susceptibility of the<br>susceptibility of the sus  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Identification of the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
  | According to konstale <sup>4</sup> and Waiter: the UP-susceptibility form vaccina visu.<br>NS2: Isolar discharte efficacy of the tested MACSO for the enviroped-cale to degrade the<br>testice, they have the UP-susceptibility for the enviroped cale to degrade the<br>testice, they are the set as all mains to the own for a caline of endograde<br>against all enviroped visuas (e.g. ANGS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2)<br>according to DS/R1 4483-2018.<br>* wakes and R0, RNMR048518, STERCE at ECONOLOGY (VIC), 410, 515, 2007<br>* Wake and R0, RNMR048518, STERCE at ECONOLOGY (VIC), 410, 515, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report R0, 933322.   
   
   
   
   
   
  | No:a lower disense difficury of the tested MACSUM victors against enveloped<br>barrier, the New York will be a less that mains to heav for a column for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visues (E.e., New York, Column Statistics, Victor), and SAR5-Colv-21<br>according to DS/R1 4883-2018.<br>* water the Victoria Column Statistics in Statistics, Singler 2019<br>* Waker and Ro, RWMINDHERM, STERPLE at TECHNOLOGY (VICL. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 033322.  | Hence, the final NE23 will be all least similar to the mittor in damin for effective<br>bacchina runs. Entropy against vacabular (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br>according to SEC_SIME commonstraints (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br>according to SEC_SIME commonstraints (Sec. SIME-Corr.) and SIME-Corr.2)<br>a seak rate to, theremosteristic, science, a recence of rule. 41, No. 15, 2007<br>is applied and the second second second second second second second<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.   |   |   
   |   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
   
  |   |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  |   | | | | | | |
  |   |  |  |  |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |   |  |   |
| bacterophane (Tricary equinit vaccine) wires allowed and the second seco  | NG2 Brief indicated efficacy of the tested MACSD Vices regards enveloped<br>bance, think Wail the at less that man to humor to a claim for editory<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>according to DSCR1 4483-2018.<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody 2)<br>* walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody 2)<br>* walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* walk with unsublet thinks, Science & HCOROLOGY (VIC), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody   | NG2 Brief indicated efficacy of the tested MACSD Vices regards enveloped<br>bance, think Wail the at less that man to humor to a claim for editory<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>according to DSCR1 4483-2018.<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody-2)<br>* walk with enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody 2)<br>* walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody SARS-Cody 2)<br>* walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* walk with unsublet thinks, Science & HCOROLOGY (VIC), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. Against Cody Cody Vice), e.g. (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Walk with the enveloped visuas (e.g. SARS-*<br>* Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody Cody  | NG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested NACS00 Ircan spante envigoed<br>banch, think SW will be at less timinar to make the call sum for efficiency<br>against all environment sets and the set of the set of the set of the<br>control to DSCN1 1483-2103.<br>************************************  | NG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested NACS00 Ircan spante envigoed<br>banch, think SW will be at less timinar to make the call sum for efficiency<br>against all environment
sets and the set of the set of the set of the<br>control to DSCN1 1483-2103.<br>************************************   
  | NG2 Boler sisterite efficacy of the tested NALSO Uracy spatial envigoed<br>banch, this XX will be at less timal ratio to huser for a claim for efficiency<br>spatial tell environment variant variants viron and the claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 1468/21011.<br>* watarist with uraked testimations testimolous. Springer 2008<br>* Watarian de Roy Rowflowstatus. Strainics at FCO-MCLOR (VICL 4.1 (Ko. 15, 2007<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report Int. 933322.<br>Best regards,   | NG2 Boler sisterite efficacy of the tested NALSO Uracy spatial envigoed<br>banch, this XX will be at less timal ratio to huser for a claim for efficiency<br>spatial tell environment variant variants viron and the claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 1468/21011.<br>* watarist with uraked testimations testimolous. Springer 2008<br>* Watarian de Roy Rowflowstatus. Strainics at FCO-MCLOR (VICL 4.1 (Ko. 15, 2007<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report Int. 933322.<br>Best regards,  
   
   | No.2 is lower discrete efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicax- sparse developed<br>backs, the SN will be at less that mains to the wirk or a sum for estimation<br>and the start of the start start was an efficient of the start of the s   | NG2 Boler sisterite efficacy of the tested NALSO Uracy spatial envigoed<br>banch, this XX will be at less timal ratio to huser for a claim for efficiency<br>spatial tell environment variant variants viron and the claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 1468/21011.<br>* watarist with uraked testimations testimolous. Springer 2008<br>* Watarian de Roy Rowflowstatus. Strainics at FCO-MCLOR (VICL 4.1 (Ko. 15, 2007<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report Int. 933322.<br>Best regards,  
  | No.2 is lower discrete efficacy of the tested MALSO Vicax- sparse developed<br>backs, the SN will be at less that mains to the wirk or a sum for estimation<br>and the start of the start start was an efficient of the start of the s   | NG2 Boler sisterite efficacy of the tested NALSO Uracy spatial envigoed<br>banch, this XX will be at less timal ratio to huser for a claim for efficiency<br>spatial tell environment variant variants viron and the claim for efficiency<br>according to DS/R1 1468/21011.<br>* watarist with uraked testimations testimolous. Springer 2008<br>* Watarian de Roy Rowflowstatus. Strainics at FCO-MCLOR (VICL 4.1 (Ko. 15, 2007<br>* The full testing procedures are presented in report Int. 933322.<br>Best regards,   
   | No:1 allower indicates efficacy of the tested MACSUM contract against enveloped<br>backs, they are allower indication to most fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario to huse fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario Cont, SARS-Cont-1 and SARS-Cont-2)<br>according to DS/R1 (4883-2103).<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | No:a lower isolated efficacy of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>backs, they are also will be a lest and that to have first or a gains of enviroped<br>backs with the second seco  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | NG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALOS victors against enveloped<br>banch, they are less that similar to these for a cosine for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for the similar to the similar to the similar cosine for<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for all spinal for spinal for spinal for<br>exceeding to DS/R1 1483:2101  
  | No:a lower isolated efficacy of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>backs, they are also will be a lest and that to have first or a gains of enviroped<br>backs with the second seco  
  | No:a lower isolated efficacy of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>backs, they are also will be a lest and that to have first or a gains of enviroped<br>backs with the second seco   | No:a lower isolated efficacy of the tested MAX.Superior enviroped<br>backs, they are also will be a lest and that to have first or a gains of enviroped<br>backs with the second seco  
  | NG2 Boler discrete efficacy of the tested MACSUB virtual segmet enveloped<br>banch, they are also also also also virtual segmet enveloped<br>banch write. Efficacy against vaccinal with the virtual segmet test enveloped<br>virtual (= 4, 2000). The virtual segmet and virtual segmet test enveloped<br>visual segmet virtual (= 4, 2000). Segmet 2000, Segmet 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance. Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations in testimation instance,<br>best regards,  | NG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALOS victors against enveloped<br>banch, they are less that similar to these for a cosine for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for the similar to the similar to the similar cosine for<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for all spinal for spinal for spinal for<br>exceeding to DS/R1 1483:2101  | NG2 Bolen indicates efficacy of the tested MALOS victors against enveloped<br>banch, they are less that similar to these for a cosine for efficiency<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for the similar to the similar to the similar cosine for<br>against all enveloped visuas (e.g. spinal for all spinal for spinal for spinal for<br>exceeding to DS/R1 1483:2101  | NG2 Boler discrete efficacy of the tested MACSUB virtual segmet enveloped<br>banch, they are also also also also virtual segmet enveloped<br>banch write. Efficacy against vaccinal with the virtual segmet test enveloped<br>virtual (= 4, 2000). The virtual segmet and virtual segmet test enveloped<br>visual segmet virtual (= 4, 2000). Segmet 2000, Segmet 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance. Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, Singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations instance, singler 2000,<br>visual set with virtual testimations in testimation instance,<br>best regards,   
  | No:1 allower indicates efficacy of the tested MACSUM contract against enveloped<br>backs, they are allower indication to most fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario to huse fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario Cont, SARS-Cont-1 and SARS-Cont-2)<br>according to DS/R1 (4883-2103).<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the sum of the sum of the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of the<br>* water with universe testing to the sum of th   | According to Konstalet and Weater: the UP-subscription forms, vaccinar arise,<br>theory is how them the UP-subscription for According to the State<br>bacteringing by Stale aligned vaccinar to the effects against enveloped<br>vaccinar bactering and the Stale aligned vaccinar and vaccinar to aligned the<br>bactering and the Stale aligned vaccinar aligned and State-Cor4-2<br>according to DS(H) 4488-2010.<br>* water with subscription for the State Cor4 and State-Cor4-2<br>water with the State State aligned vaccinar aligned and State-Cor4-2<br>water with the State State aligned vaccinar aligned and state-Cor4-2<br>water with the State State aligned vaccinar aligned and state-Cor4-2<br>water with the State State aligned vaccinar aligned and state-Cor4-2<br>water with the State State aligned vaccinar aligned and the State State aligned vaccinary<br>The full tabling procedures are presented in report no. 933222.<br>Back regards,   | (base 10)<br>According to Konellaki* and Wasterr! the UP-ausrephility for betterioriphage<br>MC2 bit lower than the UP-ausrephility for MLC200 UV-C device to dergrade the<br>batteriority. Bit indicate deficies of the automatic the effective pairs devices to<br>batteriority. Bit indicates deficient of the effective pairs devices to<br>batteriority. Bit indicates deficient of the effective pairs devices<br>again and the MC2 and Bit indicates to the effective pairs devices<br>again and the MC2 and Bit indicates to the effective pairs deficience<br>again and bit indicates and the MC2 and Bit indicates and BASE-CoV-2)<br>again and Bit indicates demonstrations (seconds Springer 200<br>* Waker and Bit, BNRMROMENTA, SCIENCE & TCOVRLOP / VICL-41, Hon 15, 2007<br>The full testing procedures are presented in report in 0. 933322.<br>Best regards,   | (base 10)<br>According to Koneliski* and Waster't the UP-according to besterior/page<br>MCD lower than the UP-accordprisitive for MCDOD UP-C device to degrade the<br>baset of the indicated efficient of the accordpose provide the<br>baset of the indicated efficient of the efficiency pages devices<br>was and the MCD and the indicated page of the efficience<br>again and the MCD and the MCD and the efficiency page of SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and SARS-COV-2)<br>accords with the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD and the<br>MCD and the MCD an  | Logical constructions of the standard s  
   | Log-exclusion         0.9 × 0.4*           Classe 10.0         0.9 × 0.4*           According to Konstaki* and Water the Virusure/biblity for backen/para         Virusure/biblity for backen/para           Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity for backen/para         Virusure/biblity for backen/para           Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity           Virusure/b   | Log-reduction         0.9 × 0.44           Classe 10.0         0.9 × 0.44           According to Konselaki* and Watter W-subscriptibility for betcheriphage         More State   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Lip Androition         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.14         Lip and the state of t   | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 ± 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second se   | Relacion, % 0.97 # 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a Gammi device<br>against all en DSrCH 1485:2018.<br>************************************  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 09% # 8%, 39% # 2%, 29 ± 0.21<br>Log-eduction, % 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Kording to (Xineau), 4 of Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker<br>Walker and Ko, Bayrimontemina, Scalance & Inconacción / Vici. 41, Ino. 15, 2007<br>Microsoft testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.<br>Best regards,   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production, % 09% # 8%, 39% # 2%, 29 ±
0.21<br>Log-eduction, % 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Kording to (Xineau), 4 of Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker<br>Walker and Ko, Bayrimontemina, Scalance & Inconacción / Vici. 41, Ino. 15, 2007<br>Microsoft testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.<br>Best regards,  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production, % 09% # 8%, 39% # 2%, 29 ± 0.21<br>Log-eduction, % 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Log-eduction, 09, 29 ± 0.24<br>Kording to (Xineau), 4 of Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker the UN-susceptibility for the sectorphage<br>Microsoft and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker and Walker<br>Walker and Ko, Bayrimontemina, Scalance & Inconacción / Vici. 41, Ino. 15, 2007<br>Microsoft testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.<br>Best regards,   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 ± 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second se  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.97 # 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a Gammi device<br>against all en DSrCH 1485:2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, we can see the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, we can see the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, where the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, where the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, where the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, where the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Reactions, where the second s  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, where the second s   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reactions, where the second s   | Reactions, where the second s  | Reactions, where the second s  | Reactions, where the second s  | Relacion, % 0.97 # 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a Gammi device<br>against all en DSrCH 1485:2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.97 # 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a Gammi device<br>against all en DSrCH 1485:2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Resistion, % 0.7 ± 0.2 ± 1.93 ± 0.47 ± 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bst of the second se  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relacion, % 0.97 # 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(ber elision) 0.97 ± 0.24 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for bedreinophage<br>Recording to konstakti* and Waiterr the UV-susceptibulity for the device to degrade the<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant of the foreign and device to<br>bacteriophage MC2 will be at least constant virus allows for a Gammi device<br>against all en DSrCH 1485:2018.<br>************************************   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Byth          BythBythByth   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Production         Byth          BythBythByth   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Big Mill ± Big         Big Mill ± Big Mill           Lig = reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Lig = reduction         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Konelials*         answer the Variance/Biblilly for beckeriophage         Mill Statistical  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Production         Byth          BythBythByth  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $ \begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $s_{0}$ and in the preduction 1 heart 2 heads<br>Normalized States (1) 1 heart 2 heads<br>Normalized States (1) 1 heart 2 heads<br>(2) 1 heart 2 he  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $v_{0}$ and in optimized emerginary of the emergence of  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $\sigma_{0}$ and in Signification 1 hear 2 hears<br>Product at STRUCTION 1 hear 2 hears<br>Product at STRUCTION 2 hears 2 hears<br>Not an educion 2 hears 2 hears 2 hears 2 hears<br>(base 10 hear than the UV-successful hears 2 hears 2 hears 2 hears<br>MSD is lower than the UV-successful hears 2 hears 2 hears 2 hears 2 hears<br>MSD is lower than the UV-successful hears 2 he   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | $\alpha_{1}$ and in tog-resource     1 hears     2 hears       Product attrivetion     80% ± 20%     90% ± 2.3%     90.9 ± 0.5%       Los reduction     80% ± 20%     90% ± 2.3%     90.9 ± 0.5%       Los reduction     0.97 ± 0.24     1.93 ± 0.47     2.9 ± 0.71       According to toomalast* and Weiker: the UP-susceptibility for bacteriophare     How the too too main the UP-susceptibility for the enveloped varies, etc., his indicated findics of the the enveloped varies, etc., his indicated findics of the toomalast to the effect, and enveloped varies (= 0, 4456-507.88).       Vaccinal variance demond at variant to the effect, and enveloped variant (= 0, 4456-507.88).     How toomalast*       vaccinal variance demond at variant to the effect, and enveloped variant (= 0, 4456-507.88).     How toomalast*       vaccinal variance demond at variant hardense hardense for acceleration of the subscience at the subsciff at th   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | $ \begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and independent thread in the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and independent thread in the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         B0% # 0%         90% # 2.3%           Log = deal-bits         0.9% # 0.64         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log = deal-bits         0.9% # 0.64         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Komeliair and Waker the Ut-susceptibility for the bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model of the Interview of the Waker the Ut-susceptibility for the bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model of the Interview of the Waker the Ut-susceptibility for the bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model of the Interview of the Waker the Ut-susceptibility for the
bacteriophage         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Model of the Interview of the Waker th  
   
   | Product attrivue         Boyle ± Biss         99% ± 2.3*a           Log-relation         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-relation         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to Koneladat*         and watere the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage         Model to the the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage           Model to the line         Model and watere the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage         Model and the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage           Model to the line         Model and watere the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage         Model and the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage           Model to the line         Model and watere the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage         Model and the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage           Model and Model and Watere the UN-startogetbility for the startogetbility for bacteriophage         Model and the UN-startogetbility for bacteriophage           Model and the Incord of the the Model Model and Staft Information   
   
  | Product attrivue         Byth         Byt  
   
  | Product attribution         Byte ± Byte         Byte ± L=10           Capitality, The second sec   
   
  | Product attribution         Bryth ± Bryth         99% ± ± 2.5%           Card-relation         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24         0.97 ± 0.24           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.92         0.97 ± 0.24           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.24         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92           Dight effective         0.97 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.92         0.94 ± 0.9  
   
   | We and independent the theory of the second   | We and independent the theory of the second   | We and independent the two the set of the s   | We and independent sets that the set of the  | Note on the measured instruction of floating of the Mix Care Laber bear.<br>Note of the injections are excluded and the National Laber bear.<br>Note of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>Note of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>National Laber bear of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>National Laber bear of the National Laber bear of the Nationa  | Note on the measured instruction of floating of the Mix Care Laber bear.<br>Note of the injections are excluded and the National Laber bear.<br>Note of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>Note of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>National Laber bear of the National Laber bear of the National Laber bear.<br>National Laber bear of the National Laber bear of the Nationa   | Note on the measured instructions of electrony of the MALEOR table begin:<br>Note of the given encoders are calculated and a Note of the NALEOR table begin:<br>Note of the NALEOR table begins   
  | <ul> <li>Nada di la diversionali enclusiva di la Multica state basini<br/>Senda di la diversiona se esculada di la Multica state basini<br/>Producta ettributiva in la la diversi di diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diver</li></ul>   | Note on the measured instruction officiency of the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the given exception are excluded and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Nal Constable and the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam of the NAL Constable and the NAL Cons   | <ul> <li>Nada di la diversionali enclusiva di la Multica state basini<br/>Senda di la diversiona se esculada di la Multica state basini<br/>Producta ettributiva in la la diversi di diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diver</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>Nada di la diversionali enclusiva di la Multica state basini<br/>Senda di la diversiona se esculada di la Multica state basini<br/>Producta ettributiva in la la diversi di diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diversi di la diver</li></ul>   | Note on the measured instruction officiency of the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the given exception are excluded and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Note of the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam:<br>Nal Constable and the NAL Constable and the NAL Constable beam of the NAL Constable and the NAL Cons  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and independent independent in the later of the second   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and individual individual in the two in the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   | We and individual individual in the two in the second sec   
   
   
   
   
   
  | We and independent thread in the second sec  
   
   
   
   
   | Product attribution         Byth         B  
   
   
   
   
   
   | Reduction, % 0.97 # 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>(bstr10) 0.97 ± 0.2 1.93 ± 0.47 2.9 ± 0.71<br>According to Knowlady* and Wastern the UV-susceptibility for backering/wayer<br>backering the indicated efficient of the table of the finite of parameters were<br>backering the indicated efficient of the table of the finite of parameters were<br>backering the indicated efficient of the table of the finite of parameters were<br>backering the indicated efficient of the table of the finite of parameters were<br>backering the indicated efficient of the table of the finite of parameters were<br>applied at the IDSC/fit 1488:52018.<br>************************************  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Relation, %         0         0.97 ± 0.4         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           Log-reduction         0.97 ± 0.4         1.93 ± 0.47         2.9 ± 0.71           According to thorehold*         and Waltert The UV-susceptibility for the technologiane intermediate i   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Up Application         0.97 ± 0.24         1.93 ± 0.07         Cm           Classe 10)         Classe 10)         Classe 10         Classe 10           Classe 10)         Classe 10         Classe 10         Classe 10           Classe 10)         Classe 10         Classe 10         Classe 10           Classe 10)         Classe 10         Classe 10         Classe 10           Classe 10         Classe 10         Classe 10         Classe 10  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Light 40.00         0.9 × 0.4*           Operation         0.9 × 0.4*           Recording to Konstalatif* and Wattern the Unsurgerbalatify for becketinghape         Model of the Second S   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-eduction 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for backetiophage<br>Holzs is low them the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility of the exercision<br>is subscriptibility for the exercision for the exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision for the exercision<br>is subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the exerc  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-eduction 0.9.7 × 0.44<br>(base 10)<br>According to Konelaki* and Water the UK-subscriptibility for backetiophage<br>Holzs is low them the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision and<br>the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision of the UK-subscriptibility of the exercision<br>is subscriptibility for the exercision for the exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision for the exercision<br>is subscriptibility for the exercision for exercision of the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility for exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for the exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision for exercision of the<br>subscriptibility of the exercision for exercision for exercision of the exerc   
   
   
   
   
   
   | Log-exclusion         0.9 × 0.4*           Classe 10.0         0.9 × 0.4*           According to Konstaki* and Water the Virusure/biblity for backen/para         Virusure/biblity for backen/para           Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity for backen/para         Virusure/biblity for backen/para           Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity         Virusure/biblity           Virusure/b  
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-tellos<br>(José 10)<br>(José 10)<br>Koronigi to Kowalaki and Waltert the VV-susceptibility for bearinghage<br>MS2 is low in what the VV-susceptibility for the enveloped wink, to argund the<br>tellos of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start<br>start of the start of the start of the start of the start of the start<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the<br>start of the start of the st   
   
   
   
   
   
  | Log-reactions on<br>(base 10). The second sec  
   
   
   
   
   
   | According to Konstalati and Wattern the Un-subscriptionity forms, vaccinia and<br>table to be them the Un-subscriptionity for according to the subscription of the universe of  
   
   
   
   
   
   | No:1 allower indicates efficacy of the tested MACSUM contract against enveloped<br>backs, they are allower indication to most fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario to huse fire of a sum for efficiency<br>against all enveloped viruses (e.g. Aprilario Cont, SARS-Cont-1 and SARS-Cont-2)<br>according to DS/R1 (4883-2103).<br>* water with universe testimation interdood. Singler 2008<br>* water with universe testimations interdood. Singler 2008<br>* water with universe testimations interdood. Singler 2008<br>* water with universe testimation interdood single 2008<br>* water with universe testimation interdood sinterdood single 2008<br>* water with un   | Hence, the final NEC2 will be all least similar to the mittor in damin for efficient<br>baceman in the Entropy against vacabular (Sub-Biell Cover, 2)<br>according to SCP (Sub-Biell Cover, 2)   |   |   |   
   
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |                  
  |   |  
   
   
   
  |  
   
   
   
   |   
   
   
   
   
  |  |  |  |  |   |   | | |
  |  |  |  |   |   |   |   
   |   |   |   
   |   |   |  |  |  |  
   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   
  |  |   |  |   |







DANISH TECHNOLOGICAL INSTITUTE

Teknologiparken Kongsvang Allé 29 8000 Aarhus C Denmark Phone +45 72 20 20 00 info@dti.dk www.dti.dk

2 October 2020

#### **Declaration of test and assessment**

Danish Technological Institute has performed tests of the efficiency for inactivation virus of the Jimco MAC500 air purifier.

The test was conducted with the unit installed in a 20 m<sup>3</sup> sealed room. The efficiency of the air purifier was tested using MS2 bacteriophages (ATCC 15597-B1) on host *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 15597) as a virus surrogate. The rate of inactivation of the aerosolized MS2 was determined as the difference between the natural inactivation rate and the inactivation rate measured during the use of the Jimco MAC500 air purifier. These inactivation rates were determined by sampling of the air in the chamber over a 2-hour period. The significant and consistent difference between the Natural decay test and the Product test clearly shows a reduction of the concentration of airborne and active MS2 caused by the air purifier.

Based on the measured inactivation efficiency of the MAC500, the reductions in % and in log-reductions are calculated and are found in the table below:

Product attribution	1 hour	2 hours	3 hours
Reduction, %	89% ± 8%	99% ± 2.3%	99.9 ± 0.5%
Log-reduction (base 10)	0.97 ± 0.24	$1.93 \pm 0.47$	$2.9 \pm 0.71$

According to Kowalski\* and Walker<sup>+</sup> the UV-susceptibility for bacteriophage MS2 is lower than the UV-susceptibility for the enveloped virus, vaccinia virus. Hence, the indicated efficacy of the tested MAC500 UV-C device to degrade the bacteriophage MS2 will be at least similar to the efficacy against enveloped vaccinia virus. Efficacy against vaccinia virus allows for a claim for efficacy against all enveloped viruses (e.g. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2) according to DS/EN 14885:2018.

\* Kowalski W. Ultraviolet Germicidal irradiation Handbook. Springer 2009

<sup>+</sup> Walker and Ko, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY / VOL. 41, NO. 15, 2007

The full testing procedures are presented in report no. 933322.

Best regards,

Casper Laur Byg, PhD specialist Bioengineering and Environmental Technology Danish Technological Institute

Jimco A/S Mjølbyvej 7 DK-5900 Rudkøbing

### THE COVID-19 BATTLEGROUND HAS CHANGED THE WAR OF COVID-19 HAS MOVED FROM SURFACES TO AIR

No. 6 0



### CLEAN ENVIRONMENT Using the forces of nature





JIMCO A/S recommends placing a MAC500s in all rooms and areas where people are present and especially where the risk of disease spreading is high.

**TECHNICAL DATA** UV lamp: 1x8 w Voltage: 230 V

Power consump: 25 w Operating lamp: 8000 hours Room area: 60 m3 Length: 310 mm Height: 90 mm Width: 90 mm The MAC500s must be placed as high as possible in the room and can cover an area of 60 m3.

For information please contact. Michael Kløcker Business Unit Manager E: mk@jimco.dk

T: +45 2149 3348

The MAC500s has been documented to reduce airborne virus. But the MAC500s should not be the only measure in the fight against the pandemic. It is important to still follow all guidelines from authorities.